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A weak fractional vertex-cover of a graph $G$ is a function $f: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $e=u v \in E(G), f(u)+f(v) \geq w(e)$.

Proposition 1 Let $(G, w)$ be a weighted graph. If $M$ is a perfect matching of $G$ and $f$ is a weak fractional vertex-cover of $G$, then $\sum_{e \in M} w(e) \leq$ $\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$.

Proof. For each edge $e \in M$, let $u_{e}, v_{e}$ be the ends of $e$. Since $M$ is a perfect matching, $\left\{u_{e}, v_{e}: e \in M\right\}=V(G)$. Then $\sum_{e \in M} w(e) \leq \sum_{e \in M}\left(f\left(u_{e}\right)+\right.$ $\left.f\left(v_{e}\right)\right)=\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$.

The Assignment Problem is: given a nonnegative weighted balanced complete bipartite graph $\left(K_{n, n}, w\right)$, find a maximum weighted matching. It is a special case for the Weighted Bipartite Matching Problem, but we will show that solving the Assignment Problem is equivalent to solving the Weighted Bipartite Matching Problem.

## Hungarian method for the Assignment Problem

Input: A complete bipartite graph $G$ with a bipartition $\{A, B\}$ with $|A|=$ $|B|$, and a function $w: E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.
Output: A matching $M$ in $G$ and a weak fractional vertex-cover $f: V(G) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ for $(G, w)$ such that $\sum_{e \in M} w(e)=\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$.
Procedure:
Step 1: For every $v \in A$, define $f(v)=\max _{e \in \delta(v)} w(e)$. For every $v \in B$, define $f(v)=0$.

Step 2: Let $G_{f}$ be the graph with $V\left(G_{f}\right)=V(G)$ and $E\left(G_{f}\right)=\{e=u v \in$ $E(G): f(u)+f(v)=w(e)\}$. Find a maximum (unweighted) matching $M$ in $G_{f}$ and a minimum vertex-cover $S$ of $G_{f}$. If $M$ is a perfect matching in $G_{f}$, then output $M$ and $f$ and stop. Otherwise, do Step 3.

Step 3: Let $\epsilon=\min _{e=u v \in \delta(A-S)-\left(E\left(G_{f}\right) \cup \delta(B \cap S)\right)}(f(u)+f(v)-w(e))$. Redefine $f$ as follows: for every $v \in A-S$, define $f(v)=f(v)-\epsilon$; for every $v \in B \cap S$, define $f(v)=f(v)+\epsilon$. Repeat Step 2 .

Lemma 2 During the entire process, $f$ is always a weak fractional vertexcover for $(G, w)$. In particular, $\epsilon \geq 0$ during the process.

Proof. Let $f_{1}$ be the function $f$ at some moment. Assume that $f_{1}$ is a weak fractional vertex-cover for $(G, w)$. Let $f_{2}$ be the new function $f$ when it is updated. It suffices to show that $f_{2}(u)+f_{2}(v) \geq w(e)$, where $e=u v$ is an edge of $G$, and $u \in A$ and $v \in B$. Since $f_{1}$ is a weak fractional vertex-cover for ( $G, w$ ), the $\epsilon$ used for defining $f_{2}$ is nonnegative. So $\left.f_{2}\right|_{B} \geq\left. f_{1}\right|_{B}$.

If $u \in A \cap S$, then $f_{2}(u)=f_{1}(u)$, so $f_{2}(u)+f_{2}(v) \geq f_{1}(u)+f_{1}(v) \geq w(e)$. So we may assume $u \in A-S$. If $v \in B \cap S$, then $f_{2}(u)+f_{2}(v)=\left(f_{1}(u)-\right.$ $\epsilon)+\left(f_{1}(v)+\epsilon\right)=f_{1}(u)+f_{1}(v) \geq w(e)$. So we may assume $v \in B-S$. Since $S$ is a vertex-cover of $G_{f}$, and $u, v \notin S$, we know $e \notin E\left(G_{f}\right)$. So $e$ is an edge in $\delta(A-S)-\left(E\left(G_{f}\right) \cup \delta(B \cap S)\right)$. Hence $\epsilon \leq f_{1}(u)+f_{1}(v)-w(e)$. So $f_{2}(u)+f_{2}(v) \geq f_{1}(u)-\epsilon+f_{1}(v) \geq f_{1}(u)-\left(f_{1}(u)+f_{1}(v)-w(e)\right)+f_{1}(v)=w(e)$.

Lemma 3 Let $f_{1}, M_{1}, S_{1}$ be the functions $f, M, S$, respectively, in a round for Step 2. Let $f_{2}, M_{2}, S_{2}$ be the functions $f, M, S$, respectively, in the next round for Step 2. If we use the augmenting path argument for the corresponding network to find $M$ and $S$, then either $\left|M_{2}\right|>\left|M_{1}\right|$, or $\left|M_{2}\right|=\left|M_{1}\right|$ and $\left|S_{2} \cap B\right|>\left|S_{1} \cap B\right|$.

