

## Test 1 – Key

**Instructions:** Show all work in the space provided. No notes, calculators, cell phones, etc. are allowed.

1. Define the term or state the theorem.
  - (a) **(5 pts.)** Cauchy sequence. –  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq N$  and  $m \geq N$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , imply  $|x_n - x_m| < \varepsilon$ .
  - (b) **(5 pts.)** Nested sequence of intervals. – A sequence of intervals  $\{I_n\}$  is nested if and only if it satisfies  $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq I_n \supseteq \cdots$ .
  - (c) **(5 pts.)**  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)$ . – Let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $I = [a, \infty)$ . We say  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = L$  if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there an  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x > M$ , with  $x \in I$ , implies  $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$ .
  - (d) **(5 pts.)** Intermediate Value Theorem. – Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a < b$  and let  $I \supseteq$  be an interval. Suppose that  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous on  $I$ . If  $f(a) \neq f(b)$  and  $y_0$  is between  $f(a)$  and  $f(b)$ , then there is a number  $c \in (a, b)$  such that  $y_0 = f(c)$ .
2. **(10 pts.)** Show that  $(1 + 1/n)^n \geq 2$ . (Hint: use the binomial theorem.)

**Solution.** By the binomial theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + 1/n)^n &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} 1^{n-k} (1/n)^k \\ &= 1 + n \cdot (1/n) + \text{nonnegative terms} \\ &\geq 2 \end{aligned}$$

3. **(10 pts.)** Find  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{|x^2 + 2x - 3|}{x^2 - 1}$ .

**Solution.**

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{|x^2 + 2x - 3|}{x^2 - 1} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{|x - 1| |x + 3|}{x - 1} \frac{1}{x + 1} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} (-1) \frac{|x + 3|}{x + 1} \quad (\text{since } x - 1 < 0) \\ &= -\frac{1 + 3}{1 + 1} = -2 \quad (\text{algebraic limit theorems}) \end{aligned}$$

4. **(15 pts.)** Let  $x_{n+1} = \sqrt{2 + x_n}$ ,  $x_1 = 3$ . Show that  $\{x_n\}$  is decreasing and converges to a limit  $x > 0$ . Find  $x$ .

**Solution.** First of all, we will show that  $x_n \geq 0$  for all  $n$ . This is true for  $n = 1$ , since  $x_1 = 3 > 0$ . If  $x_n \geq 0$ , then  $x_{n+1} = \sqrt{2 + x_n} \geq \sqrt{2} \geq 0$ . Induction then gives us the result. Also, we will use induction to show that  $x_{n+1} - x_n \leq 0$ . For  $n = 1$ , this is true, since  $\sqrt{5} - 3 < 0$ . Suppose that it's true for  $n$ . Then, since  $x_{n+1} - x_n \leq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+2} - x_{n+1} &= \sqrt{2 + x_{n+1}} - \sqrt{2 + x_n} \\ &= \frac{x_{n+1} - x_n}{\sqrt{2 + x_{n+1}} + \sqrt{2 + x_n}} \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and so it's true for  $n + 1$ . By induction,  $x_{n+1} - x_n \leq 0$  holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $x_n$  is thus decreasing. The monotone convergence and comparison theorems for sequences imply that  $x_n$  converges to  $x \geq 0$ . Taking limits in the original equation yields  $x = \sqrt{x + 2}$ . By squaring, we get  $x^2 - x - 2 = 0$ , so  $x = -1$  or  $x = 2$ . But  $x \geq 0$ , so  $x = 2$ .

5. **(15 pts.)** Let  $I$  be an open interval, with  $0 \in I$ , and let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous at  $x = 0$ . Suppose that  $f(0) > 2$ . Show that there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f(x) > 2$  when  $|x| < \delta$ .

**Solution.** The easiest way to do this is to apply the sign-preserving lemma to  $g(x) = f(x) - 2$ . Since  $f$  is continuous at  $x = 0$ , so is  $g$ . Also,  $g(0) = f(0) - 2 > 0$ . The lemma then implies that there are  $\delta > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $g(x) = f(x) - 2 > \varepsilon$  for  $|x| < \delta$ . Hence, for  $|x| < \delta$ ,  $f(x) > 2 + \varepsilon > 2$ .

6. **(15 pts.) (Approximation Property for Suprema).** Prove this: If  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  has a supremum  $s$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $a \in E$  such that  $s - \varepsilon < a \leq s$ .

**Proof.** Suppose not. Then for some  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  the interval  $(s - \epsilon_0, s]$  contains no points from  $E$ . Since  $s$  is the supremum for  $E$ , there are no points of  $E$  in  $(s, \infty)$ , either. It follows that all  $a \in E$  are in  $(-\infty, s - \epsilon_0]$ . Hence,  $s - \epsilon_0$  is an upper bound for  $E$ . However,  $s - \epsilon_0 < s$ . This is a contradiction, since every upper bound for  $E$  is greater than or equal to  $s$ , the supremum.

7. (15 pts.) (Uniform Continuity Theorem). Prove this: Let  $a < b$  be finite real numbers, and let  $I = [a, b]$ . If  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous on  $I$ , then  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $I$ .

**Proof.** Suppose not. Then for some  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and every  $\delta > 0$  there are points  $x, t \in I$  such that  $|x - t| < \delta$  and  $|f(x) - f(t)| \geq \epsilon_0$ . Set  $\delta = 1, 1/2, \dots, 1/n, \dots$ . Then, there are corresponding points  $x_n$  and  $t_n$ ,  $|x_n - t_n| < 1/n$ ,  $x_n, t_n \in I$  such that  $|f(x_n) - f(t_n)| \geq \epsilon_0$ . These points satisfy  $|x_n - t_n| < 1/n$ . Since both  $x_n, t_n \in I$ , they are bounded. By the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem,  $\{x_n\}$  has a subsequence  $x_{n_k}$  that converges to  $x \in I$ . For the corresponding subsequence  $t_{n_k}$  we have this:

$$\begin{aligned} |t_{n_k} - x| &= |t_{n_k} - x_{x_k} + x_{x_k} - x| \\ &\leq |t_{n_k} - x_{x_k}| + |x_{x_k} - x| \\ &< \frac{1}{n_k} + |x_{x_k} - x|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $n_k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , the squeeze theorem for sequences implies  $t_{n_k} \rightarrow x$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . The function  $f$  is continuous at  $x$ ; thus,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n_k}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(t_{n_k}) = f(x)$ , and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_{n_k}) - f(t_{n_k})| = 0.$$

However,  $|f(x_{n_k}) - f(t_{n_k})| \geq \epsilon_0 > 0$ . The comparison theorem then implies  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_{n_k}) - f(t_{n_k})| \geq \epsilon_0 > 0$ , or  $0 > 0$ . This is a contradiction.

(The proof used here differs from the one given in the text, which I presented in class. Instead, it follows up on a suggestion made during our discussion of the theorem.)