

FINITE GENERATION OF SOME COHOMOLOGY RINGS VIA TWISTED TENSOR PRODUCT AND ANICK RESOLUTIONS

VAN C. NGUYEN, XINGTING WANG, AND SARAH WITHERSPOON

ABSTRACT. Over a field of prime characteristic $p > 2$, we prove that the cohomology rings of some pointed Hopf algebras of dimension p^3 are finitely generated. These are Hopf algebras arising in the ongoing classification of finite dimensional Hopf algebras in positive characteristic, and include bosonizations of Nichols algebras of Jordan type in a general setting as well as their liftings when $p = 3$. Our techniques are applications of twisted tensor product resolutions and Anick resolutions in combination with May spectral sequences.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Settings	2
2.1. Pointed Hopf algebras	3
2.2. Our setting: Rank two Nichols algebra and its bosonization	3
2.3. Our setting: A class of 27-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras	4
3. Twisted tensor product resolutions	4
3.1. The resolution construction	4
3.2. Resolution over the Nichols algebra R	5
3.3. Resolution over the bosonization $R\#\mathbb{k}G$	11
4. Anick resolutions	18
4.1. The resolution construction	18
4.2. A truncated polynomial ring	22
5. Finite generation of some cohomology rings	25
5.1. Cohomology of the Nichols algebra and its bosonization	25
5.2. Cohomology of some pointed Hopf algebras of dimension 27	27
References	29

1. INTRODUCTION

The cohomology ring of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra is conjectured to be finitely generated. Friedlander and Suslin [8] proved this for cocommutative Hopf algebras, generalizing earlier results of Evens [6], Golod [10], and Venkov [24] for finite group algebras and of Friedlander and Parshall [7] for restricted Lie algebras. There are many finite generation results as well for various types of noncocommutative Hopf algebras (see, e.g., [3, 9, 11, 14, 22]).

Date: October 18, 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16E05, 16E40, 16T05.

Key words and phrases. cohomology, positive characteristic, (pointed) Hopf algebras, Anick resolutions, twisted tensor products.

The third author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1401016.

Most of these results are in characteristic 0. In this paper, we prove finite generation for classes of noncocommutative Hopf algebras in prime characteristic $p > 2$. These are some of the pointed Hopf algebras arising in classification work of the first two authors.

Our main result is the following combination of Theorems 5.1.2 and 5.2.1 below:

Theorem. *Let \mathbb{k} be an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic $p > 2$. Consider the following Hopf algebras over \mathbb{k} :*

- (1) *the p^2q -dimensional bosonization $R\#\mathbb{k}G$ of a rank two Nichols algebra R of Jordan type over a cyclic group G of order q , where q is divisible by p ; and*
- (2) *a lifting H of $R\#\mathbb{k}G$ when $p = q = 3$.*

Then the cohomology rings of $R\#\mathbb{k}G$ and of H are finitely generated.

Our theorem is exclusively an odd characteristic result since the Nichols algebra of Jordan type does not appear in characteristic 2. Instead there is another related Nichols algebra [4] that will require different techniques. Part (2) of our main theorem above is only stated for characteristic 3; this is because we use the classification of such Hopf algebras from [18], and liftings are only known completely in this case. We expect our homological techniques will be able to handle liftings when $p > 3$ once more is known about their structure.

More specifically, we let $R\#\mathbb{k}G$ be a p^2q -dimensional Hopf algebra given by the bosonization of a Jordan plane as introduced in [4] (see Section 2.2 below). We prove in Theorem 5.1.2 that the cohomology ring of such a Hopf algebra $R\#\mathbb{k}G$, that is $H^*(R\#\mathbb{k}G, \mathbb{k}) := \text{Ext}_{R\#\mathbb{k}G}^*(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k})$, is finitely generated. We also consider liftings H of $R\#\mathbb{k}G$ in the special case $p = q = 3$ (see Section 2.3 below). We prove in Theorem 5.2.1 that the cohomology ring $H^*(H, \mathbb{k})$ is finitely generated.

Our techniques for proving the main theorem above rely on the May spectral sequence for the cohomology of a filtered algebra. In either setting, (1) or (2), we may choose a filtration for which the associated graded algebra is a truncated polynomial ring whose cohomology is straightforward. The hard work is in finding some permanent cycles as required to use a spectral sequence lemma that goes back to Friedlander and Suslin [8]. This we do in two ways, by constructing two types of resolutions which should be of independent interest. The general definitions of the resolutions are not new, but we provide here some nontrivial examples, with our main theorem as an application. The first resolution is the twisted tensor product resolution of [20], recalled in Section 3.1, used in Section 3.2, and iterated in Section 3.3, to obtain a resolution over the bosonization $R\#\mathbb{k}G$ of the Nichols algebra R . This is used in Section 5.1 to prove finite generation of its cohomology. The second resolution is the Anick resolution [1], explained in Section 4.1, with a general result for the Anick resolution of a truncated polynomial ring in Section 4.2. This Anick resolution is used in Section 5.2 to prove finite generation of the cohomology of the liftings H . We could have chosen to work with just one type of resolution, either Anick or twisted tensor product, for proofs of both parts (1) and (2) of our main theorem above. We instead chose to work with both resolutions to illustrate a wider variety of techniques available, each having its own advantages.

2. SETTINGS

Throughout, let \mathbb{k} be a field. For our main results we will assume that \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed field of prime characteristic $p > 2$ since we work with Hopf algebras found in classification work under this assumption. The tensor product \otimes is $\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}$ unless specified otherwise. In

this section we will define the Nichols algebras and pointed Hopf algebras that are featured in this paper, and summarize some structural results that will be needed.

2.1. Pointed Hopf algebras. Let H be any finite dimensional pointed Hopf algebra over \mathbb{k} . The *coradical* (the sum of all simple subcoalgebras) of H is $H_0 = \mathbb{k}G$, a Hopf subalgebra of H generated by the grouplike elements $G := \{g \in H \mid \Delta(g) = g \otimes g\}$, where Δ is the coproduct on H .

Let

$$H_0 \subseteq H_1 \subseteq H_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq H$$

be the *coradical filtration* of H , where $H_n = \Delta^{-1}(H \otimes H_{n-1} + H_0 \otimes H)$ inductively, see [17, Chapter 5]. Consider the associated graded Hopf algebra $\text{gr } H = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H_n/H_{n-1}$, with the convention $H_{-1} = 0$. Note that the zero term of $\text{gr } H$ equals its coradical, i.e., $(\text{gr } H)_0 = H_0$. There is a projection $\pi : \text{gr } H \rightarrow H_0$ and an inclusion $\iota : H_0 \rightarrow \text{gr } H$ such that $\pi\iota = 1$ (the identity map on H_0). Let R be the algebra of coinvariants of π :

$$R := (\text{gr } H)^{\text{co}\pi} = \{h \in \text{gr } H : (\mathbf{1}_H \otimes \pi)\Delta(h) = h \otimes 1\},$$

where $\mathbf{1}_H$ denotes the identity map on H . By results of Radford [23] and Majid [15], R is a Hopf algebra in the braided category ${}^G\mathcal{YD}$ of left Yetter-Drinfeld modules over $H_0 = \mathbb{k}G$. Moreover, $\text{gr } H$ is the *bosonization* (or *Radford biproduct*) of R and H_0 so that $\text{gr } H \cong R\#H_0$ with the Hopf structure given in [17, Theorem 10.6.5]. As an algebra, it is simply the smash product of H_0 with R , analogous to a semidirect product of groups.

2.2. Our setting: Rank two Nichols algebra and its bosonization. For Sections 3 and 5.1, we use the following setup. Let \mathbb{k} be a field of prime characteristic $p > 2$. Let $G := \langle g \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ be a cyclic group whose order q is divisible by p . Consider

$$R := \mathbb{k}\langle x, y \rangle / \left(x^p, y^p, yx - xy - \frac{1}{2}x^2 \right),$$

which is a p^2 -dimensional algebra as described in [4, Theorem 3.5], with a vector space basis $\{x^i y^j \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq p-1\}$. Observe that R is the Nichols algebra of a rank two Yetter-Drinfeld module $V = \mathbb{k}x + \mathbb{k}y$ over G , where the G -action on V is given by

$${}^g x = x \quad \text{and} \quad {}^g y = x + y,$$

(here the left superscript indicates group action), and the G -gradings of x and y are both given by g .

Let $R\#\mathbb{k}G$ be the bosonization of R and $\mathbb{k}G$. It is the corresponding p^2q -dimensional pointed Hopf algebra as studied in [4, Corollary 3.14], [18, §3 (Case B)], and [19, §4]. Its Hopf structure is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x) &= x \otimes 1 + g \otimes x, & \Delta(y) &= y \otimes 1 + g \otimes y, & \Delta(g) &= g \otimes g, \\ \varepsilon(x) &= 0, & \varepsilon(y) &= 0, & \varepsilon(g) &= 1, \\ S(x) &= -g^{-1}x, & S(y) &= -g^{-1}y, & S(g) &= g^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where Δ is the coproduct, ε is the counit, and S is the antipode map of $R\#\mathbb{k}G$.

Remark 2.2.1. *We remark the following:*

- (1) *These $R, G, R\#\mathbb{k}G$ appear in [4, 18, 19] for various purposes. In their settings, G is a cyclic group of order p . Here, we consider a more general setting with G being cyclic of order q divisible by p .*

- (2) In [18], the first two authors classified p^3 -dimensional pointed Hopf algebra over prime characteristic p . In their classification work, this p^2 -dimensional Nichols algebra R of Jordan type is unique, up to isomorphism, and only occurs when $p > 2$.
- (3) The authors of [4] used right modules in their settings, whereas left modules were used in the classification work in [18], thus inducing a sign difference in the relation $yx - xy - \frac{1}{2}x^2$ of R there. Here, we adopt the relation described in [4]. In Section 5.2, when we study the cohomology of pointed Hopf algebras H lifted from the associated graded algebras $\text{gr } H \cong R \# \mathbb{k}G$, we modify the relations of the lifting structure given in [18] accordingly, see the next Section 2.3.

2.3. Our setting: A class of 27-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras. Let \mathbb{k} be a field of characteristic $p = 3$ and consider Hopf algebras $H(\epsilon, \mu, \tau)$ defined from three scalar parameters ϵ, μ, τ as in [18]. These are pointed Hopf algebras of dimension 27 whose associated graded algebra is $\text{gr } H(\epsilon, \mu, \tau) \cong R \# \mathbb{k}G$, the Hopf algebra described in Section 2.2, in this case $p = q = 3$.

As an algebra, $H(\epsilon, \mu, \tau)$ is generated by g, x, y with relations

$$\begin{aligned} g^3 &= 1, & x^3 &= \epsilon x, & y^3 &= -\epsilon y^2 - (\mu\epsilon - \tau - \mu^2)y, \\ yg - gy &= xg + \mu(g - g^2), & xg - gx &= -\epsilon(g - g^2), \\ yx - xy &= -x^2 + (\mu + \epsilon)x + \epsilon y + \tau(1 - g^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\tau, \mu \in \mathbb{k}$ are arbitrary scalars. The coalgebra structure is the same as that of $R \# \mathbb{k}G$ described in Section 2.2. By setting $w = g - 1$, we get a new presentation in which the generators are w, x, y and the relations are:

$$\begin{aligned} w^3 &= 0, & x^3 &= \epsilon x, & y^3 &= -\epsilon y^2 - (\mu\epsilon - \tau - \mu^2)y, \\ yw - wy &= wx + x - (\mu - \epsilon)(w^2 + w), & xw - wx &= \epsilon(w^2 + w), \\ yx - xy &= -x^2 + (\mu + \epsilon)x + \epsilon y - \tau(w^2 - w). \end{aligned}$$

This choice of generating set will be convenient for our homological arguments later. As shown in [18], $H(\epsilon, \mu, \tau)$ has dimension 27, with vector space basis $\{w^i x^j y^k \mid 0 \leq i, j, k \leq 2\}$.

3. TWISTED TENSOR PRODUCT RESOLUTIONS

In this section, we apply the construction of twisted tensor product resolutions introduced in [20] to our Nichols algebra R and its bosonization $R \# \mathbb{k}G$ that was defined in Section 2.2.

3.1. The resolution construction. Let A and B be associative algebras over \mathbb{k} with multiplication maps $m_A : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and $m_B : B \otimes B \rightarrow B$, and multiplicative identities 1_A and 1_B , respectively. We write $\mathbf{1}$ for the identity map on any set.

A *twisting map* $\tau : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$ is a bijective \mathbb{k} -linear map for which $\tau(1_B \otimes a) = a \otimes 1_B$ and $\tau(b \otimes 1_A) = 1_A \otimes b$, for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, and

$$(3.1.1) \quad \tau \circ (m_B \otimes m_A) = (m_A \otimes m_B) \circ (\mathbf{1} \otimes \tau \otimes \mathbf{1}) \circ (\tau \otimes \tau) \circ (\mathbf{1} \otimes \tau \otimes \mathbf{1})$$

as maps $B \otimes B \otimes A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$. The *twisted tensor product algebra* $A \otimes_\tau B$ is the vector space $A \otimes B$ together with multiplication m_τ given by such a twisting map τ , that is, $m_\tau : (A \otimes B) \otimes (A \otimes B) \rightarrow A \otimes B$ is given by $m_\tau = (m_A \otimes m_B) \circ (\mathbf{1} \otimes \tau \otimes \mathbf{1})$.

Definition 3.1.2. [20, Definition 5.1] Let M be an A -module with module structure map $\rho_{A,M} : A \otimes M \rightarrow M$. We say M is *compatible with the twisting map* τ if there is a bijective \mathbb{k} -linear map $\tau_{B,M} : B \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes B$ such that

$$(3.1.3) \quad \tau_{B,M} \circ (m_B \otimes \mathbf{1}) = (\mathbf{1} \otimes m_B) \circ (\tau_{B,M} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \circ (\mathbf{1} \otimes \tau_{B,M}), \text{ and}$$

$$(3.1.4) \quad \tau_{B,M} \circ (\mathbf{1} \otimes \rho_{A,M}) = (\rho_{A,M} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \circ (\mathbf{1} \otimes \tau_{B,M}) \circ (\tau \otimes \mathbf{1})$$

as maps on $B \otimes B \otimes M$ and on $B \otimes A \otimes M$, respectively.

