Notes, books, and calculators are not authorized. Show all your work in the blank space you are given on the exam sheet. Answers with no justification will not be graded.

Question 1: Let $u$ be a solution to the PDE $\partial_{t} u(x, t)+\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x} u^{2}(x, t)-\nu \partial_{x x} u(x, t)=0, x \in(-\infty,+\infty)$, $t>0$. (a) Let $\psi(x, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} \partial_{t} u(\xi, t) \mathrm{d} \xi+\frac{1}{2} u^{2}(x, t)-\nu \partial_{x} u(x, t)$. Compute $\partial_{x} \psi(x, t)$.
The definition of $\psi$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x} \psi(x, t) & =\partial_{x}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{x} \partial_{t} u(\xi, t) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{2} u^{2}(x, t)-\nu \partial_{x} u(x, t)\right) \\
& =\partial_{t} u(x, t)+\frac{1}{2} \partial_{x} u^{2}(x, t)-\nu \partial_{x x} u(x, t)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $\partial_{x} \psi(x, t)=0$. This means that $\psi$ depends on $t$ only.
(b) Let $\phi(x, t):=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2 \nu} \int_{-\infty}^{x} u(\xi, t) \mathrm{d} \xi}$. Compute $\partial_{t} \phi, \partial_{x} \phi$, and $\partial_{x x} \phi$.

The definition of $\phi$, together with the chain rule, implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} \phi(x, t) & =\partial_{t}\left(-\frac{1}{2 \nu} \int_{-\infty}^{x} u(\xi, t) \mathrm{d} \xi\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2 \nu} \int_{-\infty}^{x} u(\xi, t) \mathrm{d} \xi} \\
& =\left(-\frac{1}{2 \nu} \int_{-\infty}^{x} \partial_{t} u(\xi, t) \mathrm{d} \xi\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2 \nu} \int_{-\infty}^{x} u(\xi, t) \mathrm{d} \xi}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x} \phi(x, t) & =\partial_{x}\left(-\frac{1}{2 \nu} \int_{-\infty}^{x} u(\xi, t) \mathrm{d} \xi\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2 \nu} \int_{-\infty}^{x} u(\xi, t) \mathrm{d} \xi} \\
& =\left(-\frac{1}{2 \nu} u(x, t)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2 \nu} \int_{-\infty}^{x} u(\xi, t) \mathrm{d} \xi}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\partial_{x x} \phi(x, t)=\left(-\frac{1}{2 \nu} \partial_{x} u(x, t)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2 \nu} \int_{-\infty}^{x} u(\xi, t) \mathrm{d} \xi}+\left(-\frac{1}{2 \nu} u(x, t)\right)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2 \nu} \int_{-\infty}^{x} u(\xi, t) \mathrm{d} \xi}
$$

(c) Compute $\partial_{t} \phi-\nu \partial_{x x} \phi$, assuming $\psi(x, t)=0$.

The above computations give

$$
-\nu \partial_{x x} \phi(x, t)=-\frac{1}{2 \nu}\left(-\nu \partial_{x} u(x, t)+\frac{1}{2} u^{2}(x, t)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2 \nu} \int_{-\infty}^{x} u(\xi, t) \mathrm{d} \xi}
$$

In conclusion

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} \phi-\nu \partial_{x x} \phi & =-\frac{1}{2 \nu}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{x} \partial_{t} u(\xi, t) \mathrm{d} \xi+\frac{1}{2} u^{2}(x, t)-\nu \partial_{x} u(x, t)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2 \nu} \int_{-\infty}^{x} u(\xi, t) \mathrm{d} \xi} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 \nu} \psi(x, t) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2 \nu} \int_{-\infty}^{x} u(\xi, t) \mathrm{d} \xi}
\end{aligned}
$$

This means $\partial_{t} \phi-\nu \partial_{x x} \phi=0$.
Question 2: Consider the vibrating beam equation $\partial_{t t} u(x, t)+\partial_{x x x x} u(x, t)=0, x \in(-\infty,+\infty)$, $t>0$ with $u( \pm \infty, t)=0, \partial_{x} u( \pm \infty, t)=0, \partial_{x x} u( \pm \infty, t)=0$. Use the energy method to compute $\partial_{t} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\left[\partial_{t} u(x, t)\right]^{2}+\left[\partial_{x x} u(x, t)\right]^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x$. (Hint: test the equation with $\left.\partial_{t} u(x, t)\right)$.
Using the hint we have

$$
0=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\partial_{t t} u(x, t) \partial_{t} u(x, t)+\partial_{x x x x} u(x, t) \partial_{t} u(x, t)\right) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Using the product rule, $a \partial_{t} a=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{t} a^{2}$ where $a=\partial_{t} u(x, t)$, and integrating by parts two times (i.e., applying the fundamental theorem of calculus) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{t}\left(\partial_{t} u(x, t)\right)^{2}-\partial_{x x x} u(x, t) \partial_{t} \partial_{x} u(x, t)\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\partial_{t} \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{t} u(x, t)\right)^{2}+\partial_{x x} u(x, t) \partial_{t} \partial_{x x} u(x, t)\right) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

