

## NUMERICAL ANALYSIS QUALIFIER

January, 2024

**Problem 1.** Let  $T$  be the unit triangle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , with vertices  $v_1 = (0, 0)$ ,  $v_2 = (1, 0)$ , and  $v_3 = (0, 1)$  and edges  $e_1 = v_1v_2$ ,  $e_2 = v_2v_3$  and  $e_3 = v_3v_1$ . Let  $z_i$  be the midpoint of the edge  $e_i$ . Let  $TW_0 = \{(a - cy, b + cx) : a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$  (so that members of  $TW_0$  are vector functions over  $T$ ), and  $[\mathbb{P}_0]^2 \subsetneq TW_0 \subsetneq [\mathbb{P}_1]^2$ . Finally, let  $\sigma_i(\vec{u}) = \vec{u}(z_i) \cdot \vec{t}_i$ , where  $\vec{t}_i$  is the counterclockwise-pointing unit vector tangent to  $\partial T$  on  $e_i$ , and let  $\Sigma = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\}$ .

- (a) Show that  $(T, TW_0, \Sigma)$  is a finite element triple.
- (b) Find a basis  $\{\vec{\varphi}_1, \vec{\varphi}_2, \vec{\varphi}_3\}$  for  $TW_0$  that is dual to  $\Sigma$ , that is,  $\sigma_i(\vec{\varphi}_j) = \delta_{ij}$  with  $\delta_{ij} = 1$  if  $i = j$  and  $\delta_{ij} = 0$  otherwise.
- (c) Let  $(\Pi\vec{u})(x) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i(\vec{u})\vec{\varphi}_i(x)$ ,  $x \in T$  and  $\vec{u} \in [H^2(T)]^2$ . Show that

$$\|\vec{u} - \Pi\vec{u}\|_{[L_2(T)]^2} \leq C(|\vec{u}|_{[H^1(T)]^2} + |\vec{u}|_{[H^2(T)]^2}), \quad \vec{u} \in [H^2(T)]^2.$$

*Note:* You may use standard analysis results such as trace, Sobolev, and Poincaré inequalities and the Bramble-Hilbert Lemma without proof, but specify precisely which results you are using.

**Problem 2.** Consider the following initial boundary value problem: find a solution  $u(x, t)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u - \Delta u) - \mu\Delta u = f, & \text{for } x \in \Omega, 0 < t \leq T, \\ u(x, t) = 0, & \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega, 0 < t \leq T, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & \text{for } x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a polygonal domain,  $\partial\Omega$  its boundary,  $\mu > 0$  a given constant, and  $f(x, t)$  and  $u_0(x)$  are given right hand side and initial data functions.

In the following let  $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$  and let  $V_h \subset V$  be a finite element approximation space with (nodal) basis  $\varphi_i^h(x)$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, \mathcal{N}$ . Let  $t_0 = 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T$  be a partition of  $[0, T]$  into  $N$  uniform subintervals with time step size  $k = t_{n+1} - t_n$ .

- (a) For given  $u^n \in V$  at time  $t_n$  find the *semi-discrete weak formulation* of the initial boundary value problem where the forward Euler method is used to compute a value  $u^{n+1} \in V$  at time  $t_{n+1}$ .
- (b) Introduce matrices  $M_h \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}}$  with  $(M_h)_{ij} = (\varphi_i^h, \varphi_j^h)_{L^2(\Omega)}$ , and  $A_h \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}}$  with  $(A_h)_{ij} = (\nabla\varphi_i^h, \nabla\varphi_j^h)_{L^2(\Omega)}$ . Verify that the *fully discrete* scheme of the initial boundary value problem can be written as follows: Given a coefficient vector  $U^n \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{N}}$  at time  $t_n$  compute  $U^{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{N}}$  for time  $t_{n+1}$  as follows:

$$(M_h + A_h) \frac{U^{n+1} - U^n}{k} + \mu A_h U^n = M_h F^n,$$

where the coefficient vector  $F^n \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{N}}$  is formed by setting

$$(M_h F^n)_i = (f(\cdot, t_n), \varphi_i^h)_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, \mathcal{N}.$$