Let M be an A -module that is compatible with τ . We say a projective A -module resolution $P_\bullet(M)$ of M is *compatible with the twisting map* τ if each module $P_i(M)$ is compatible with τ via maps $\tau_{B,i}$ for which $\tau_{B,\bullet} : B \otimes P_\bullet(M) \rightarrow P_\bullet(M) \otimes B$ is a \mathbb{k} -linear chain map lifting $\tau_{B,M} : B \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes B$. Let N be a B -module and let $P_\bullet(N)$ be a projective resolution of N over B . We put an $A \otimes_\tau B$ -module structure on the bicomplex $P_\bullet(M) \otimes P_\bullet(N)$ by using maps $\tau_{B,\bullet}$.

Under such compatibility conditions, the twisted tensor product resolutions for left modules over $A \otimes_\tau B$ were constructed in [20] satisfying the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1.5. [20, Theorem 5.12] *Let A and B be \mathbb{k} -algebras with twisting map $\tau : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$. Let $P_\bullet(M)$ be an A -projective resolution of M and $P_\bullet(N)$ be a B -projective resolution of N . Assume*

- (a) M and $P_\bullet(M)$ are compatible with τ , and
- (b) $Y_{i,j} = P_i(M) \otimes P_j(N)$ is a projective $A \otimes_\tau B$ -module, for all i, j .

Then the twisted tensor product complex $Y_\bullet = \text{Tot}(P_\bullet(M) \otimes P_\bullet(N))$ with

$$Y_n = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} P_i(M) \otimes P_j(N)$$

is a projective resolution of $M \otimes N$ as a module over the twisted tensor product $A \otimes_\tau B$.

By $\text{Tot}(P_\bullet(M) \otimes P_\bullet(N))$ we mean the total complex of the bicomplex $P_\bullet(M) \otimes P_\bullet(N)$, that is the complex whose n th component is $Y_n = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} (P_i(M) \otimes P_j(N))$ and differential is $d_n = \sum_{i+j=n} d_{ij}$ where $d_{ij} = d_i \otimes \mathbf{1} + (-1)^i \mathbf{1} \otimes d_j$.

In the statement of [20, Theorem 5.12], we have replaced one of the hypotheses by our hypothesis (b) above, and in this case the proof is given by [20, Lemmas 5.8 and 5.9]. In some contexts, such as ours here, the hypothesis (b) can be checked directly, in which case this version of the theorem is sufficient.

For the rest of this section, let R, G be as defined in Section 2.2. Let \mathbb{k} also denote the trivial (left) R - or $(R \# \mathbb{k}G)$ -module, that is, the field \mathbb{k} with action given by the augmentation $\varepsilon(x) = 0$, $\varepsilon(y) = 0$, $\varepsilon(g) = 1$. We will construct a resolution of \mathbb{k} as an R -module via a twisted tensor product, and as an $(R \# \mathbb{k}G)$ -module by iterating the twisted product construction.

3.2. Resolution over the Nichols algebra R . Let \mathbb{k} be a field of characteristic $p > 2$, and let R be the Nichols algebra described in Section 2.2. Let \mathbb{k} be the R -module on which x and y both act as 0.

We start with a construction of a resolution of \mathbb{k} as an R -module. Using the relation (3.9) in [4, Lemma 3.8], we can view R as the twisted tensor product

$$R = \mathbb{k}\langle x, y \rangle / \left(x^p, y^p, yx - xy - \frac{1}{2}x^2 \right) \cong A \otimes_\tau B,$$

where $A := \mathbb{k}[x]/(x^p)$, $B := \mathbb{k}[y]/(y^p)$. The twisting map $\tau : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$ is defined by

$$\tau(y^r \otimes x^\ell) = \sum_{t=0}^r \binom{r}{t} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t [\ell]^{[t]} x^{\ell+t} \otimes y^{r-t},$$

where we use the convention:

$$[\ell]^{[t]} = \ell(\ell+1)(\ell+2)\cdots(\ell+t-1),$$

with $[\ell]^{[0]} = 1$, for any ℓ .

Consider the following free resolutions of \mathbb{k} as A -module and as B -module, respectively:

$$P_*(A) : \quad \cdots \xrightarrow{x^{p-1}} A \xrightarrow{x} A \xrightarrow{x^{p-1}} A \xrightarrow{x} A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{k} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$P_*(B) : \quad \cdots \xrightarrow{y^{p-1}} B \xrightarrow{y} B \xrightarrow{y^{p-1}} B \xrightarrow{y} B \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{k} \longrightarrow 0.$$

The map ε on A (respectively, on B) takes x to 0 (respectively, y to 0). Consider the tensor product $P_*(A) \otimes P_*(B)$ as a graded vector space. The total complex is a complex of vector spaces with differential in degree n given by

$$d_n = \sum_{i+j=n} (d_i \otimes 1 + (-1)^i \otimes d_j).$$

We will put the structure of an R -module on each $P_i(A) \otimes P_j(B)$ so that this tensor product complex is an R -projective resolution of \mathbb{k} as an R -module. This will follow from [20, Lemmas 5.8 and 5.9] once we define a chain map as in Definition 3.1.2 and check that the resulting R -modules are indeed projective. That is, as in Definition 3.1.2, there are bijective \mathbb{k} -linear maps $\tau_{B,i}$ that we abbreviate here as τ_i , for which the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccc} \cdots & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{1} \otimes x^{p-1}} & B \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{1} \otimes x} & B \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{1} \otimes x^{p-1}} & B \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{1} \otimes x} & B \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{1} \otimes \varepsilon} & B \otimes \mathbb{k} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \tau_3 & & \downarrow \tau_2 & & \downarrow \tau_1 & & \downarrow \tau_0 & & \downarrow \cong & & \\ \cdots & \xrightarrow{x^{p-1} \cdot \mathbf{1}} & A \otimes B & \xrightarrow{x \cdot \mathbf{1}} & A \otimes B & \xrightarrow{x^{p-1} \cdot \mathbf{1}} & A \otimes B & \xrightarrow{x \cdot \mathbf{1}} & A \otimes B & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{1}} & \mathbb{k} \otimes B & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

commutes and conditions (3.1.3) and (3.1.4) hold. We claim that the following maps τ_i satisfy the above conditions.

Lemma 3.2.1. *For any integer $i \geq 0$, let $\tau_i : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$ be defined as follows:*

$$\tau_i(y^r \otimes x^\ell) = \begin{cases} \tau(y^r \otimes x^\ell), & i \text{ is even} \\ \sum_{t=0}^r \binom{r}{t} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t [\ell+1]^{[t]} x^{\ell+t} \otimes y^{r-t}, & i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then

(a) τ_i is a bijective \mathbb{k} -linear map whose inverse is

$$\tau_i^{-1}(x^\ell \otimes y^r) = \begin{cases} \sum_{t=0}^r \binom{r}{t} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^t [\ell]^{[t]} y^{r-t} \otimes x^{\ell+t}, & i \text{ is even} \\ \sum_{t=0}^r \binom{r}{t} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^t [\ell+1]^{[t]} y^{r-t} \otimes x^{\ell+t}, & i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

(b) τ_i satisfies conditions (3.1.3) and (3.1.4). In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_i \circ (m_B \otimes \mathbf{1}) &= (\mathbf{1} \otimes m_B) \circ (\tau_i \otimes \mathbf{1}) \circ (\mathbf{1} \otimes \tau_i) \text{ and} \\ \tau_i \circ (\mathbf{1} \otimes m_A) &= (m_A \otimes \mathbf{1}) \circ (\mathbf{1} \otimes \tau_i) \circ (\tau \otimes \mathbf{1}),\end{aligned}$$

as maps on $B \otimes B \otimes A$ and on $B \otimes A \otimes A$, respectively.

(c) Each square in the above diagram commutes.

Consequently, \mathbb{k} and its resolution $P_*(A)$ are compatible with τ .

Proof. Let τ_i be defined as in the lemma.

(a) **Bijection:** To show τ_i is bijective with the given inverse, it suffices to show that $\tau_i \circ \tau_i^{-1} = \mathbf{1}_{A \otimes B}$ and $\tau_i^{-1} \circ \tau_i = \mathbf{1}_{B \otimes A}$. Here, we will check $\tau_i \circ \tau_i^{-1} = \mathbf{1}_{A \otimes B}$ for the case when i is odd; the remaining case is similar.

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_i \circ \tau_i^{-1}(x^\ell \otimes y^r) &= \tau_i \left(\sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^k [\ell+1]^{[k]} y^{r-k} \otimes x^{\ell+k} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^k [\ell+1]^{[k]} \sum_{s=0}^{r-k} \binom{r-k}{s} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^s [\ell+k+1]^{[s]} x^{\ell+k+s} \otimes y^{r-k-s} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^r \sum_{s=0}^{r-k} \binom{r}{k} \binom{r-k}{s} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^k \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^s [\ell+1]^{[k]} [\ell+k+1]^{[s]} x^{\ell+k+s} \otimes y^{r-k-s} \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^r \left(\sum_{k+s=t} \binom{r}{k} \binom{r-k}{s} (-1)^k \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k+s} [\ell+1]^{[k]} [\ell+k+1]^{[s]} \right) x^{\ell+t} \otimes y^{r-t}.\end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show

$$\sum_{k+s=t} \binom{r}{k} \binom{r-k}{s} (-1)^k \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k+s} [\ell+1]^{[k]} [\ell+k+1]^{[s]} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t = 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } t \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

The case $t = 0$ is clear, as $k = s = 0$, all coefficients become 1. For $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}& \sum_{k=0}^t \binom{r}{k} \binom{r-k}{t-k} (-1)^k \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t [\ell+1]^{[k]} [\ell+k+1]^{[t-k]} \\ &= \frac{(\ell+t)!}{\ell!} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t \left(\sum_{k=0}^t (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} \binom{r-k}{t-k} \right) \\ &= \frac{(\ell+t)!}{\ell!} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t \left(\sum_{k=0}^t (-1)^k \binom{r}{t} \binom{t}{k} \right) \\ &= \frac{(\ell+t)!}{\ell!} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t \binom{r}{t} \left(\sum_{k=0}^t (-1)^k \binom{t}{k} \right) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\tau_i \circ \tau_i^{-1} = \mathbf{1}_{A \otimes B}$. Similarly, $\tau_i^{-1} \circ \tau_i = \mathbf{1}_{B \otimes A}$ and hence τ_i is bijective.

(b) **Compatible conditions:** To show that the maps τ_i satisfy conditions (3.1.3) and (3.1.4), observe that if i is 0 or even, then both conditions hold from the definition of τ , as $\tau_i = \tau$ in this case and satisfies (3.1.1). It remains to check the case when i is odd.

Let us verify (3.1.3) first. On $B \otimes B \otimes A$, the left hand side is

$$\tau_i \circ (m_B \otimes \mathbf{1})(y^{r_1} \otimes y^{r_2} \otimes x^\ell) = \tau_i(y^{r_1+r_2} \otimes x^\ell) = \sum_{t=0}^{r_1+r_2} \binom{r_1+r_2}{t} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t [\ell+1]^{[t]} x^{\ell+t} \otimes y^{r_1+r_2-t},$$

while the right hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{1} \otimes m_B) \circ (\tau_i \otimes \mathbf{1}) \circ (\mathbf{1} \otimes \tau_i)(y^{r_1} \otimes y^{r_2} \otimes x^\ell) \\ &= (\mathbf{1} \otimes m_B) \circ (\tau_i \otimes \mathbf{1}) \left(y^{r_1} \otimes \sum_{k=0}^{r_2} \binom{r_2}{k} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k [\ell+1]^{[k]} x^{\ell+k} \otimes y^{r_2-k} \right) \\ &= (\mathbf{1} \otimes m_B) \left[\sum_{k=0}^{r_2} \binom{r_2}{k} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k [\ell+1]^{[k]} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{r_1} \binom{r_1}{s} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^s [\ell+k+1]^{[s]} x^{\ell+k+s} \otimes y^{r_1-s} \right) \otimes y^{r_2-k} \right] \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{r_1+r_2} \left(\sum_{k+s=t} \binom{r_2}{k} \binom{r_1}{s} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t [\ell+1]^{[k]} [\ell+k+1]^{[s]} \right) x^{\ell+t} \otimes y^{r_1+r_2-t}. \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to check that $[\ell+1]^{[k]} [\ell+k+1]^{[s]} = [\ell+1]^{[k+s]}$, and

$$\sum_{k=0}^t \binom{r_2}{k} \binom{r_1}{t-k} = \binom{r_1+r_2}{t}.$$

This gives us the equality (3.1.3) as desired.

For (3.1.4), on $B \otimes A \otimes A$, the left hand side is

$$\tau_i \circ (\mathbf{1} \otimes m_A)(y^r \otimes x^{\ell_1} \otimes x^{\ell_2}) = \tau_i(y^r \otimes x^{\ell_1+\ell_2}) = \sum_{t=0}^r \binom{r}{t} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t [\ell_1+\ell_2+1]^{[t]} x^{\ell_1+\ell_2+t} \otimes y^{r-t},$$

while the right hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} & (m_A \otimes \mathbf{1}) \circ (\mathbf{1} \otimes \tau_i) \circ (\tau \otimes \mathbf{1})(y^r \otimes x^{\ell_1} \otimes x^{\ell_2}) \\ &= (m_A \otimes \mathbf{1}) \circ (\mathbf{1} \otimes \tau_i) \left[\left(\sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k [\ell_1]^{[k]} x^{\ell_1+k} \otimes y^{r-k} \right) \otimes x^{\ell_2} \right] \\ &= (m_A \otimes \mathbf{1}) \left[\sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k [\ell_1]^{[k]} x^{\ell_1+k} \otimes \left(\sum_{s=0}^{r-k} \binom{r-k}{s} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^s [\ell_2+1]^{[s]} x^{\ell_2+s} \otimes y^{r-k-s} \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^r \sum_{s=0}^{r-k} \binom{r}{k} \binom{r-k}{s} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k+s} [\ell_1]^{[k]} [\ell_2+1]^{[s]} x^{(\ell_1+\ell_2)+(k+s)} \otimes y^{r-(k+s)} \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^r \left(\sum_{k+s=t} \binom{r}{k} \binom{r-k}{s} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t [\ell_1]^{[k]} [\ell_2+1]^{[s]} \right) x^{(\ell_1+\ell_2)+t} \otimes y^{r-t}. \end{aligned}$$

To show the left hand side of (3.1.4) is equal to its right hand side, it suffices to show

$$\binom{r}{t} [\ell_1+\ell_2+1]^{[t]} = \sum_{k=0}^t \binom{r}{k} \binom{r-k}{t-k} [\ell_1]^{[k]} [\ell_2+1]^{[t-k]}.$$

Using binomial identity in [4, p. 4038], $[\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1]^{[t]} = \sum_{k=0}^t \binom{t}{k} [\ell_1]^{[k]} [\ell_2 + 1]^{[t-k]}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{r}{t} [\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1]^{[t]} &= \sum_{k=0}^t \binom{r}{t} \binom{t}{k} [\ell_1]^{[k]} [\ell_2 + 1]^{[t-k]} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^t \binom{r}{k} \binom{r-k}{t-k} [\ell_1]^{[k]} [\ell_2 + 1]^{[t-k]}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{r}{t} \binom{t}{k} &= \frac{r!}{(r-t)! t!} \cdot \frac{t!}{(t-k)! k!} = \frac{r!}{(r-t)! (t-k)! k!} \\ &= \frac{r!}{k! (r-k)!} \cdot \frac{(r-k)!}{(t-k)! (r-t)!} = \binom{r}{k} \binom{r-k}{t-k}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives us the equality (3.1.4) as desired.