We apply again the product rule $a \partial_{t} a=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{t} a^{2}$ where $a=\partial_{x x} u(x, t)$,

$$
0=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\partial_{t} \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{t} u(x, t)\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \partial_{t}\left(\partial_{x x} u(x, t)\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Switching the derivative with respect to $t$ and the integration with respect to $x$, this finally gives

$$
0=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{t} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\left[\partial_{t} u(x, t)\right]^{2}+\left[\partial_{x x} u(x, t)\right]^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Question 3: Let $k, f:[-1,+1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $k(x)=2, f(x)=0$ if $x \in[-1,0]$ and $k(x)=1$, $f(x)=2$ if $x \in(0,1]$. Consider the boundary value problem $-\partial_{x}\left(k(x) \partial_{x} T(x)\right)=f(x)$ with $T(-1)=$ -2 and $T(1)=2$.
(a) What should be the interface conditions at $x=0$ for this problem to make sense?

The function $T$ and the flux $k(x) \partial_{x} T(x)$ must be continuous at $x=0$. Let $T^{-}$denote the solution on $[-1,0]$ and $T^{+}$the solution on $[0,+1]$. One should have $T^{-}(0)=T^{+}(0)$ and $k^{-}(0) \partial_{x} T^{-}(0)=$ $k^{+}(0) \partial_{x} T^{+}(0)$, where $k^{-}(0)=2$ and $k^{+}(0)=1$.
(b) Solve the problem, i.e., find $T(x), x \in[-1,+1]$.

On $[-1,0]$ we have $k^{-}(x)=2$ and $f^{-}(x)=0$ which implies $-\partial_{x x} T^{-}(x)=0$. This in turn implies $T^{-}(x)=a x+b$. The Dirichlet condition at $x=-1$ implies that $T^{-}(-1)=-2=-a+b$. This gives $a=b+2$ and $T^{-}(x)=(b+2) x+b$.
We proceed similarly on $[0,+1]$ and we obtain $-\partial_{x x} T^{-}(x)=2$, which implies that $T^{+}(x)=-x^{2}+c x+d$. The Dirichlet condition at $x=1$ implies $T^{+}(1)=2=-1+c+d$. This gives $c=3-d$ and $T^{-}(x)=$ $-x^{2}+(3-d) x+d$.

The interface conditions $T^{-}(0)=T^{+}(0)$ and $k^{-}(0) \partial_{x} T^{-}(0)=k^{+}(0) \partial_{x} T^{+}(0)$ give $b=d$ and $2(b+2)=$ $3-d$, respectively. In conclusion $b=-\frac{1}{3}, d=-\frac{1}{3}$ and

$$
T(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{5}{3} x-\frac{1}{3} & \text { if } x \in[-1,0] \\ -x^{2}+\frac{10}{3} x-\frac{1}{3} & \text { if } x \in[0,1]\end{cases}
$$

Question 4: Let $\operatorname{CS}(f)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}-2\left(\cos (x)-\frac{\cos (2 x)}{2^{2}}+\frac{\cos (3 x)}{3^{2}}-\frac{\cos (4 x)}{4^{2}} \ldots\right)$ be the Fourier cosine series of the function $f(x):=\frac{1}{2} x^{2}$ defined over $[0,+\pi]$.
(a) For which values of $x$ in $[0,+\pi]$ does this series coincide with $f(x)$ ? (Explain).

The Fourier cosine series coincides with the function $f(x)$ over the entire interval $[0,+\pi]$ since $f$ is smooth over $[0,+\pi]$ (recall that the Fourier cosine series is the Fourier series of the even extension of $f$ over $[-\pi,+\pi])$.
(b) Compute the Fourier sine series, $\operatorname{SS}(x)$, of the function $g(x):=x$ defined over $[0,+\pi]$.