Proof. Since $\epsilon \geq 0$ by Lemma 2, every edge in $E\left(G_{f_{1}}\right)-E\left(G_{f_{2}}\right)$ is between $A \cap S_{1}$ and $B \cap S_{1}$. Since $M_{1}$ is a matching for $G_{f_{1}}$ and $S_{1}$ is a vertex-cover for $G_{f_{1}}$ with $\left|M_{1}\right|=\left|S_{1}\right|$, we know every edge in $M_{1}$ is either between $A \cap S_{1}$ and $B-S_{1}$ or between $A-S_{1}$ and $B \cap S_{1}$. So $M_{1} \subseteq E\left(G_{f_{2}}\right)$ is a matching in $G_{f_{2}}$. Since $M_{2}$ is a maximum (unweighted) matching in $G_{f_{2}},\left|M_{2}\right| \geq\left|M_{1}\right|$. So we may assume $\left|M_{2}\right|=\left|M_{1}\right|$, for otherwise we are done. As we use the augmenting path argument and $M_{1}$ is a matching in $G_{f_{1}} \cap G_{f_{2}}, M_{1}=M_{2}$.

Note that $\left|M_{1}\right|<|A|$, for otherwise the algorithm stops and should not produce $M_{2}$. By König's theorem, $\left|S_{1}\right|=\left|M_{1}\right|<|A|$. Since $G$ is a complete bipartite graph, there exists an edge $e \in E\left(G_{f_{2}}\right)-E\left(G_{f_{1}}\right)$ between $A-S_{1}$ and $B-S_{1}$. Let $u \in A-S_{1}$ and $v \in B-S_{1}$ be the ends of $e$.

Recall the network ( $D_{f}, s, t, 1$ ) we construct is the one obtained from $G_{f}$ by directing the edges from $A$ to $B$, adding new vertices $s, t$ and adding edges from $s$ to $A$ and edges from $B$ to $t$. Note that the matching $M$ comes from deleting $s$ and $t$ from the internally disjoint path from $s$ to $t$ given from the maximum (integral) flow, and $S=(A-C) \cup S^{\prime} \cup(B \cap C)$, where $C$ is a minimum cut for ( $D_{f}, s, t, 1$ ) and $S^{\prime}$ is the subset of $A \cap C$ incident with an edge in $M$. In the residue graph, $C$ is the set of vertices reachable from $s$. So every vertex in $S \cap B$ are exactly the vertices in $B$ reachable from $s$ (i.e. $B \cap S=B \cap C)$. And every vertex in $A-S$ is contained in $A \cap C$ and hence is reachable from $s$. Moreover, every vertex in $A \cap S$ is matched by $M$ to a vertex in $B-S$, and every vertex in $B \cap S$ is matched by $M$ to a vertex in $A-S \subseteq A \cap C$.

Note that $D_{f_{2}}$ is obtained from $D_{f_{1}}$ by deleting edges in $E\left(G_{f_{1}}\right)-E\left(G_{f_{2}}\right)$ and adding edges in $E\left(G_{f_{2}}\right)-E\left(G_{f_{1}}\right)$, and $M_{1}=M_{2}$.

Now we consider the case $f=f_{1}$, and we call the cut in the network $C_{1}$. For every directed path $P$ in the residue graph from $s$ to a vertex of $C_{1}$, $P-\{s, t\}$ is an $M_{1}$-alternating path from an $M_{1}$-unsaturated vertex in $A$, and $V(P) \cap V(B)$ are reachable and hence contained in $S_{1} \cap B$, so $P$ only uses edges between $A-S_{1}$ and $B \cap S_{1}$, and hence $P$ is still in the residue graph for $f_{2}$. So every vertex in $B$ reachable for $f_{1}$ is also reachable for $f_{2}$. That is, $C_{1} \cap B \subseteq C_{2} \cap B$.

Recall that $e=u v \in E\left(G_{f_{2}}\right)$ is an edge with $u \in A-S_{1}$ and $v \in B-S_{1}$. So $u \in C_{1}$, but $v \notin C_{1}$. Since $M_{1}=M_{2}$, if $u$ is saturated by $M_{1}=M_{2}$, say $u u^{\prime} \in M_{1}=M_{2}$, then $\left(u^{\prime}, u\right)$ is an edge in the residue graph for both $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ and $u^{\prime} \in C_{1} \cap B \subseteq C_{2} \cap B$, so $u \in C_{1} \cap C_{2}$; if $u$ is unsaturated by $M_{1}=M_{2}$, then $u$ is reachable from $s$ in the residue graph for both $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ by an edge. So $u$ is reachable for both $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ in either case. But $u v \in E\left(G_{f_{2}}\right)-M_{1}=E\left(G_{f_{2}}\right)-M_{2}, v$ is in the reachable set for $f_{2}$. Therefore, the reachable set $C_{2}$ for $f_{2}$ is strictly bigger than the one $C_{1}$ for $f_{1}$. That is, $C_{2} \cap B \supset C_{1} \cap B$. Note that $S_{1} \cap B=C_{1} \cap B \subset C_{2} \cap B=S_{2} \cap B$.