(c) **Commutativity of diagram:** We need to check that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{1} \otimes x \cdot} & B \otimes A \\ \downarrow \tau_{\text{odd}} & & \downarrow \tau_{\text{even}} \\ A \otimes B & \xrightarrow{x \cdot \otimes \mathbf{1}} & A \otimes B \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{1} \otimes x^{p-1} \cdot} & B \otimes A \\ \downarrow \tau_{\text{even}} & & \downarrow \tau_{\text{odd}} \\ A \otimes B & \xrightarrow{x^{p-1} \cdot \otimes \mathbf{1}} & A \otimes B. \end{array}$$

For the first diagram, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\text{even}} \circ (\mathbf{1} \otimes x \cdot)(y^r \otimes x^\ell) &= \tau(y^r \otimes x^{\ell+1}) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^r \binom{r}{t} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t [\ell+1]^{[t]} x^{\ell+1+t} \otimes y^{r-t}, \\ (x \cdot \otimes \mathbf{1}) \circ \tau_{\text{odd}}(y^r \otimes x^\ell) &= (x \cdot \otimes \mathbf{1}) \left(\sum_{t=0}^r \binom{r}{t} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t [\ell+1]^{[t]} x^{\ell+t} \otimes y^{r-t} \right) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^r \binom{r}{t} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t [\ell+1]^{[t]} x^{\ell+1+t} \otimes y^{r-t}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the second diagram:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\text{odd}} \circ (\mathbf{1} \otimes x^{p-1} \cdot)(y^r \otimes x^\ell) &= \tau_{\text{odd}}(y^r \otimes x^{\ell+p-1}) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ell > 0 \\ \tau_{\text{odd}}(y^r \otimes x^{p-1}) & \text{if } \ell = 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ell > 0 \\ \sum_{t=0}^r \binom{r}{t} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t [p]^{[t]} x^{p-1+t} \otimes y^{r-t} & \text{if } \ell = 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ell > 0 \\ x^{p-1} \otimes y^r & \text{if } \ell = 0 \end{cases} \\ (x^{p-1} \cdot \otimes \mathbf{1}) \circ \tau_{\text{even}}(y^r \otimes x^\ell) &= (x^{p-1} \cdot \otimes \mathbf{1}) \left(\sum_{t=0}^r \binom{r}{t} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t [\ell]^{[t]} x^{\ell+t} \otimes y^{r-t} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{t=0}^r \binom{r}{t} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t [\ell]^{[t]} x^{\ell+t+p-1} \otimes y^{r-t} \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ell > 0 \\ x^{p-1} \otimes y^r & \text{if } \ell = 0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

□

For each i , the map τ_i is used to give $P_i(A) \otimes P_j(B) = A \otimes B$ the structure of a left $(A \otimes_\tau B)$ -module. In the case when i is even, this is the usual $(A \otimes_\tau B)$ -module structure. In the case when i is odd, the $(A \otimes_\tau B)$ -module structure via τ_i is given by

$$(A \otimes_\tau B) \otimes (A \otimes B) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \tau_i \otimes 1} A \otimes A \otimes B \otimes B \xrightarrow{m_A \otimes m_B} A \otimes B.$$

Lemma 3.2.2. *Retaining the above module structure, $P_i(A) \otimes P_j(B) = A \otimes B$ is a free $(A \otimes_\tau B)$ -module of rank one, generated by $1 \otimes 1$, via the $(A \otimes_\tau B)$ -module isomorphism $\varphi : A \otimes_\tau B \rightarrow A \otimes B$ given by*

$$\varphi(x^\ell \otimes y^r) = \begin{cases} x^\ell \otimes y^r, & i \text{ is even} \\ \sum_{t=0}^r \binom{r}{t} \frac{t!}{2^t} x^{\ell+t} \otimes y^{r-t}, & i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

whose inverse is given by

$$\varphi^{-1}(x^\ell \otimes y^r) = \begin{cases} x^\ell \otimes y^r, & i \text{ is even} \\ x^\ell \otimes y^r - \frac{r}{2} x^{\ell+1} \otimes y^{r-1}, & i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. When i is even, φ is clearly bijective. We check that φ is a bijection with the given inverse when i is odd:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1}(x^\ell \otimes y^r) &= \varphi\left(x^\ell \otimes y^r - \frac{r}{2} x^{\ell+1} \otimes y^{r-1}\right) \\
&= \sum_{t=0}^r \binom{r}{t} \frac{t!}{2^t} x^{\ell+t} \otimes y^{r-t} - \frac{r}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \binom{r-1}{k} \frac{k!}{2^k} x^{\ell+1+k} \otimes y^{r-1-k} \\
&= x^\ell \otimes y^r + \sum_{t=1}^r \binom{r}{t} \frac{t!}{2^t} x^{\ell+t} \otimes y^{r-t} - \sum_{t=1}^r r \binom{r-1}{t-1} \frac{(t-1)!}{2^t} x^{\ell+t} \otimes y^{r-t} \\
&= x^\ell \otimes y^r + \sum_{t=1}^r \left(\binom{r}{t} t - r \binom{r-1}{t-1} \right) \frac{(t-1)!}{2^t} x^{\ell+t} \otimes y^{r-t} \\
&= x^\ell \otimes y^r + \sum_{t=1}^r (0) \frac{(t-1)!}{2^t} x^{\ell+t} \otimes y^{r-t} = x^\ell \otimes y^r.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.1.4), φ is a module isomorphism. Therefore $A \otimes B$ is free as an $(A \otimes_\tau B)$ -module. □

In particular, the following is useful for our computations later:

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.2.3) \quad \varphi^{-1}(1 \otimes y) &= \begin{cases} 1 \otimes y, & i \text{ is even} \\ 1 \otimes y - \frac{1}{2} x \otimes 1, & i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \\
\varphi^{-1}(1 \otimes y^{p-1}) &= \begin{cases} 1 \otimes y^{p-1}, & i \text{ is even} \\ 1 \otimes y^{p-1} + \frac{1}{2} x \otimes y^{p-2}, & i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.1.5, the total complex $K_\bullet := \text{Tot}(P_\bullet(A) \otimes P_\bullet(B))$ is a free resolution of \mathbb{k} as $A \otimes_\tau B$ -module. For each $i, j \geq 0$, let $\phi_{i,j}$ denote the free generator $1 \otimes 1$ of $P_i(A) \otimes P_j(B)$ as an $A \otimes_\tau B$ -module. Then as an R -module:

$$K_n = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} R\phi_{i,j}.$$

Recall that the differentials of this total complex are $d_n = \sum_{i+j=n} (d_i \otimes 1 + (-1)^i \otimes d_j)$. As $P_i(A) \otimes P_j(B)$ is free as an $A \otimes_\tau B$ -module with generator $\phi_{i,j}$, we can write the image of $\phi_{i,j}$ under the differential map as the action of $A \otimes_\tau B$ on $\phi_{i,j}$, using values of the inverse map φ^{-1} defined in (3.2.3) where needed. We express the differential on elements via this notation:

$$d(\phi_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} x^{p-1}\phi_{i-1,j} + y^{p-1}\phi_{i,j-1} & \text{if } i, j \text{ are even,} \\ x^{p-1}\phi_{i-1,j} + y\phi_{i,j-1} & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } j \text{ is odd,} \\ x\phi_{i-1,j} - (y^{p-1} + \frac{1}{2}xy^{p-2})\phi_{i,j-1} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd and } j \text{ is even,} \\ x\phi_{i-1,j} - (y - \frac{1}{2}x)\phi_{i,j-1} & \text{if } i, j \text{ are odd.} \end{cases}$$

We interpret $\phi_{i,j}$ to be 0 if either i or j is negative.

3.3. Resolution over the bosonization $R\#\mathbb{k}G$. Again we take \mathbb{k} to be a field of characteristic $p > 2$ and R, G as described in Section 2.2. For the group $G = \langle g \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, where q is divisible by p , we define an action of G on the R -complex K_\bullet constructed in Section 3.2, for the purpose of forming a twisted tensor product resolution of K_\bullet with a resolution of \mathbb{k} as $\mathbb{k}G$ -module. The group action will give us a twisting map on the complex K_\bullet , analogous to the twisting map defining a skew group algebra. The resulting complex will give us a resolution of \mathbb{k} over the bosonization $R\#\mathbb{k}G$.

Let $R = A \otimes_\tau B$ be the twisted tensor product with $A := \mathbb{k}[x]/(x^p)$, $B := \mathbb{k}[y]/(y^p)$ and $\tau : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$ as defined before. Under our setting in characteristic $p > 2$ and relation $yx = xy + \frac{1}{2}x^2$ in R , we obtain the following relations in R (here, we drop the tensor symbols and write xy in place of $x \otimes y$ in R):

Proposition 3.3.1. *The following relations hold in R :*

- (1) For any integer $\ell \geq 0$, $yx^\ell = x^\ell y + \frac{\ell}{2}x^{\ell+1}$.
- (2) For any integer $n \geq 1$, $(x+y)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^i} x^i y^{n-i}$.

Proof. (1) This was shown right after [4, Lemma 3.8]; we provide the proof here for completeness. We proceed by induction on ℓ . Case $\ell = 0$ is trivial. Case $\ell = 1$ is from the relation $yx = xy + \frac{1}{2}x^2$. Now assume the statement holds up to $\ell - 1$. Then by the induction hypothesis, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} yx^\ell &= (yx^{\ell-1})x = (x^{\ell-1}y + \frac{\ell-1}{2}x^\ell)x = x^{\ell-1}yx + \frac{\ell-1}{2}x^{\ell+1} \\ &= x^{\ell-1}(xy + \frac{1}{2}x^2) + \frac{\ell-1}{2}x^{\ell+1} = x^\ell y + \frac{1}{2}x^{\ell+1} + \frac{\ell-1}{2}x^{\ell+1} = x^\ell y + \frac{\ell}{2}x^{\ell+1}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) We proceed by induction on n . Case $n = 1$ is trivial. Assume the assertion is true for up to $n - 1$. Then by part (1), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(x+y)^n &= (x+y)(x+y)^{n-1} = (x+y) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^i} x^i y^{n-1-i} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^i} x^{i+1} y^{n-1-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^i} (yx^i) y^{n-1-i} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^i} x^{i+1} y^{n-1-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^i} (x^i y + \frac{i}{2} x^{i+1}) y^{n-1-i} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^i} x^{i+1} y^{n-1-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^i} x^i y^{n-i} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^i} \frac{i}{2} x^{i+1} y^{n-1-i} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} \frac{(i+2)!}{2^{i+1}} x^{i+1} y^{n-1-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^i} x^i y^{n-i} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n-1}{i-1} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^i} x^i y^{n-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^i} x^i y^{n-i} + y^n \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^i} x^i y^{n-i},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to the recursive formula of the binomial coefficients

$$\binom{n}{i} = \binom{n-1}{i-1} + \binom{n-1}{i}, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

□

Lemma 3.3.2. *Set an element*

$$\alpha := -y^{p-2} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} (-1)^{i+1} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^{i+1}} x^i y^{p-2-i} \in R.$$

Then α satisfies the following:

- (a) $x\alpha = (x+y)^{p-1} - y^{p-1} + \frac{1}{2}x[(x+y)^{p-2} - y^{p-2}]$,
- (b) $(x+y)\alpha = -y^{p-1} - \frac{1}{2}xy^{p-2}$,
- (c) $\alpha x = (x+y)^{p-1} - y^{p-1}$,
- (d) $\alpha(y - \frac{1}{2}x) = -(x+y)^{p-1}$.