- (c) Now introduce an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors  $\Psi^j \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{N}}$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_j > 0$  of the following generalized eigenvalue problem:

$$A_h \Psi^j = \lambda_j M_h \Psi^j, \quad \text{and} \quad (\Psi^j)^T M_h \Psi^j = \delta_{ij}, \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots, \mathcal{N}.$$

Here,  $\delta_{ij}$  denotes the Kronecker delta. Expand

$$U^n = \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}} c_j^n \Psi^j, \quad F^n = \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}} d_j^n \Psi^j. \quad \text{and set} \quad \delta_j = \frac{1 + (1 - k\mu)\lambda_j}{1 + \lambda_j}.$$

Find the Courant (CFL) condition for stability and prove that

$$|c_j^{n+1}| \leq \delta_j |c_j^n| + \frac{k}{1 + \lambda_j} |d_j^n| \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, N.$$

- (d) Derive a stability estimate that relates  $|c_j^{n+1}|$  to the initial coefficient  $c_j^0$  and right hand side coefficients  $d_j^\nu$ ,  $\nu = 0, \dots, n$ .

**Problem 3.** Consider the interval  $D := (0, 1)$ . Let  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and  $f \in L^1(D)$  (note carefully what regularity is assumed of  $f$ ). Consider the equation

$$(3.1) \quad \mu u(x) + \beta \partial_x u(x) - \nu \partial_{xx} u(x) = f(x), \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in D,$$

$$(3.2) \quad u(0) = a, \quad u(1) = b.$$

Let  $I$  be a positive natural number. Let  $h := \frac{1}{I+1}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_h$  be the uniform mesh composed of the cells  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ , with  $x_i := ih$ , for all  $i$  in  $\{0 \dots I + 1\}$ . Let  $P_1(\mathcal{T}_h)$  be the Lagrange finite element space composed of the scalar-valued functions that are continuous and piecewise linear on the mesh  $\mathcal{T}_h$ . We also denote  $P_{1,0}(\mathcal{T}_h) := P_1(\mathcal{T}_h) \cap H_0^1(D)$ .

- (a) Let  $u_{ab}(x) = a(1-x) + bx$  be the natural linear lifting of the boundary conditions. Let  $u_0(x) := u(x) - u_{ab}(x)$  so that  $u_0(0) = 0$  and  $u_0(1) = 0$ . Write the weak form of the problem for  $u_0$  where the trial and test spaces are  $H_0^1(D)$ . Use the norm  $\|v\|_{H^1(D)} := (\|v\|_{L^2(D)}^2 + \|\partial_x v\|_{L^2(D)}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .
- (b) Prove that the proposed weak form of the problem is well-posed (*Hint*: You may invoke the boundedness of the embedding  $H^s(D) \subset L^\infty(D)$  when  $s > \frac{1}{2}$ . Recall also that  $\min(\mu, \nu) > 0$ .)
- (c) Write the Galerkin formulation of the weak formulation proposed in part (a) in the space  $P_{1,0}(\mathcal{T}_h) := P_1(\mathcal{T}_h) \cap H_0^1(D)$  and denote by  $u_{h,0}$  the approximation of  $u_0$ .
- (d) Denote  $u_h := u_{h,0} + u_{ab}$ . Prove that

$$\|u - u_h\|_{H^1(D)} \leq C \inf_{\chi \in P_1(\mathcal{T}_h)} \|u - \chi\|_{H^1(D)}.$$

Explain why we *cannot* immediately conclude using the usual arguments that

$$\|u - u_h\|_{H^1(D)} \leq C(u)h,$$

where  $C(u)$  depends on  $u$ . (Think carefully about what must be true about  $u$  in order for these estimates to hold.)