

Turner, Kathryn M.

k-turner2

Assignment 6

4.3.1

<http://people.tamu.edu/~kmt4088/ch4sec3prob1.pdf>

---

**Proposition 1:**

- (a) If a set  $\mathcal{S}$  is independent, then any subset of  $\mathcal{S}$  is also independent.
- (b) If a set  $\mathcal{S}$  spans  $\mathcal{V}$ , then any superset of  $\mathcal{S}$  (i.e., a set of which  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subset) also spans  $\mathcal{V}$ .

Proof:

- (a) The definition of independence for a set is (from page 154):

The set  $\mathcal{S} \equiv \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$  is independent iff every  $\vec{y}$  in the vector space can be expressed in at most one way as a linear combination of the vectors  $\vec{v}_j$ .

In other words, any given vector in the space under consideration can be expressed in no ways or in one way as a linear combination of the vectors of the set.

Consider the subset  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{subset}} \equiv \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_h\}$ , where  $h < k$ . Given that  $\mathcal{S}$  is independent, consider a vector  $\vec{y}$  in the vector space. There are three possibilities:

- (1)  $\vec{y}$  cannot be expressed as a linear combination of the vectors in  $\mathcal{S}$ . ( $\mathcal{S}$  does not span the vector space.) If this is the case,  $\vec{y}$  also cannot be expressed as a linear combination of the vectors in  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{subset}}$ , because a subset of a set cannot include vectors that were not in the original set.
- (2)  $\vec{y}$  can be expressed as a linear combination of the vectors in  $\mathcal{S}$  in exactly one way. However, the subset  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{subset}}$  does not include one or more of the vectors needed to express  $\vec{y}$ . Therefore, there is no way to express  $\vec{y}$  as a linear combination of the vectors in  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{subset}}$ .
- (3)  $\vec{y}$  can be expressed as a linear combination of the vectors in  $\mathcal{S}$  in exactly one way. The subset  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{subset}}$  includes all the needed vectors, so  $\vec{y}$  can be expressed as a linear combination of the vectors in  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{subset}}$  in exactly one way (as mentioned before, a subset cannot include vectors that were not part of the original set; so the caveat “exactly one way” holds).

From these three possibilities, we see that any given vector  $\vec{y}$  in the vector space can be expressed in either no ways or in one way as a linear combination of the vectors in a subset of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Therefore, when  $\mathcal{S}$  is independent, any subset of  $\mathcal{S}$  is also independent.

(b) The definition of span for a set is (from page 151):

A set  $\mathcal{S} \equiv \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$  in the vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  spans  $\mathcal{V}$  iff every  $\vec{y}$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  can be expressed in at least one way as a linear combination of the vectors  $\vec{v}_j$ .

Consider the superset  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{superset}} \equiv \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m\}$ , where  $m > k$ . Given that  $\mathcal{S}$  spans the vector space  $\mathcal{V}$ , consider a vector  $\vec{y}$  in  $\mathcal{V}$ . The definition above shows that  $\vec{y}$  can be expressed in at least one way as a linear combination of the vectors in  $\mathcal{S}$ . The superset  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{superset}}$  contains the vectors in  $\mathcal{S}$ , so  $\vec{y}$  can also be expressed as a linear combination of the vectors in  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{superset}}$ . There is a possibility that the additional vectors in  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{superset}}$  provide more ways to express  $\vec{y}$ , but since the definition places no limit on the number of ways, this is not a concern. Therefore, when a set  $\mathcal{S}$  spans a vector space  $\mathcal{V}$ , any superset of  $\mathcal{S}$  must also span  $\mathcal{V}$ .