Proof. **(a):** By Proposition 3.3.1 (2), we have

$$(x+y)^{p-1} - y^{p-1} + \frac{1}{2}x[(x+y)^{p-2} - y^{p-2}]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{i} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^i} x^i y^{p-1-i} + \frac{1}{2} x \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \binom{p-2}{i} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^i} x^i y^{p-2-i} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \binom{p-1}{i+1} \frac{(i+2)!}{2^{i+1}} x^{i+1} y^{p-2-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \binom{p-2}{i} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^{i+1}} x^{i+1} y^{p-2-i} \\
&= \binom{p-1}{1} x y^{p-2} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \left(\binom{p-1}{i+1} \frac{(i+2)!}{2^{i+1}} + \binom{p-2}{i} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^{i+1}} \right) x^{i+1} y^{p-2-i} \\
&= x \left[-y^{p-2} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \left(\frac{(p-1)!}{(i+1)!(p-i-2)!} \frac{(i+2)!}{2^{i+1}} + \frac{(p-2)!}{i!(p-i-2)!} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^{i+1}} \right) x^i y^{p-2-i} \right] \\
&= x \left[-y^{p-2} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} [(i+2)(p-i-1) \cdots (p-1) + (i+1)(p-i-1) \cdots (p-2)] \frac{1}{2^{i+1}} x^i y^{p-2-i} \right] \\
&= x \left[-y^{p-2} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} [(-1)^{i+1} 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (i+2) + (-1)^i 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (i+1)(i+1)] \frac{1}{2^{i+1}} x^i y^{p-2-i} \right] \\
&= x \left[-y^{p-2} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \frac{(-1)^{i+1} (i+1)!}{2^{i+1}} (i+2-i-1) x^i y^{p-2-i} \right] = x\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

(b): By Proposition 3.3.1 (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(x+y)\alpha &= (x+y) \left(-y^{p-2} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \frac{(-1)^{i+1} (i+1)!}{2^{i+1}} x^i y^{p-2-i} \right) \\
&= -xy^{p-2} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \frac{(-1)^{i+1} (i+1)!}{2^{i+1}} x^{i+1} y^{p-2-i} - y^{p-1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \frac{(-1)^{i+1} (i+1)!}{2^{i+1}} (x^i y + \frac{i}{2} x^{i+1}) y^{p-2-i} \\
&= -xy^{p-2} + \sum_{i=2}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^i i!}{2^i} x^i y^{p-1-i} - y^{p-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \frac{(-1)^{i+1} (i+1)!}{2^{i+1}} x^i y^{p-1-i} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=2}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^i i!}{2^i} \frac{i-1}{2} x^i y^{p-1-i} \\
&= -xy^{p-2} - y^{p-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \frac{(-1)^{i+1} (i+1)!}{2^{i+1}} x^i y^{p-1-i} + \sum_{i=2}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^i i!}{2^i} \frac{i+1}{2} x^i y^{p-1-i} \\
&= -xy^{p-2} - y^{p-1} + \frac{2!}{2^2} xy^{p-2} + \sum_{i=2}^{p-2} \left(\frac{(-1)^{i+1} (i+1)!}{2^{i+1}} + \frac{(-1)^i (i+1)!}{2^{i+1}} \right) x^i y^{p-1-i} \\
&\quad + \frac{(-1)^{p-1} p!}{2^p} x^p y^{p-1-(p-1)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= -y^{p-1} - \frac{1}{2}xy^{p-2}.$$

We are going to check for identities (c) and (d) in the domain $\mathbb{k}\langle x, y \rangle / (yx - xy - \frac{1}{2}x^2)$.

(c): Since $(x + y)$ is a regular element in this domain, to check (c) it suffices to show $(x + y)\alpha x = (x + y)[(x + y)^{p-1} - y^{p-1}]$. As identity (b) holds in the domain and by the relation (3.9) in [4, Lemma 3.8], we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (x + y)\alpha x &= (-y^{p-1} - \frac{1}{2}xy^{p-2})x = -y^{p-1}x - \frac{1}{2}xy^{p-2}x \\ &= -\sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{t} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t [1]^{[t]} x^{1+t} y^{p-1-t} - \frac{1}{2}x \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} \binom{p-2}{s} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^s [1]^{[s]} x^{1+s} y^{p-2-s} \\ &= -\sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{t} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t t! x^{1+t} y^{p-1-t} - \sum_{s=0}^{p-2} \binom{p-2}{s} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{s+1} s! x^{2+s} y^{p-2-s} \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^p \binom{p-1}{i-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{i-1} (i-1)! x^i y^{p-i} - \sum_{i=2}^p \binom{p-2}{i-2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{i-1} (i-2)! x^i y^{p-i} \\ &= -xy^{p-1} - \sum_{i=2}^p \left(\frac{(p-1)!}{(i-1)!(p-i)!} (i-1)! + \frac{(p-2)!}{(i-2)!(p-i)!} (i-2)! \right) \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{i-1} x^i y^{p-i} \\ &= -xy^{p-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (x + y)[(x + y)^{p-1} - y^{p-1}] &= (x + y)^p - xy^{p-1} - y^p = \sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^i} x^i y^{p-i} - xy^{p-1} - y^p \\ &= y^p - xy^{p-1} - y^p = -xy^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, as $(x + y)\alpha x = (x + y)[(x + y)^{p-1} - y^{p-1}]$, we have identity (c) as claimed.

(d): By a similar technique as in (c), to check (d) it suffices to show

$$(x + y)\alpha(y - \frac{1}{2}x) = (x + y)[-(x + y)^{p-1}].$$

As we showed identities (b) and $(x + y)\alpha x = -xy^{p-1}$ hold above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x + y)\alpha(y - \frac{1}{2}x) &= \left(-y^{p-1} - \frac{1}{2}xy^{p-2}\right)y - \frac{1}{2}(x + y)\alpha x \\ &= -y^p - \frac{1}{2}xy^{p-1} - \frac{1}{2}(-xy^{p-1}) = -y^p = -(x + y)^p = (x + y)[-(x + y)^{p-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, identity (d) holds. \square

Recall that $G = \langle g \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ acts on R by ${}^g x = x$ and ${}^g y = x + y$. We define an action of G on the complex K_\bullet , constructed in Section 3.2, as follows:

$${}^g \phi_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \phi_{i,j}, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \\ \phi_{i,j} + \phi_{i+1,j-1}, & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } j \text{ is odd} \\ \phi_{i,j} + \alpha \phi_{i+1,j-1}, & \text{if } i, j \text{ are even,} \end{cases}$$

and in general for all $0 \leq s \leq q-1$

$${}^{g^s} \phi_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \phi_{i,j}, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \\ \phi_{i,j} + s \phi_{i+1,j-1}, & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } j \text{ is odd} \\ \phi_{i,j} + ((g^{s-1} + \dots + g+1)\alpha) \phi_{i+1,j-1}, & \text{if } i, j \text{ are even.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, it is not hard to figure out the following for all $1 \leq s \leq q$

$$(3.3.3) \quad {}^{g^{-s}} \phi_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \phi_{i,j}, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \\ \phi_{i,j} - s \phi_{i+1,j-1}, & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } j \text{ is odd} \\ \phi_{i,j} - ((g^{-s-1} + \dots + g^{-1})\alpha) \phi_{i+1,j-1}, & \text{if } i, j \text{ are even.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.3.4. *With the above G -action, the complex K_\bullet is G -equivariant.*

Proof. We first show that this G -action is well-defined, that is, ${}^{g^q} \phi_{i,j} = \phi_{i,j}$.

It is clear that when i is odd, or when i is even and j is odd that ${}^{g^q} \phi_{i,j} = \phi_{i,j}$, as q is divisible by the characteristic p of the field \mathbb{k} . When i, j are both even, we need to show ${}^{g^q} \phi_{i,j} = \phi_{i,j} + ((g^{q-1} + \dots + g+1)\alpha) \phi_{i+1,j-1} = \phi_{i,j}$, that is, we need to show $(g^{q-1} + \dots + g+1)\alpha = 0$.

From Lemma 3.3.2 (c), we have $\alpha x = (x+y)^{p-1} - y^{p-1}$. Now apply g^s -action on both sides, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{g^s} \alpha {}^{g^s} x &= ({}^{g^s} x + {}^{g^s} y)^{p-1} - ({}^{g^s} y)^{p-1} \\ {}^{g^s} \alpha x &= (x+y+sx)^{p-1} - (y+sx)^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, summing over all $0 \leq s \leq q-1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{q-1} {}^{g^s} \alpha \right) x &= \sum_{s=0}^{q-1} ([y+(s+1)x]^{p-1} - (y+sx)^{p-1}) \\ &= (y+qx)^{p-1} - y^{p-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So $\sum_{s=0}^{q-1} {}^{g^s} \alpha = 0$ in the domain $\mathbb{k}\langle x, y \rangle / (yx - xy - \frac{1}{2}x^2)$ and hence is also 0 in R . Therefore, in all cases, we have ${}^{g^q} \phi_{i,j} = \phi_{i,j}$ and the above G -action is well-defined.

To check that complex K_\bullet is G -equivariant, we need to check such G -action is compatible with the differential maps in each degree, that is, $d({}^g \phi_{i,j}) = {}^g d(\phi_{i,j})$, for all $i, j \geq 0$.

When i, j are even:

$$\begin{aligned} d({}^g \phi_{i,j}) &= d(\phi_{i,j} + \alpha \phi_{i+1,j-1}) \\ &= x^{p-1} \phi_{i-1,j} + y^{p-1} \phi_{i,j-1} + \alpha \left(x \phi_{i,j-1} - \left(y - \frac{1}{2}x \right) \phi_{i+1,j-2} \right), \\ {}^g d(\phi_{i,j}) &= {}^g x^{p-1} {}^g \phi_{i-1,j} + {}^g y^{p-1} {}^g \phi_{i,j-1} \\ &= x^{p-1} \phi_{i-1,j} + (x+y)^{p-1} (\phi_{i,j-1} + \phi_{i+1,j-2}). \end{aligned}$$

By comparing the coefficients, we see that coefficients for the terms $\phi_{i,j-1}$ and $\phi_{i+1,j-2}$ are exactly identities (c) and (d) in Lemma 3.3.2, respectively.

When i is even and j is odd:

$$\begin{aligned} d({}^g\phi_{i,j}) &= d(\phi_{i,j} + \phi_{i+1,j-1}) \\ &= x^{p-1}\phi_{i-1,j} + y\phi_{i,j-1} + x\phi_{i,j-1} - (y^{p-1} + \frac{1}{2}xy^{p-2})\phi_{i+1,j-2}, \\ {}^gd(\phi_{i,j}) &= {}^gx^{p-1}{}^g\phi_{i-1,j} + {}^gy{}^g\phi_{i,j-1} \\ &= x^{p-1}\phi_{i-1,j} + (x+y)(\phi_{i,j-1} + \alpha\phi_{i+1,j-2}). \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient for the term $\phi_{i+1,j-2}$ is exactly the identity (b) in Lemma 3.3.2.

When i is odd and j is even:

$$\begin{aligned} d({}^g\phi_{i,j}) &= d(\phi_{i,j}) = x\phi_{i-1,j} - (y^{p-1} + \frac{1}{2}xy^{p-2})\phi_{i,j-1}, \\ {}^gd(\phi_{i,j}) &= {}^gx{}^g\phi_{i-1,j} - ({}^gy^{p-1} + \frac{1}{2}{}^gx{}^gy^{p-2}){}^g\phi_{i,j-1} \\ &= x[\phi_{i-1,j} + \alpha\phi_{i,j-1}] - [(x+y)^{p-1} + \frac{1}{2}x(x+y)^{p-2}]\phi_{i,j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient for the term $\phi_{i,j-1}$ is exactly the identity (a) in Lemma 3.3.2.

When i, j are odd:

$$\begin{aligned} d({}^g\phi_{i,j}) &= d(\phi_{i,j}) = x\phi_{i-1,j} - (y - \frac{1}{2}x)\phi_{i,j-1}, \\ {}^gd(\phi_{i,j}) &= {}^gx{}^g\phi_{i-1,j} - ({}^gy - \frac{1}{2}{}^gx){}^g\phi_{i,j-1} \\ &= x(\phi_{i-1,j} + \phi_{i,j-1}) - (x+y - \frac{1}{2}x)\phi_{i,j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In all cases, we have $d({}^g\phi_{i,j}) = {}^gd(\phi_{i,j})$. Thus, complex K_\bullet is G -equivariant. \square

We use this G -action next to form a twisted tensor product resolution of \mathbb{k} as an $R\#\mathbb{k}G$ -module. Let the twisting map $\tau'_n : \mathbb{k}G \otimes K_n \rightarrow K_n \otimes \mathbb{k}G$ be given by the action of G on K_n , so that

$$\tau'_{i+j}(g \otimes \phi_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} \phi_{i,j} \otimes g & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \\ (\phi_{i,j} + \phi_{i+1,j-1}) \otimes g & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } j \text{ is odd} \\ (\phi_{i,j} + \alpha\phi_{i+1,j-1}) \otimes g & \text{if } i, j \text{ are even.} \end{cases}$$

Then K_\bullet is compatible with τ' (giving the twisting that governs the smash product construction) via the maps τ'_n .

Let $P_\bullet(\mathbb{k}G)$ be the following free resolution of the trivial $\mathbb{k}G$ -module \mathbb{k} :

$$P_\bullet(\mathbb{k}G) : \quad \cdots \xrightarrow{(\sum_{s=0}^{q-1} g^s)} \mathbb{k}G \xrightarrow{(g-1)} \mathbb{k}G \xrightarrow{(\sum_{s=0}^{q-1} g^s)} \mathbb{k}G \xrightarrow{(g-1)} \mathbb{k}G \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{k} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where ε takes g to 1.

Let $Y_\bullet := \text{Tot}(K_\bullet \otimes P_\bullet(\mathbb{k}G))$. By [20, Lemma 5.9], $Y_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ is exact. The modules are free $(R\#\mathbb{k}G)$ -modules by a similar argument to what we used earlier: In each degree we have a direct sum of modules of the form $R \otimes \mathbb{k}G$. Each such is freely generated by some $\phi_{i,j} \otimes \phi_k$, where ϕ_k denotes the free generator for $P_k(\mathbb{k}G) = \mathbb{k}G$. So by Theorem 3.1.5, Y_\bullet is a free resolution of the $(R\#\mathbb{k}G)$ -module \mathbb{k} .

For each $i, j, k \geq 0$, let $\phi_{i,j,k}$ denote the free generator $\phi_{i,j} \otimes \phi_k$ of $K_{i+j} \otimes P_k(\mathbb{kG})$ as an $(R\#\mathbb{kG})$ -module. We set $\phi_{i,j,k} = 0$ if one of i, j, k is negative. Then, for all $n \geq 0$, as an $(R\#\mathbb{kG})$ -module,

$$Y_n = \bigoplus_{i+j+k=n} (R\#\mathbb{kG}) \phi_{i,j,k}.$$

We express the differentials on elements via this notation.

$$d(\phi_{i,j,k}) = d(\phi_{i,j}) \otimes \phi_k + (-1)^{i+j} \begin{cases} (g-1)\phi_{i,j,k-1}, & \text{if } i, k \text{ are odd} \\ (g-1)\phi_{i,j,k-1} - g\phi_{i+1,j-1,k-1}, & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } j, k \text{ are odd} \\ (g-1)\phi_{i,j,k-1} - \alpha g\phi_{i+1,j-1,k-1}, & \text{if } i, j \text{ are even and } k \text{ is odd} \\ \left(\sum_{s=0}^{q-1} g^s\right)\phi_{i,j,k-1}, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd and } k \text{ is even} \\ \left(\sum_{s=0}^{q-1} g^s\right)\phi_{i,j,k-1} - \left(\sum_{s=0}^{q-1} sg^s\right)\phi_{i+1,j-1,k-1}, & \text{if } i, k \text{ are even and } j \text{ is odd} \\ \left(\sum_{s=0}^{q-1} g^s\right)\phi_{i,j,k-1} - \sum_{s=1}^{q-1} (g^{s-1} + \dots + g^{s-1})\alpha g^s \phi_{i+1,j-1,k-1}, & \text{if } i, j, k \text{ are even.} \end{cases}$$

We will give partial verification to the above differentials in view of (3.3.3).