We know from class that it is always possible to obtain a Fourier sine series by differentiating term by term a Fourier cosine series, in other words

$$
\mathrm{SS}(x)=\partial_{x} \mathrm{CS}\left(\frac{1}{2} x^{2}\right)=2\left(\sin (x)-\frac{\sin (2 x)}{2}+\frac{\sin (3 x)}{3}-\frac{\sin (4 x)}{4} \ldots \frac{\sin (n x)}{n} \ldots\right)
$$

(c) For which values of $x \in[0,+\pi]$ does the Fourier sine series of $g$ coincide with $g(x)$ ?.

The Fourier sine series coincides with the function $g(x):=x$ over the interval $[0,+\pi)$ since $g$ is smooth over $[0,+\pi]$ and $g(0)=0$. The Fourier sine series of $g$ is zero at $+\pi$, and thus differs from $g(+\pi)$.
Question 5: Using cylindrical coordinates and the method of separation of variables, solve the equation, $\frac{1}{r} \partial_{r}\left(r \partial_{r} u\right)+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \partial_{\theta \theta} u=0$, inside the domain $D=\left\{\theta \in\left[0, \frac{3}{2} \pi\right], r \in[0,3]\right\}$, subject to the boundary conditions $u(r, 0)=0, u\left(r, \frac{3}{2} \pi\right)=0, u(3, \theta)=18 \sin (2 \theta)$. (Give all the details.)
(1) We set $u(r, \theta)=\phi(\theta) g(r)$. This means $\phi^{\prime \prime}=-\lambda \phi$, with $\phi(0)=0$ and $\phi\left(\frac{3}{2} \pi\right)=0$, and $r \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} r}\left(r \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} r} g(r)\right)=$ $\lambda g(r)$.
(2) The usual energy argument applied to the two-point boundary value problem

$$
\phi^{\prime \prime}=-\lambda \phi, \quad \phi(0)=0, \quad \phi\left(\frac{3}{2} \pi\right)=0
$$

implies that $\lambda$ is non-negative. If $\lambda=0$, then $\phi(\theta)=c_{1}+c_{2} \theta$ and the boundary conditions imply $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$, i.e., $\phi=0$, which in turns gives $u=0$ and this solution is incompatible with the boundary condition $u(3, \theta)=18 \sin (2 \theta)$. Hence $\lambda>0$ and

$$
\phi(\theta)=c_{1} \cos (\sqrt{\lambda} \theta)+c_{2} \sin (\sqrt{\lambda} \theta)
$$

(3) The boundary condition $\phi(0)=0$ implies $c_{1}=0$. The boundary condition $\phi\left(\frac{3}{2} \pi\right)=0$ implies $\sqrt{\lambda} \frac{3}{2} \pi=n \pi$ with $n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{0\}$. This means $\sqrt{\lambda}=\frac{2}{3} n, n=1,2, \ldots$.
(4) From class we know that $g(r)$ is of the form $r^{\alpha}, \alpha \geq 0$. The equality $r \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} r}\left(r \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} r} r^{\alpha}\right)=\lambda r^{\alpha}$ gives $\alpha^{2}=\lambda$. The condition $\alpha \geq 0$ implies $\frac{2}{3} n=\alpha=\sqrt{\lambda}$. The boundary condition at $r=3$ gives $18 \sin (2 \theta)=$ $c_{2} 3^{\frac{2}{3} n} \sin \left(\frac{2}{3} n \theta\right)$ for all $\theta \in\left[0, \frac{3}{2} \pi\right]$. This implies $n=3$ and $c_{2}=2$.
(5) Finally, the solution to the problem is

$$
u(r, \theta)=2 r^{2} \sin (2 \theta)
$$

Question 6: Let $p, q:[-1,+1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be smooth functions. Assume that $p(x) \geq 0$ and $q(x) \geq q_{0}$ for all $x \in[-1,+1]$, where $q_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the eigenvalue problem $-\partial_{x}\left(p(x) \partial_{x} \phi(x)\right)+q(x) \phi(x)=\lambda \phi(x)$, supplemented with the boundary conditions $\phi(-1)=0$ and $\phi(1)=0$.
(a) Prove that it is necessary that $\lambda \geq q_{0}$ for a non-zero (smooth) solution, $\phi$, to exist. (Hint: $\left.q_{0} \int_{-1}^{+1} \phi^{2}(x) \mathrm{d} x \leq \int_{-1}^{+1} q(x) \phi^{2}(x) \mathrm{d} x.\right)$
As usual we use the energy method. Let $(\phi, \lambda)$ be an eigenpair, then

$$
\int_{-1}^{+1}\left(-\partial_{x}\left(p(x) \partial_{x} \phi(x)\right) \phi(x)+q(x) \phi^{2}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x=\lambda \int_{-1}^{+1} \phi^{2}(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