Theorem 4 If we use the augmenting path argument in Step 2, then Hungarian method for Assignment Problem correctly outputs a matching $M$ in $G$ and a weak fractional vertex-cover $f: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $(G, w)$ such that
$\sum_{e \in M} w(e)=\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$ in time $O\left(|V(G)|^{4}\right)$. In particular, $M$ is a matching in $(G, w)$ with maximum weight.

Proof. By Lemma 3, the matching size must increase by executing Step 2 at most $|B|$ times, so the algorithm will stop by executing Step 2 at most $|B|^{2} \leq$ $|V(G)|^{2}$ times. Note that we only have to find augmenting path $O\left(|V(G)|^{2}\right)$ times in total for Step 2, each taking time $O(|E(G)|)=O\left(|V(G)|^{2}\right)$. And we can update the residue graph for the augmenting path argument in each Step 3 in time $O(|E(G)|)=O\left(|V(G)|^{2}\right)$, and we do Step 3 at most $O\left(|V(G)|^{2}\right)$ times. So the total running time is $O\left(|V(G)|^{4}\right)$.

Since $M$ is a perfect matching in $G_{f}$ by the definition of the algorithm and $f$ is a weak fractional vertex-cover of $(G, w)$ by Lemma 2 , we know $\sum_{e \in M} w(e)=\sum_{u, v \in V(M)}(f(u)+f(v))=\sum_{x \in V(G)} f(x)$. And by Proposition 1 , since $w \geq 0$, for every matching $M^{*}$ of $(G, w), \sum_{e \in M^{*}} w(e) \leq$ $\sum_{x \in V(G)} f(x)=\sum_{e \in M} w(e)$. So $M$ is a maximum weighted matching in $(G, w)$.

Note that the time complexity can be improved, as we will see in the next section.

Now we can solve the maximum weighted matching problem for general bipartite graphs.

Algorithm for Maximum Weighted Bipartite Matching
Input: A simple bipartite graph $G$ with a bipartition $\{A, B\}$, and a function $w: E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
Output: A matching $M$ in $G$ with maximum $\sum_{e \in M} w(e)$.
Procedure:
Step 0: Let $G_{0}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting all edges $e$ of $G$ with $w(e)<0$.

Step 1: By symmetry, we may assume $|A| \leq|B|$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the complete bipartite graph obtained from $G_{0}$ by adding $|B|-|A|$ vertex into $A$ to form a new set $A^{\prime}$ and add edges such that $\left\{A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right\}$ is the bipartition of $G^{\prime}$ with $A^{\prime} \supseteq A$ and $B^{\prime}=B$ with $\left|A^{\prime}\right|=\left|B^{\prime}\right|$. Assign $w(e)=0$ for every edge in $E\left(G^{\prime}\right)-E\left(G_{0}\right)$.

Step 2: Use an algorithm for the Assignment Problem to find a maximum weighted matching $M^{\prime}$ of $\left(G^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)$.

Step 3: Let $M=M^{\prime} \cap E\left(G_{0}\right)$ and output $M$.


Theorem 5 Given a simple bipartite graph $G$ and a weight function $w$ : $E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, a matching in $(G, w)$ with maximum weight can be found in time $O\left(|V(G)|^{4}\right)$.

Proof. Since every matching with maximum weight in $(G, w)$ does not use any edge with negative weight, we know maximum weighted matching in $(G, w)$ are exactly the maximum weighted matching in $\left(G_{0}, w\right)$. Since every edge in $E\left(G^{\prime}\right)-E\left(G_{0}\right)$ has weigh 0 , the weight of a maximum weighted matching in $\left(G_{0}, w\right)$ equals the weight of a maximum weighted matching in $\left(G^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)$. And clearly the matching $M^{\prime}$ mentioned in the algorithm is a maximum weighted matching in $\left(G^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)$. Since every edge in $E\left(G^{\prime}\right)-E(G)$ has weight $0, \sum_{e \in M} w(e)=\sum_{e \in M^{\prime}} w(e)$. Hence $M$ is a maximum weighted matching $(G, w)$.

The time complexity follows from the time complexity for the Assignment problem.