The case for i, k are even and j is odd.

$$\begin{aligned} d(\phi_{i,j,k}) &= d(\phi_{i,j}) \otimes \phi_k + (-1)^{i+j} \phi_{i,j} \otimes d(\phi_k) = d(\phi_{i,j}) \otimes \phi_k + (-1)^{i+j} \phi_{i,j} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{q-1} g^s \right) \otimes \phi_{k-1} \\ &= d(\phi_{i,j}) \otimes \phi_k + (-1)^{i+j} \sum_{s=0}^{q-1} g^s (g^{-s} \phi_{i,j}) \otimes \phi_{k-1} \\ &= d(\phi_{i,j}) \otimes \phi_k + (-1)^{i+j} \sum_{s=0}^{q-1} g^s (\phi_{i,j} - s\phi_{i+1,j-1}) \otimes \phi_{k-1} \\ &= d(\phi_{i,j}) \otimes \phi_k + (-1)^{i+j} \left[\left(\sum_{s=0}^{q-1} g^s \right) \phi_{i,j,k-1} - \left(\sum_{s=0}^{q-1} sg^s \right) \phi_{i+1,j-1,k-1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

The case for i, j, k are even.

$$\begin{aligned} d(\phi_{i,j,k}) &= d(\phi_{i,j}) \otimes \phi_k + (-1)^{i+j} \phi_{i,j} \otimes d(\phi_k) = d(\phi_{i,j}) \otimes \phi_k + (-1)^{i+j} \phi_{i,j} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{q-1} g^s \right) \otimes \phi_{k-1} \\ &= d(\phi_{i,j}) \otimes \phi_k + (-1)^{i+j} \sum_{s=0}^{q-1} g^s (g^{-s} \phi_{i,j}) \otimes \phi_{k-1} \\ &= d(\phi_{i,j}) \otimes \phi_k + (-1)^{i+j} \left[\left(\phi_{i,j} + \sum_{s=1}^{q-1} g^s (\phi_{i,j} - (g^{-1} + \dots + g^{-s})\alpha \phi_{i+1,j-1}) \right) \otimes \phi_{k-1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= d(\phi_{i,j}) \otimes \phi_k + (-1)^{i+j} \left[\left(\sum_{s=0}^{q-1} g^s \right) \phi_{i,j,k-1} - \left(\sum_{s=1}^{q-1} g^s (g^{-1+\dots+g^{-s}} \alpha) \right) \phi_{i+1,j-1,k-1} \right] \\
&= d(\phi_{i,j}) \otimes \phi_k + (-1)^{i+j} \left[\left(\sum_{s=0}^{q-1} g^s \right) \phi_{i,j,k-1} - \left(\sum_{s=1}^{q-1} (g^{s-1} + \dots + g^{+1}) \alpha g^s \right) \phi_{i+1,j-1,k-1} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Note that in the above formulas for the differentials, we have $\varepsilon \left(\sum_{s=0}^{q-1} g^s \right) = 0 = \varepsilon \left(\sum_{s=0}^{q-1} s g^s \right)$ in characteristic p , since p divides q . Among these differentials of free $R\#\mathbb{k}G$ -module basis elements, the only terms in the outcomes $d(\phi_{i,j,k})$ that do not have coefficients in the augmentation ideal $\text{Ker}(\varepsilon)$ are the terms $-g\phi_{i+1,j-1,k-1}$, occurring when i is even and j, k are odd.

Consequently, letting $n = i + j + k$ and $\phi_{i,j,k}^*$ be the dual basis vector to $\phi_{i,j,k}$ in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}} \left(\bigoplus_{i'+j'+k'=n} \mathbb{k}\phi_{i',j',k'}, \mathbb{k} \right) \cong \text{Hom}_{R\#\mathbb{k}G}(Y_n, \mathbb{k})$, we have

$$d^*(\phi_{i,j,k}^*) = \begin{cases} -\phi_{i-1,j+1,k+1}^*, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd and } j, k \text{ are even} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The cocycles are thus all the $\phi_{i,j,k}^*$ except those for which i is odd and j, k are even. The coboundaries are the $\phi_{i,j,k}^*$ for which i is even and j, k are odd. Therefore, for all $n \geq 0$, as a vector space,

$$H^n(R\#\mathbb{k}G, \mathbb{k}) \cong \begin{cases} \text{Span}_{\mathbb{k}}\{\phi_{i,j,k}^* \mid i+j+k=n\} \\ - \text{Span}_{\mathbb{k}}\{\phi_{i,j,k}^* \mid i \text{ is even and } j, k \text{ are odd}\}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \text{Span}_{\mathbb{k}}\{\phi_{i,j,k}^* \mid i+j+k=n\} \\ - \text{Span}_{\mathbb{k}}\{\phi_{i,j,k}^* \mid i \text{ is odd and } j, k \text{ are even}\}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

4. ANICK RESOLUTIONS

In this section, we recall the Anick resolution and make some additional observations about it in our setting. We will use the Anick resolution in Section 5.2.

4.1. The resolution construction. We generally construct the Anick resolution [1] as envisioned by Cojocaru and Ufnarovski [5], adapted here to left modules under some conditions. An algorithmic description using Gröbner bases is given by Green and Solberg [12]. The construction of the resolution also serves as a proof of exactness, since the differentials are defined recursively in each degree, making use of a contracting homotopy in the previous degree that is constructed recursively as well. See Theorem 4.1.2 below, due to Anick. We include a proof in our setting because we will use the construction in Sections 4.2 and 5.2.

Let $A = T(V)/(I)$ where V is a finite dimensional vector space, $T(V) = T_{\mathbb{k}}(V)$ is the tensor algebra on V over \mathbb{k} , and I is a set of relations generating an ideal (I) . We denote the image of an element v of V in A also by v when it will cause no confusion. We assume that A is augmented by an algebra homomorphism $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ with $\varepsilon(v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$. Fix a totally ordered basis v_1, \dots, v_n of V (say $v_1 < \dots < v_n$) and consider the degree lexicographic ordering on words in v_1, \dots, v_n . That is, we give each v_i the degree 1, and monomials (words) are ordered first according to total degree, then monomials having the same degree (i.e. word length) are ordered as in a dictionary.

A *normal word* (called an element of an *order ideal of monomials*, or *o.i.m.* in [1]) is a monomial (considered as an element of $T(V)$) that cannot be written as a linear combination

of smaller words in A . As a vector space, A has a basis in one-to-one correspondence with the set of normal words.

A *tip* (called an *obstruction* in [1]) is a word (considered as an element in $T(V)$) that is not normal but for which any proper subword is normal. It follows that the tips correspond to the relations: Let u be a tip and write the image of u in A as a linear combination $u = \sum a_i t_i$, where each t_i is a normal word and a_i is a scalar. Then, viewed as an element of $T(V)$, $u - \sum a_i t_i$ is in the ideal of relations, (I) . It also follows that the tips are in one-to-one correspondence with a Gröbner basis of (I) [12].

We construct the Anick resolution from the chosen sets of generators and relations in A as follows. We reindex in comparison to [1] so that indices for spaces correspond to homological degrees, and indices for functions correspond to homological degrees of their domains.

The Anick resolution is a free resolution of \mathbb{k} considered to be an A -module under the augmentation ε . We will first describe a free basis C_n in each homological degree n of the resolution. We will write the resolution as:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{d_3} A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{k} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathbb{k}C_n$ denotes the vector space with basis C_n . We adapt the degree lexicographic ordering on monomials in $T(V)$ to each A -module $A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_n$ by giving an element $s \otimes t$, where s is a normal word and $t \in C_n$, the degree of st viewed as an element of $T(V)$.

Let

$$C_1 = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\},$$

that is, C_1 is the chosen set of generators. Let C_2 be the set of tips (or obstructions). The remaining sets C_n will be defined as sets of paths of length n in a directed graph (or quiver) associated to the generators and tips as follows [5]. The graph will have at most one directed arrow joining two vertices, and paths will be denoted by the product of their vertices in $T(V)$, written from right to left, for example, if f, g are vertices and there is an arrow from f to g , we denote the arrow by gf , and if there is a further arrow from g to h , then hgf denotes the path

$$f \rightarrow g \rightarrow h$$

starting at f , passing through g , and ending at h .

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis of V , equipped with the ordering $v_1 < \dots < v_n$ as above, so that we may identify \mathcal{B} with C_1 . Let \mathcal{T} be the set of tips. Let \mathcal{R} be the set of all proper prefixes (that is, left factors) of the tips considered as elements of $T(V)$. (Note that $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{R}$.) Let $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T})$ be the following quiver. The vertex set is $\{1\} \cup \mathcal{R}$. The arrows are all $1 \rightarrow v_i$ for $v_i \in \mathcal{B}$ and all $f \rightarrow g$ for which the word gf (viewed as an element of $T(V)$) uniquely contains a tip, and that tip is a prefix (possibly coinciding with gf).

The set C_n consists of all paths of length n starting from 1 in the quiver. In this context, the path $1 \rightarrow f \rightarrow g$ is identified with the product gf . (Note that $f \rightarrow g$ does not occur on its own as an element of any C_i if $f \neq 1$, so for our purposes there will be no confusion in denoting paths this way.) For use in constructing the chains C_n , we observe that we only use the vertices that are in the connected component of \mathbf{Q} containing 1. Let $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} = \overline{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T})$ be the connected component of 1 in \mathbf{Q} , called the *reduced quiver* of \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{T} .

The differentials d are defined recursively, with a simultaneous recursive definition of a \mathbb{k} -linear contracting homotopy s :

$$(4.1.1) \quad \cdots \xrightleftharpoons[s_2]{d_3} A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_2 \xrightleftharpoons[s_1]{d_2} A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_1 \xrightleftharpoons[s_0]{d_1} A \xrightleftharpoons[\eta]{\varepsilon} \mathbb{k} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where η is the unit map (taking the multiplicative identity of \mathbb{k} to the multiplicative identity of A). We give these definitions next in our setting, simultaneously proving the following theorem. Examples are given in [1, 5] and below in Sections 4.2 and 5.2.

Theorem 4.1.2. [1, Theorem 1.4] *There are maps d_n, s_n for which $(A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_\bullet, d_\bullet)$ is a free resolution of \mathbb{k} as an A -module and s_\bullet is a contracting homotopy.*

Proof. We first define the maps d_n, s_{n-1} for $n = 1, 2$ to illustrate the general method. We then use induction on n .

Degree 1: We take $n = 1$ and let

$$d_1(1 \otimes v_i) = v_i$$

for all v_i in \mathcal{B} and extend d_1 so that it is a left A -module homomorphism. To define the \mathbb{k} -linear map $s_0 : A \rightarrow A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_1$, first write elements of A as \mathbb{k} -linear combinations of normal words (which form the chosen vector space basis of A). Define s_0 on A via its values on all normal words, which are as follows. Set $s_0(1) = 0$ and $s_0(uv_i) = u \otimes v_i$ for all normal words of the form uv_i for some word u and v_i in \mathcal{B} . Extend s_0 so that it is a \mathbb{k} -linear map on A , and note that it will not be an A -module homomorphism in general. We now see that by construction,

$$a = (d_1 s_0 + \eta \varepsilon)(a)$$

for all $a \in A$. It also follows that $A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_1 = \text{Ker}(d_1) \oplus \text{Im}(s_0)$. To see this, let $b \in A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_1$ and write $b = (b - s_0 d_1(b)) + s_0 d_1(b)$. One checks that $b - s_0 d_1(b) \in \text{Ker}(d_1)$; by definition, $s_0 d_1(b) \in \text{Im}(s_0)$. The intersection of these two spaces is 0 by the above equation and definitions: If $b \in \text{Ker}(d_1) \cap \text{Im}(s_0)$, write $b = s_0(c)$. Then

$$c = (d_1 s_0 + \eta \varepsilon)(c) = \eta \varepsilon(c),$$

which implies $c \in \mathbb{k}$ so that $b = s_0(c) = 0$.

Degree 2: We take $n = 2$ and define $d_2(1 \otimes u)$ for u in C_2 as follows. By definition of C_2 , we may write $u = rv_i$ uniquely in $T(V)$ for a word r in \mathcal{R} and $v_i \in C_1$. Consider $r \otimes v_i$ as an element of $A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_1$, and further take its image under the A -module homomorphism d_1 :

$$d_1(r \otimes v_i) = r d_1(1 \otimes v_i) = r v_i.$$

Define

$$d_2(1 \otimes u) = r \otimes v_i - s_0(d_1(r \otimes v_i)) = r \otimes v_i - s_0(r v_i),$$

and extend d_2 so that it is an A -module homomorphism on $A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_2$. By its definition, $r v_i$ when considered as an element of $T(V)$ is a tip (not a normal word), and considered here as an element of A , it must be rewritten as a \mathbb{k} -linear combination of normal words before applying s_0 (since s_0 is a \mathbb{k} -linear map but not an A -module homomorphism). Now the definitions of d_1 and s_0 imply $d_1 s_0|_{\text{Ker}(\varepsilon)} = \mathbf{1}_{\text{Ker}(\varepsilon)}$, the identity map on $\text{Ker}(\varepsilon)$. It also follows that $d_1 d_2 = 0$.