After integration by parts and using the boundary conditions, we obtain

$$
\int_{-1}^{+1}\left(p(x) \partial_{x} \phi(x) \partial_{x} \phi(x)+q(x) \phi^{2}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x=\lambda \int_{-1}^{+1} \phi^{2}(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

which, using the hint, can also be re-written

$$
\int_{-1}^{+1}\left(p(x) \partial_{x} \phi(x) \partial_{x} \phi(x)+q_{0} \phi^{2}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x \leq \lambda \int_{-1}^{+1} \phi^{2}(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Then

$$
\int_{-1}^{+1} p(x)\left(\partial_{x} \phi(x)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq\left(\lambda-q_{0}\right) \int_{-1}^{+1} \phi^{2}(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Assume that $\phi$ is non-zero, then

$$
\lambda-q_{0} \geq \frac{\int_{-1}^{+1} p(x)\left(\partial_{x} \phi(x)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x}{\int_{-1}^{+1} \phi^{2}(x) \mathrm{d} x} \geq 0
$$

which proves that it is necessary that $\lambda \geq q_{0}$ for a non-zero (smooth) solution to exist.
(b) Assume that $p(x) \geq p_{0}>0$ for all $x \in[-1,+1]$ where $p_{0} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Show that $\lambda=q_{0}$ cannot be an eigenvalue, i.e., prove that $\phi=0$ if $\lambda=q_{0}$. (Hint: $p_{0} \int_{-1}^{+1} \psi^{2}(x) \mathrm{d} x \leq \int_{-1}^{+1} p(x) \psi^{2}(x) \mathrm{d} x$.)

Assume that $\lambda=q_{0}$ is an eigenvalue. Then the above computation shows that

$$
p_{0} \int_{-1}^{+1}\left(\partial_{x} \phi(x)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \int_{-1}^{+1} p(x)\left(\partial_{x} \phi(x)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=0
$$

which means that $\int_{-1}^{+1}\left(\partial_{x} \phi(x)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=0$ since $p_{0}>0$. As a result $\partial_{x} \phi=0$, i.e., $\phi(x)=c$ where $c$ is a constant. The boundary conditions $\phi(-1)=0=\phi(1)$ imply that $c=0$. In conclusion $\phi=0$ if $\lambda=q_{0}$, thereby proving that $\left(\phi, q_{0}\right)$ is not an eigenpair.

Question 7: Use the Fourier transform technique to solve $\partial_{t} u(x, t)+\sin (t) \partial_{x} u(x, t)+\left(2+3 t^{2}\right) u(x, t)=$ $0, x \in \mathbb{R}, t>0$, with $u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)$. (Use the shift lemma: $\mathcal{F}(f(x-\beta))(\omega)=\mathcal{F}(f)(\omega) e^{i \omega \beta}$ and the definition $\left.\mathcal{F}(f)(\omega):=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) e^{i \omega x} d x\right)$

Applying the Fourier transform to the equation gives

$$
\partial_{t} \mathcal{F}(u)(\omega, t)+\sin (t)(-i \omega) \mathcal{F}(u)(\omega, t)+\left(2+3 t^{2}\right) \mathcal{F}(u)(\omega, t)=0
$$

This can also be re-written as follows:

$$
\frac{\partial_{t} \mathcal{F}(u)(\omega, t)}{\mathcal{F}(u)(\omega, t)}=i \omega \sin (t)-\left(2+3 t^{2}\right)
$$

Then applying the fundamental theorem of calculus between 0 and $t$, we obtain

$$
\log (\mathcal{F}(u)(\omega, t))-\log (\mathcal{F}(u)(\omega, 0))=-i \omega(\cos (t)-1)-\left(2 t+t^{3}\right)
$$

This implies

$$
\mathcal{F}(u)(\omega, t)=\mathcal{F}\left(u_{0}\right)(\omega) e^{-i \omega(\cos (t)-1)} e^{-\left(2 t+t^{3}\right)}
$$

Then the shift lemma gives

$$
\mathcal{F}(u)(\omega, t)=\mathcal{F}\left(u_{0}(x+\cos (t)-1)(\omega) e^{-\left(2 t+t^{3}\right)}\right.
$$

This finally gives

$$
u(x, t)=u_{0}(x+\cos (t)-1) e^{-\left(2 t+t^{3}\right)}
$$