We wish to define s_1 so that $d_2 s_1|_{\text{Ker}(d_1)} = \mathbf{1}_{\text{Ker}(d_1)}$ and more generally so that

$$d_2 s_1 + s_0 d_1 = \mathbf{1}_{A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_1}.$$

First define s_1 on elements in $\text{Ker}(d_1)$ by induction on their degrees, starting with those that are least in the ordering, which are elements of $A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_1$ corresponding to relations: Ordering the elements of C_2 as u_1, \dots, u_ℓ , with u_1 least, we define $s_1(d_2(1 \otimes u_1)) = 1 \otimes u_1$. Recall that we have chosen a total order on a vector space basis of $A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_1$, given by elements $r \otimes v_i$ where r is a normal word and $v_i \in \mathcal{B}$, to coincide with the order on the corresponding words rv_i in $T(V)$. Assume s_1 has been defined on elements of $\text{Ker}(d_1)$ with highest term of degree (i.e. position in the total order) less than n . Let $\sum_{j=1}^m a_{i_j} r_{i_j} \otimes v_{i_j} \in \text{Ker}(d_1)$ for some nonzero $a_{i_j} \in \mathbb{k}$, $r_{i_j} \in A$, with v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_m} distinct elements of \mathcal{B} , and terms ordered so that $r_{i_1} \otimes v_{i_1}$ is greatest and has degree n . Since $d_1(\sum a_{i_j} r_{i_j} \otimes v_{i_j}) = 0$ in A by assumption, and $r_{i_1} \otimes v_{i_1}$ is greatest, the monomial $r_{i_1} v_{i_1}$ in $T(V)$ must contain a tip. Since r_{i_1} is a nonzero normal word, the tip must be a suffix (that is, right factor) of $r_{i_1} v_{i_1}$, say $r_{i_1} v_{i_1} = v' u'$ in $T(V)$ with u' a tip. Since u' is a tip, there is an element in the ideal (I) of the form $u' + \sum_{k=1}^\ell b_k t_k$ for some normal words t_k and scalars b_k . Write each $t_k = t'_k v_{i_k}$ for words t'_k . Set $\alpha = -\sum_{k=1}^\ell a_{i_1} b_k v' t'_k \otimes v_{i_k} + \sum_{j=2}^m a_{i_j} r_{i_j} \otimes v_{i_j}$ and

$$s_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m a_{i_j} r_{i_j} \otimes v_{i_j} \right) = a_{i_1} v' \otimes u' + s_1(\alpha).$$

Note that α consists of terms of lower degree than $r_{i_1} \otimes v_{i_1}$, and $\alpha \in \text{Ker}(d_1)$ by construction, so we may now apply the induction hypothesis to define $s_1(\alpha)$. Recall that $A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_1 = \text{Ker}(d_1) \oplus \text{Im}(s_0)$. We define s_1 on $\text{Im}(s_0)$ to be 0. We claim that by these definitions,

$$d_2 s_1 + s_0 d_1 = \mathbf{1}_{A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_1}.$$

To see this, we check separately for elements of $\text{Ker}(d_1)$ and of $\text{Im}(s_0)$. If $x = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{i_j} r_{i_j} \otimes v_{i_j} \in \text{Ker}(d_1)$ as above, then by the inductive definition of s_1 , we have

$$(d_2 s_1 + s_0 d_1)(x) = d_2 s_1(x) = x.$$

If $x \in \text{Im}(s_0)$ then

$$(d_2 s_1 + s_0 d_1)(x) = s_0 d_1(x) = x$$

since $d_1 s_0 + \eta \varepsilon = \mathbf{1}_A$. It follows that $A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_2 = \text{Ker}(d_2) \oplus \text{Im}(s_1)$: If $b \in A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_2$ then $b = (b - s_1 d_2(b)) + s_1 d_2(b)$, with $b - s_1 d_2(b)$ in $\text{Ker}(d_2)$ and $s_1 d_2(b)$ in $\text{Im}(s_1)$. If $b \in \text{Ker}(d_2) \cap \text{Im}(s_1)$, write $b = s_1(c)$, and we have $c = (d_2 s_1 + s_0 d_1)(c) = s_0 d_1(c)$. Then $b = s_1(c) = s_1 s_0 d_1(c) = 0$ since $s_1 s_0 = 0$ by definition of s_1 .

Degree at least 3: We take $n \geq 3$ and assume that A -module homomorphisms d_1, \dots, d_{n-1} and \mathbb{k} -linear maps s_0, \dots, s_{n-2} have been defined so that $d_{i-1} d_i = 0$, $s_{i-1} s_{i-2} = 0$, and $d_i s_{i-1} + s_{i-2} d_{i-1} = \mathbf{1}_{A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_{i-1}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. It follows by an argument similar to the above that

$$A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_i = \text{Ker}(d_i) \oplus \text{Im}(s_{i-1})$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. In particular, $A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_{n-1} = \text{Ker}(d_{n-1}) \oplus \text{Im}(s_{n-2})$. We will define d_n and s_{n-1} . The map d_n is defined first as follows. Let $u \in C_n$. We may write uniquely $u = r u'$ for $u' \in C_{n-1}$ and r in \mathcal{R} by construction of the quiver \mathbf{Q} . Let

$$(4.1.3) \quad d_n(1 \otimes u) = r \otimes u' - s_{n-2} d_{n-1}(r \otimes u').$$

Now $d_{n-1}(r \otimes u') = r d_{n-1}(1 \otimes u')$ since d_{n-1} is an A -module homomorphism, and in order to apply s_{n-2} to this element of $A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_{n-2}$, any elements of A will need to be rewritten as linear combinations of normal words before applying s_{n-2} (since s_{n-2} is \mathbb{k} -linear but not an

A -module homomorphism in general). It follows directly from the definition of d_n and the induction hypothesis that $d_{n-1}d_n = 0$.

We wish to define s_{n-1} so that $d_n s_{n-1}|_{\text{Ker}(d_{n-1})} = \mathbf{1}_{\text{Ker}(d_{n-1})}$ and more generally so that

$$d_n s_{n-1} + s_{n-2} d_{n-1} = \mathbf{1}_{A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_{n-1}}.$$

The map s_{n-1} is defined inductively as follows. Let $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i r_i \otimes u_i \in \text{Ker}(d_{n-1})$ for some $a_i \in \mathbb{k}$, normal words $r_i \in A$, and $u_i \in C_{n-1}$. Recall that we have chosen a total order on a vector space basis of $A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_{n-1}$, given by elements $r \otimes u$ where r is a normal word and $u \in C_{n-1}$, to coincide with the order on the corresponding words ru in $T(V)$. We may assume $r_1 \otimes u_1$ is the highest term among all $r_i \otimes u_i$. Write $u_1 = u' u''$, uniquely, where $u'' \in C_{n-2}$. Then by definition of d_{n-1} (replacing n by $n-1$ in equation (4.1.3)), we have

$$0 = d_{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i r_i \otimes u_i \right) = a_1 r_1 u' \otimes u'' + \beta,$$

where $\beta = -s_{n-3} d_{n-2}(a_1 r_1 u' \otimes u'') + d_{n-1}(\sum_{i=2}^m a_i r_i \otimes u_i)$, and when the term $d_{n-1}(\sum a_i r_i \otimes u_i)$ is expanded, due to cancellation, the resulting expression for β consists of terms lower in the order than $r_1 \otimes u_1$. Since $0 = a_1 r_1 u' \otimes u'' + \beta$, considering $r_1 u'$ as a word in $T(V)$, there is a tip v'' that is a factor of $r_1 u'$ in $T(V)$. To make a unique choice of such a tip, write $r_1 = v_{j_1} \cdots v_{j_\ell}$ as a word in the letters in \mathcal{B} . Now u' is not a tip, but $r_1 u'$ contains a tip, and so there is a largest k ($k \leq \ell$) for which $v_{j_k} \cdots v_{j_\ell} u'$ (uniquely) contains a tip, and by construction this tip will then be a prefix. Thus we may write, uniquely, $r_1 u' = v' t u'$ where $t \in \mathcal{R}$ and $t u'$ uniquely contains a tip that is a prefix. So there is an arrow $u' \rightarrow t$ in the reduced quiver $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ by definition. Therefore $t u_1 = t u' u'' \in C_n$. We may thus set

$$(4.1.4) \quad s_{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i r_i \otimes u_i \right) = a_1 v' \otimes t u_1 + s_{n-1}(\gamma),$$

where

$$\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i r_i \otimes u_i - d_n(a_1 v' \otimes t u_1)$$

has highest term that is lower in the order than $r_1 \otimes u_1$. (To obtain the above expression, in the argument $\sum a_i r_i \otimes u_i$ of s_{n-1} , we have added and subtracted $d_n(a_1 v' \otimes t u_1)$.) Now continue in the same fashion to obtain $s_{n-1}(\gamma)$ in terms of elements lower in the total order, and so on. Since the chosen basis of $A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_{n-1}$ is well-ordered, we will eventually reach an expression involving $s_{n-1}(0) = 0$.

As before, we define s_{n-1} on $\text{Im}(s_{n-2})$ to be 0, so that $s_{n-1} s_{n-2} = 0$. A calculation as before now shows that

$$d_n s_{n-1} + s_{n-2} d_{n-1} = \mathbf{1}_{A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_{n-1}}.$$

Now by its definition and the above arguments, s_\bullet is a contracting homotopy for the complex (4.1.1), implying that the complex is exact, and therefore $(A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_\bullet, d_\bullet)$ is a free resolution of \mathbb{k} as an A -module. \square

4.2. A truncated polynomial ring. In this section, we let \mathbb{k} be any field and m_1, m_2, m_3 be positive integers at least 2. We look closely at the Anick resolution for the algebra $A = \mathbb{k}[w, x, y]/(w^{m_1}, x^{m_2}, y^{m_3})$ which will be used in the next section. For this purpose, choose generating set $\mathcal{B} = \{w, x, y\}$ and relations

$$(4.2.1) \quad I = \{w^{m_1}, x^{m_2}, y^{m_3}, wx - xw, wy - yw, xy - yx\}.$$

Then A has basis $\{w^i x^j y^k \mid 0 \leq i \leq m_1 - 1, 0 \leq j \leq m_2 - 1, 0 \leq k \leq m_3 - 1\}$. We choose the ordering $w < x < y$. So, for example, the degree lex ordering on basis elements in degrees 0, 1, 2 is

$$1 < w < x < y < w^2 < wx < wy < x^2 < xy < y^2.$$


Note that xy is a normal word, while yx is a tip (or obstruction). Generally, the normal words correspond to the PBW basis of A , and the tips are

$$\mathcal{T} := \{w^{m_1}, x^{m_2}, y^{m_3}, xw, yw, yx\}.$$

The proper prefixes of the tips are

$$\mathcal{R} = \{w^i, x^j, y^k \mid 0 \leq i \leq m_1 - 1, 0 \leq j \leq m_2 - 1, 0 \leq k \leq m_3 - 1\}.$$

The corresponding reduced quiver $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$, as defined in Section 4.1, is as follows. (The nonreduced quiver \mathbf{Q} contains additional vertices and arrows if $m > 3$, but we will not need this here.) If $m_1 = 2$, the vertices w and w^{m_1-1} are identified, and there is a loop at that vertex. Similarly for m_2, m_3 .



We have

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \{w, x, y\}, \\ C_2 &= \{w^{m_1}, x^{m_2}, y^{m_3}, xw, yw, yx\}, \\ C_3 &= \{w^{m_1+1}, x^{m_2+1}, y^{m_3+1}, xw^{m_1}, yw^{m_1}, yx^{m_2}, x^{m_2}w, y^{m_3}w, y^{m_3}x, yxw\}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly we may find C_n for $n > 3$.

A free basis of C_n is all $1 \otimes u$ where u is a path of length n starting at 1 in the above reduced quiver $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. Fix such a free basis element $1 \otimes u$. Suppose $n = i + j + k$ and the first i vertices in the path u are in the set $\{w, w^{m_1-1}\}$, the second j vertices of u are in the set $\{x, x^{m_2-1}\}$, and the third k vertices are in the set $\{y, y^{m_3-1}\}$. Write $u = u_{i,j,k}$ and note that the triple of indices i, j, k uniquely determines the path. For convenience, we set $C_0 = \{1\}$ and $u_{000} = 1$, identifying A with $A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_0$. We set $u_{ijk} = 0$ if i, j , or k is negative.

Lemma 4.2.2. *Let $A = \mathbb{k}[w, x, y]/(w^{m_1}, x^{m_2}, y^{m_3})$, and let P denote the Anick resolution of A with respect to the chosen generators w, x, y and relations (4.2.1). Then*

$$d_n(1 \otimes u_{ijk}) = y^{\sigma_3(k)} \otimes u_{i,j,k-1} + (-1)^k x^{\sigma_2(j)} \otimes u_{i,j-1,k} + (-1)^{j+k} w^{\sigma_1(i)} \otimes u_{i-1,j,k}$$

where $n = i + j + k$, and $\sigma_a(\ell) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \ell \text{ is odd,} \\ m_a - 1, & \text{if } \ell \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$

Proof. We will prove the formula for d_n by induction on n . By definition, $d_1(1 \otimes u_{100}) = w \otimes u_{000}$, $d_1(1 \otimes u_{010}) = y \otimes u_{000}$, and $d_1(1 \otimes u_{001}) = x \otimes u_{000}$, and these values agree with the claimed formula for d_1 .

Assume the formula holds for d_{n-1} . We first consider the case $j = k = 0$ and $i > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(1 \otimes u_{i00}) &= w^{\sigma_1(i)} \otimes u_{i-1,0,0} - s_{n-2}d_{n-1}(w^{\sigma_1(i)} \otimes u_{i-1,0,0}) \\ &= w^{\sigma_1(i)} \otimes u_{i-1,0,0} - s_{n-2}(w^{\sigma_1(i)}(w^{\sigma_1(i-1)} \otimes u_{i-2,0,0})) \\ &= w^{\sigma_1(i)} \otimes u_{i-1,0,0} - s_{n-2}(0) = w^{\sigma_1(i)} \otimes u_{i-1,0,0}, \end{aligned}$$

since $w^{\sigma_1(i)}w^{\sigma_1(i-1)} = 0$ in the algebra A . This outcome agrees with the stated formula for d_n . Next consider the case $k = 0$ and $j > 0$, applying the construction of s_{n-2} described in the proof of Theorem 4.1.2: The vertex $x^{\sigma_2(j)}$ is last in the path u_{ij0} , so by induction,

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(1 \otimes u_{ij0}) &= x^{\sigma_2(j)} \otimes u_{i,j-1,0} - s_{n-2}d_{n-1}(x^{\sigma_2(j)} \otimes u_{i,j-1,0}) \\ &= x^{\sigma_2(j)} \otimes u_{i,j-1,0} - s_{n-2}((-1)^{j-1}w^{\sigma_1(i)}x^{\sigma_2(j)} \otimes u_{i-1,j-1,0}) \\ &= x^{\sigma_2(j)} \otimes u_{i,j-1,0} + (-1)^jw^{\sigma_1(i)} \otimes u_{i-1,j,0}, \end{aligned}$$

since $x^{\sigma_2(j)}x^{\sigma_2(j-1)} = 0$ in A . This agrees with the stated formula for d_n . In case $k > 0$, since the vertex labeled $y^{\sigma_3(k)}$ is the last in the path u_{ijk} , by induction, since $y^{\sigma_3(k)}y^{\sigma_3(k-1)} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(1 \otimes u_{ijk}) &= y^{\sigma_3(k)} \otimes u_{i,j,k-1} - s_{n-2}d_{n-1}(y^{\sigma_3(k)} \otimes u_{i,j,k-1}) \\ &= y^{\sigma_3(k)} \otimes u_{i,j,k-1} - s_{n-2}(y^{\sigma_3(k)}((-1)^{k-1}x^{\sigma_2(j)} \otimes u_{i,j-1,k-1} + (-1)^{j+k-1}w^{\sigma_1(i)} \otimes u_{i-1,j,k-1})) \\ &= y^{\sigma_3(k)} \otimes u_{i,j,k-1} - s_{n-2}((-1)^{k-1}x^{\sigma_2(j)}y^{\sigma_3(k)} \otimes u_{i,j-1,k-1} + (-1)^{j+k-1}w^{\sigma_1(i)}y^{\sigma_3(k)} \otimes u_{i-1,j,k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Compare the two terms comprising the argument of s_{n-2} ; they are $x^{\sigma_2(j)}y^{\sigma_3(k)} \otimes u_{i,j-1,k-1}$ and $w^{\sigma_1(i)}y^{\sigma_3(k)} \otimes u_{i-1,j,k-1}$, up to sign. These terms have the same total degree, since each arises via an application to $u_{i,j,k}$ of some differential maps (that do not change total degree). Thus we must compare them lexicographically, and we see that $x^{\sigma_2(j)}y^{\sigma_3(k)} \otimes u_{i,j-1,k-1}$ is the higher of the two terms. The first step of applying s_{n-2} thus involves the term $x^{\sigma_2(j)} \otimes u_{i,j-1,k}$ corresponding to this expression as the first term on the right side of equation (4.1.4). Continuing by working inductively, with appropriate signs, we obtain

$$y^{\sigma_3(k)} \otimes u_{i,j,k-1} + (-1)^kx^{\sigma_2(j)} \otimes u_{i,j-1,k} + (-1)^{j+k}w^{\sigma_1(i)} \otimes u_{i-1,j,k},$$

as desired. \square

Next we show that the Anick resolution is isomorphic to a twisted tensor product resolution for this small example.

Lemma 4.2.3. *Let $A = \mathbb{k}[w, x, y]/(w^{m_1}, x^{m_2}, y^{m_3})$. The Anick resolution P_\bullet for A is equivalent to the total complex K_\bullet of the tensor product of the minimal resolutions of $A_w = \mathbb{k}[w]/(w^{m_1})$, $A_x = \mathbb{k}[x]/(x^{m_2})$, and $A_y = \mathbb{k}[y]/(y^{m_3})$, that is, for each n there is an A -module isomorphism $\psi_n : P_n \rightarrow K_n$ and ψ_\bullet is a chain map lifting the identity map on \mathbb{k} .*

Proof. Let $P(A_w)$ be the following free resolution of \mathbb{k} as an A -module:

$$P(A_w) : \quad \cdots \xrightarrow{(w^{m_1-1})} A_w \xrightarrow{w} A_w \xrightarrow{(w^{m_1-1})} A_w \xrightarrow{w} A_w \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{k} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $P(A_x)$ and $P(A_y)$ be similar free resolutions of \mathbb{k} as an A_x -module and as an A_y -module, respectively. Let $K_\bullet = \text{Tot}(P(A_y) \otimes P(A_x) \otimes P(A_w))$, be the total complex of the tensor product of these three complexes. Let δ denote the differential on P_\bullet .

We will show that $P_n \cong K_n$ as an A -module for each n and that such isomorphisms may be chosen so as to constitute a chain map between P_\bullet and K_\bullet . We will prove this by induction on n , beginning with $n = 0$ and $n = 1$. For $n = 0$, note that $P_0 = A \cong A_y \otimes A_x \otimes A_w = K_0$ and each maps onto \mathbb{k} via ε . We take ψ_0 to be this isomorphism.

For $n = 1$, note that $P_1 = A \otimes \mathbb{k}\{w, x, y\}$, while K_1 is equal to

$$(P(A_y)_1 \otimes P(A_x)_0 \otimes P(A_w)_0) \oplus (P(A_y)_0 \otimes P(A_x)_1 \otimes P(A_w)_0) \oplus (P(A_y)_0 \otimes P(A_x)_0 \otimes P(A_w)_1).$$

To keep track of degrees, let ϕ_{100} denote $1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1$ in $P(A_y)_1 \otimes P(A_x)_0 \otimes P(A_w)_0$ and similarly ϕ_{010} , ϕ_{001} . Let $\psi_1 : P_1 \rightarrow K_1$ be defined by

$$\psi_1(1 \otimes w) = \phi_{100}, \quad \psi_1(1 \otimes x) = \phi_{010} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_1(1 \otimes y) = \phi_{001}.$$

More generally let ϕ_{ijk} denote $1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1$ in $P(A_y)_k \otimes P(A_x)_j \otimes P(A_w)_i$. Recall similar notation u_{ijk} for free basis elements of P_n described above. Define $\psi_n : P_n \rightarrow K_n$ as follows:

$$\psi_n(1 \otimes u_{ijk}) = \phi_{ijk}.$$

Extend ψ_n to an A -module isomorphism.

The differential on K_\bullet may be written as

$$d_n(\phi_{ijk}) = y^{\sigma_3(k)} \phi_{i,j,k-1} + (-1)^k x^{\sigma_2(j)} \phi_{i,j-1,k} + (-1)^{j+k} w^{\sigma_1(i)} \phi_{i-1,j,k}.$$

Comparing with Lemma 4.2.3, we see that ψ_\bullet is a chain map. \square

5. FINITE GENERATION OF SOME COHOMOLOGY RINGS

We now apply the constructions of twisted tensor product and Anick resolutions discussed in Sections 3 and 4 to prove that the cohomology rings of the Hopf algebras in our settings (see Sections 2.2 and 2.3) are finitely generated.

5.1. Cohomology of the Nichols algebra and its bosonization. Let R, G be defined as in Section 2.2. Recall that we have used a twisted tensor product to construct a resolution K_\bullet for \mathbb{k} as an R -module in Section 3.2 and further to construct a resolution Y_\bullet for \mathbb{k} as a module over the bosonization $R\#\mathbb{k}G$ in Section 3.3.

By examining the expression for the cohomology $H^2(R\#\mathbb{k}G, \mathbb{k})$ given at the end of Section 3.3, we see that it includes nonzero elements represented by the 2-cocycles $\phi_{2,0,0}^*$, $\phi_{0,2,0}^*$, $\phi_{0,0,2}^*$. We find their cup products, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.1.2 below.

To simplify notation, let

$$\xi_x = \phi_{2,0,0}^*, \quad \xi_y = \phi_{0,2,0}^*, \quad \xi_g = \phi_{0,0,2}^*.$$

Using the projectivity of the resolution Y_\bullet , one can show that these functions may be extended to chain maps on Y_\bullet as follows:

$$\xi_x(\phi_{i,j,k}) = \phi_{i-2,j,k}, \quad \xi_y(\phi_{i,j,k}) = \phi_{i,j-2,k}, \quad \xi_g(\phi_{i,j,k}) = \phi_{i,j,k-2},$$

for all i, j, k , where we set $\phi_{i',j',k'} = 0$ if any one of i', j', k' is negative. Consequently, ξ_x, ξ_y, ξ_g are generators of a polynomial subalgebra $\mathbb{k}[\xi_x, \xi_y, \xi_g]$ of $H^*(R\#\mathbb{k}G, \mathbb{k})$ in even degrees. For example, the above formulas can be used to show that

$$(\phi_{2,0,0}^*)^2 = \phi_{4,0,0}^* \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{2,0,0}^* \smile \phi_{0,2,0}^* = \phi_{2,2,0}^* = \phi_{0,2,0}^* \smile \phi_{2,0,0}^*$$

and generally if i, j, k, i', j', k' are all even, then

$$\phi_{i,j,k}^* \smile \phi_{i',j',k'}^* = \phi_{i+i',j+j',k+k'}^*.$$

We will also need the following lemma, which is [14, Lemma 2.5] as adapted from [8, Lemma 1.6].

Lemma 5.1.1. *Let $E_1^{p,q} \implies E_\infty^{p,q}$ be a multiplicative spectral sequence of \mathbb{k} -algebras concentrated in the half plane $p + q \geq 0$, and let $B^{*,*}$ be a bigraded commutative \mathbb{k} -algebra concentrated in even (total) degrees. Assume that there exists a bigraded map of algebras from $B^{*,*}$ to $E_1^{*,*}$ such that the image of $B^{*,*}$ consists of permanent cycles, and $E_1^{*,*}$ is a noetherian module over the image of $B^{*,*}$. Then $E_\infty^{*,*}$ is a noetherian module over $\text{Tot}(B^{*,*})$.*

We are now ready to prove our first main theorem.

Theorem 5.1.2. *Let $R := \mathbb{k}\langle x, y \rangle / (x^p, y^p, yx - xy - \frac{1}{2}x^2)$ be the Nichols algebra defined over a field \mathbb{k} of prime characteristic $p > 2$, and $G := \langle g \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order q divisible by p , acting on R by automorphisms with ${}^g x = x$ and ${}^g y = x + y$. Then the cohomology ring of the bosonization, $H^*(R \# \mathbb{k}G, \mathbb{k})$, is finitely generated.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $q = p^a$ for some a . To see this, note that $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ for some ℓ coprime to p and some $a \geq 1$. Elements of the subgroup of G that is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ act trivially on R since their orders are coprime to p , and so $R \# \mathbb{k}G \cong (R \# \mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (\mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ as an algebra. Thus the cohomology of $R \# \mathbb{k}G$ is the graded tensor product of the cohomology of $R \# \mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z}$ and of $\mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$. The cohomology of $\mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ is concentrated in degree 0, where it is simply \mathbb{k} , since ℓ is coprime to p .

Now assume that $q = p^a$. Let $w = g - 1$ and note that since the order of g is q ,

$$R \# \mathbb{k}G \cong \mathbb{k}\langle w, x, y \rangle / (w^q, x^p, y^p, yx - xy - \frac{1}{2}x^2, xw - wx, yw - wy - wx - x).$$

Assign the degree lexicographic order on monomials in w, x, y , with $w < x < y$. This gives rise to an \mathbb{N} -filtration on $R \# \mathbb{k}G$ for which the associated graded algebra $\text{gr}(R \# \mathbb{k}G) \cong \mathbb{k}\langle w, x, y \rangle / (w^q, x^p, y^p)$. (See, e.g., [2, Theorem 4.6.5].)

We will apply the May spectral sequence [16] in our context, for which:

$$E_1^{*,*} \cong H^*(\text{gr}(R \# \mathbb{k}G), \mathbb{k}) \implies E_\infty^{*,*} \cong \text{gr} H^*(R \# \mathbb{k}G, \mathbb{k}).$$

The algebra $\text{gr}(R \# \mathbb{k}G) \cong \mathbb{k}\langle w, x, y \rangle / (w^q, x^p, y^p)$ has a resolution given by a tensor product as in Lemma 4.2.3, equivalently by repeating the twisted tensor product construction in Section 3.3 but with trivial twisting. We find that there are elements in degree 2 of $H^*(\text{gr}(R \# \mathbb{k}G), \mathbb{k})$ corresponding to $\xi_w, \xi_x, \xi_y \in H^2(R \# \mathbb{k}G, \mathbb{k})$ (here, we identify $\xi_w = \xi_g$), and we use the same notation for them, by abuse of notation. These elements are permanent cycles in the May spectral sequence: We have already seen that these cocycles exist for the filtered algebra $R \# \mathbb{k}G$, as constructed in Section 3.3. They are permanent cocycles as we may identify their images with the corresponding elements of $H^*(R \# \mathbb{k}G, \mathbb{k})$.

Specifically, let $B^{*,*} = \mathbb{k}[\xi_w, \xi_x, \xi_y]$. By identifying $H^*(\text{gr}(R \# \mathbb{k}G), \mathbb{k})$ with group cohomology, or by arguments in [14, Section 4], we see that $E_1^{*,*} \cong H^*(\text{gr}(R \# \mathbb{k}G), \mathbb{k})$ is a noetherian $B^{*,*}$ -module, (it is generated over $B^{*,*}$ by some elements η_w, η_x, η_y in degree 1). By Lemma 5.1.1, $E_\infty^{*,*} \cong \text{gr} H^*(R \# \mathbb{k}G, \mathbb{k})$ is a noetherian module over $\mathbb{k}[\xi_w, \xi_x, \xi_y]$. By an appropriate Zariskian filtration [13, Chapter 2], one can lift information from the associated graded ring to the filtered ring; thus, $H^*(R \# \mathbb{k}G, \mathbb{k})$ is noetherian over $\mathbb{k}[\xi_w, \xi_x, \xi_y]$. Therefore, by [6, Proposition 2.4], $H^*(R \# \mathbb{k}G, \mathbb{k})$ is finitely generated as an algebra. \square

Remark 5.1.3. There is a different proof of Theorem 5.1.2 that is closer to Evens' original proof of finite generation of group cohomology. See [19, Theorem 3.1, Section 5, and Erratum] for details.

5.2. Cohomology of some pointed Hopf algebras of dimension 27. In this section, we let \mathbb{k} be a field of characteristic $p = 3$ and consider the Hopf algebras $H(\epsilon, \mu, \tau)$ defined in Section 2.3. Consider \mathbb{k} to be the $H(\epsilon, \mu, \tau)$ -module on which w, x, y each act as 0.

Theorem 5.2.1. *Let $H(\epsilon, \mu, \tau)$ be a Hopf algebra of dimension 27 over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} of characteristic $p = 3$, as defined in Section 2.3. The cohomology $H^*(H(\epsilon, \mu, \tau), \mathbb{k})$ is finitely generated.*

Proof. Choose the ordering $w < x < y$ as before, and the corresponding degree lexicographic ordering on monomials. Due to the form of the relations, this gives rise to an \mathbb{N} -filtration on $H(\epsilon, \mu, \tau)$ for which the associated graded algebra is $\text{gr } H(\epsilon, \mu, \tau) \cong \mathbb{k}[w, x, y]/(w^3, x^3, y^3)$. (See, e.g., [2, Theorem 4.6.5].) We consider the Anick resolution P_\bullet for $H(\epsilon, \mu, \tau)$, filtered correspondingly, and the resulting May spectral sequence of the complex $\text{Hom}_{H(\epsilon, \mu, \tau)}(P_\bullet, \mathbb{k})$. This is a multiplicative spectral sequence under the product induced by a diagonal map $P_\bullet \rightarrow P_\bullet \otimes P_\bullet$ lifting the identity map on \mathbb{k} . Note that the associated graded resolution to P_\bullet is $\text{gr } P_\bullet$, which we may identify with the Anick resolution for $\text{gr } H(\epsilon, \mu, \tau)$, described in Lemma 4.2.3.

The Anick resolution for $A = H(\epsilon, \mu, \tau)$ has the same free basis sets C_n as that for $\text{gr } H(\epsilon, \mu, \tau)$ described in Section 4.2. Direct calculations show that it has the following differentials in degrees 2 and 3 (recall that the parameter ϵ only takes the values 0 or 1): By the proof of Theorem 4.1.2, values of d_2 on tips correspond to the relations, specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(1 \otimes w^3) &= w^2 \otimes w, \\ d_2(1 \otimes x^3) &= x^2 \otimes x - \epsilon \otimes x, \\ d_2(1 \otimes y^3) &= y^2 \otimes y + \epsilon y \otimes y + (\mu\epsilon - \tau - \mu^2) \otimes y, \\ d_2(1 \otimes xw) &= x \otimes w - w \otimes x - \epsilon w \otimes w - \epsilon \otimes w, \\ d_2(1 \otimes yw) &= y \otimes w - w \otimes y - w \otimes x - 1 \otimes x + (\mu - \epsilon)w \otimes w + (\mu - \epsilon) \otimes w, \\ d_2(1 \otimes yx) &= y \otimes x - x \otimes y + x \otimes x - (\mu + \epsilon) \otimes x - \epsilon \otimes y + \tau w \otimes w - \tau \otimes w. \end{aligned}$$

Values of d_3 require some computation, using the algorithm outlined as part of the proof of Theorem 4.1.2, and on free basis elements they are:

$$\begin{aligned} d_3(1 \otimes w^4) &= w \otimes w^3, & d_3(1 \otimes x^4) &= x \otimes x^3, & d_3(1 \otimes y^4) &= y \otimes y^3, \\ d_3(1 \otimes xw^3) &= x \otimes w^3 - w^2 \otimes xw, \\ d_3(1 \otimes x^3w) &= x^2 \otimes xw + w \otimes x^3 + \epsilon wx \otimes xw + \epsilon x \otimes xw + \epsilon w \otimes xw, \\ d_3(1 \otimes yw^3) &= y \otimes w^3 - w^2 \otimes yw + w^2 \otimes xw + w \otimes xw, \\ d_3(1 \otimes yxw) &= y \otimes xw - x \otimes yw + w \otimes yx + \epsilon w \otimes yw + x \otimes xw + (\mu + \epsilon)w \otimes xw, \\ d_3(1 \otimes y^3w) &= y^2 \otimes yw + w \otimes y^3 + wy \otimes yx + wx \otimes yx + (\epsilon - \mu)wy \otimes yw \\ &\quad + (\mu - \epsilon)wx \otimes yw - \tau w^2 \otimes yw + y \otimes yx - (\epsilon + \mu)y \otimes yw \\ &\quad + \tau w^2 \otimes xw + x \otimes yx + (\mu - \epsilon)x \otimes yw + (\mu^2 - \epsilon\mu)w \otimes yw + \tau w \otimes xw, \\ d_3(1 \otimes yx^3) &= y \otimes x^3 - x^2 \otimes yx + \tau wx \otimes xw + \epsilon x \otimes yx - \tau x \otimes xw + \epsilon \tau w \otimes xw, \\ d_3(1 \otimes y^3x) &= y^2 \otimes yx + x \otimes y^3 - xy \otimes yx - \tau wx \otimes yw - \tau wy \otimes yw \\ &\quad + \tau w^2 \otimes yx + \tau wx \otimes xw + \epsilon \tau w^2 \otimes yw + (\epsilon \tau + \mu \tau)w^2 \otimes xw \\ &\quad + \mu y \otimes yx + \tau y \otimes yw - \mu x \otimes yx + \tau x \otimes xw \\ &\quad + \tau w \otimes yx + (\epsilon \tau + \mu \tau)w \otimes yw + \epsilon \tau w \otimes xw. \end{aligned}$$

For example, to find $d_3(1 \otimes yw^3)$, we first compute

$$d_3(1 \otimes yw^3) = y \otimes w^3 - s_1 d_2(y \otimes w^3) = y \otimes w^3 - s_1(yw^2 \otimes w).$$

Now, using the relations, rewrite yw^2 as $w^2y - w^2x - wx + (\mu + \epsilon)w^2 + \epsilon w$ so that the above expression is

$$= y \otimes w^3 - s_1(w^2y \otimes w - w^2x \otimes w - wx \otimes w + (\mu + \epsilon)w^2 \otimes w + \epsilon w \otimes w).$$

Now $d_2(w^2 \otimes yw) = w^2y \otimes w - w^2 \otimes x + (\mu - \epsilon)w^2 \otimes w$ and, adding and subtracting $-w^2 \otimes x + (\mu - \epsilon)w^2 \otimes w$, the above may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &= y \otimes w^3 - s_1(w^2y \otimes w - w^2 \otimes x + (\mu - \epsilon)w^2 \otimes w + w^2 \otimes x - (\mu - \epsilon)w^2 \otimes w \\ &\quad - w^2x \otimes w - wx \otimes w + (\mu + \epsilon)w^2 \otimes w + \epsilon w \otimes w) \\ &= y \otimes w^3 - w^2 \otimes yw - s_1(w^2 \otimes x - w^2x \otimes w - wx \otimes w - \epsilon w^2 \otimes w + \epsilon w \otimes w). \end{aligned}$$

For the next two steps, we note that $d_2(w^2 \otimes xw) = w^2x \otimes w - \epsilon w^2 \otimes w$ and $d_2(w \otimes xw) = wx \otimes w - w^2 \otimes x - \epsilon w^2 \otimes w - \epsilon w \otimes w$ and so the above may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &= y \otimes w^3 - w^2 \otimes yw - s_1(w^2 \otimes x - w^2x \otimes w + \epsilon w^2 \otimes w + \epsilon w^2 \otimes w - wx \otimes w + \epsilon w \otimes w) \\ &= y \otimes w^3 - w^2 \otimes yw + w^2 \otimes xw - s_1(w^2 \otimes x - wx \otimes w + \epsilon w^2 \otimes w + \epsilon w \otimes w). \end{aligned}$$

We recognize the argument of s_1 above as $d_2(w \otimes xw)$ and so we obtain the value of $d_3(1 \otimes yw^3)$ as claimed.

Looking at the values of d_3 given above, note that the coefficients in the factor $A = H(\epsilon, \mu, \tau)$ of $A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_2$ in the image of each of these free basis elements (under d_3) are in the augmentation ideal. (A similar statement does *not* apply to d_2 .) In particular, letting $(w^3)^*, \dots$ denote elements in the dual basis in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}C_2, \mathbb{k}) \cong \text{Hom}_A(A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_2, \mathbb{k})$, where $A = H(\epsilon, \mu, \tau)$, to the tips w^3, \dots of $\mathbb{k}C_2$, it follows that

$$d_3^*((w^3)^*) = 0, \quad d_3^*((x^3)^*) = 0, \quad d_3^*((y^3)^*) = 0.$$

Setting $\xi_w = (w^3)^*$, $\xi_x = (x^3)^*$, $\xi_y = (y^3)^*$, we see that these functions are cocycles in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}C_2, \mathbb{k}) \cong \text{Hom}_A(A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_2, \mathbb{k})$.

It follows from the above observations that ξ_w, ξ_x, ξ_y are permanent cocycles in the May spectral sequence, and we may use them in an application of Lemma 5.1.1: On the E_1 -page, ξ_w, ξ_x, ξ_y correspond to analogous 2-cocycles on $\text{gr} H(\epsilon, \mu, \tau)$ that generate a polynomial subalgebra of its cohomology ring by a similar analysis to that in earlier sections. That is, by Lemma 4.2.3, the Anick resolution is essentially the same as the (twisted) tensor product resolution used earlier. Now let $B = \mathbb{k}[\xi_w, \xi_x, \xi_y]$. Let $\eta_w = (w)^*$, $\eta_x = (x)^*$, $\eta_y = (y)^*$ in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}C_1, \mathbb{k}) \cong \text{Hom}_A(A \otimes \mathbb{k}C_1, \mathbb{k})$. The cohomology of $\text{gr} H(\epsilon, \mu, \tau)$ is finitely generated as a module over B , by η_w, η_x, η_y and their products (note $\eta_w^2 = 0$, $\eta_x^2 = 0$, $\eta_y^2 = 0$, so these products constitute a finite set). By Lemma 5.1.1, using an appropriate Zariskian filtration [13, Chapter 2], the cohomology $H^*(H(\epsilon, \mu, \tau), \mathbb{k})$ is noetherian over $\mathbb{k}[\xi_w, \xi_x, \xi_y]$. By [6, Propostion 2.4], it is finitely as an algebra. \square

Remark 5.2.2. An alternative proof of our earlier Theorem 5.1.2 would proceed just as our above proof of Theorem 5.2.1: One could compute the differentials on the Anick resolution of the algebra there to show existence of the needed elements ξ_w, ξ_x, ξ_y . We chose instead to use the twisted tensor product construction, for which we were able to give formulas for the differentials in all degrees, yielding a more explicit, if not shorter, presentation.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. J. Anick, *On the homology of associative algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **296** (1986), no. 2, 641–659.
- [2] J. L. Bueso, J. Gómez-Torecillas, and A. Verschoren, *Algorithmic Methods in Non-Commutative Algebra*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003.
- [3] C. Bendel, D. K. Nakano, B. J. Parshall, and C. Pillen, *Cohomology for quantum groups via the geometry of the nullcone*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **229** (2014), no. 1077.
- [4] C. Cibils, A. Lauve, and S. Witherspoon, *Hopf quivers and Nichols algebras in positive characteristic*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 12, 4029–4041.
- [5] S. Cojocaru and V. Ufnarovski, *BERGMAN under MS-DOS and Anick’s resolution*, Discrete Math. Theoretical Comp. Sci. **1** (1997), 139–147.
- [6] L. Evens, *The cohomology ring of a finite group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1961), 224–239.
- [7] E. Friedlander and B. Parshall, *Cohomology of infinitesimal and discrete groups*, Math. Ann. **273** (1986), 353–374.
- [8] E. Friedlander and A. Suslin, *Cohomology of finite group schemes over a field*, Invent. Math. **127** (1997), no. 2, 209–270.
- [9] V. Ginzburg and S. Kumar, *Cohomology of quantum groups at roots of unity*, Duke Math. J. **69** (1993), 179–198.
- [10] E. Golod, *The cohomology ring of a finite p -group*, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **235** (1959), 703–706.
- [11] I. G. Gordon, *Cohomology of quantized function algebras at roots of unity*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **80** (2000), no. 2, 337–359.
- [12] E. L. Green and Ø. Solberg, *An algorithmic approach to resolutions*, J. Symbolic Comput. **42** (2007), no. 11–12, 1012–1033.
- [13] H.-S. Li and F. Van Oystaeyen, “Zariskian filtrations”, K-Monographs in Mathematics, **2**, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [14] M. Mastnak, J. Pevtsova, P. Schauenburg, and S. Witherspoon, *Cohomology of finite dimensional pointed Hopf algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **100** (2010), no. 2, 377–404.
- [15] S. Majid, *Crossed products by braided groups and bosonization*, J. Algebra **163** (1994), 165–190.
- [16] J. P. May, *The cohomology of restricted Lie algebras and of Hopf algebras*, J. Algebra **3** (1966), 123–146.
- [17] S. Montgomery, “Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings”, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, **82**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
- [18] V. C. Nguyen and X.-T. Wang, *Pointed p^3 -dimensional Hopf algebras in positive characteristic*, arXiv:1609.03952.
- [19] V. C. Nguyen and S. Witherspoon, *Finite generation of the cohomology of some skew group algebras*, Algebra and Number Theory **8** (2014), no. 7, 1647–1657; *Erratum*, in preparation.
- [20] A. V. Shepler and S. Witherspoon, *Resolutions for twisted tensor products*, arXiv:1610.00583.
- [21] P. Shroff, *Finite generation of the cohomology of quotients of PBW algebras*, J. Algebra **390** (2013), 44–55.
- [22] D. Ştefan and C. Vay, *The cohomology ring of the 12-dimensional Fomin-Kirillov algebra*, Adv. Math. **291** (2016), 584–620.
- [23] D. E. Radford, *The structure of Hopf algebras with a projection*, J. Algebra **92** (1985), 322–347.
- [24] B. B. Venkov, *Cohomology algebras for some classifying spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR **127** (1959), 943–944.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HOOD COLLEGE, FREDERICK, MD 21701
E-mail address: `nguyen@hood.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEMPLE UNIVERSITY, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19122
E-mail address: `xingting@temple.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843
E-mail address: `sjw@math.tamu.edu`