

Midterm Test – Solutions

Name: _____

1. (Multiple choice – each 5 pts.) (Circle the correct capital letter.)

- (a) Let P stand for the proposition “All right angles are congruent” and let Q stand for the SAS triangle congruence criterion. Which of the following is true?
- (A) Both P and Q were assumed as axioms by Euclid.
 - (B) Both P and Q were proved as theorems by Euclid.
 - (C) Q can easily be proved from P.
 - (D) Modern geometry has Q as an axiom and P as a theorem, but in Euclid’s writing it was the reverse.
 - (E) Modern geometry has P as an axiom and Q as a theorem, but in Euclid’s writing it was the reverse.

D

- (b) Which of these is **not** a theorem of Hilbert geometry (without a parallel postulate)?
- (A) If $\angle CAB < \angle DEF$ and $\angle DEF < \angle GHI$, then $\angle CAB < \angle GHI$.
 - (B) If D is in the interior of $\angle CAB$, then so is every other point on \overrightarrow{AD} except A.
 - (C) Every point D in the interior of $\angle CAB$ lies on a segment joining a point E on \overrightarrow{AB} to a point F on \overrightarrow{AC} .
 - (D) If $\angle CAB < \angle DEF$ and $\angle DEF \cong \angle GHI$, then $\angle CAB < \angle GHI$.
 - (E) If \overrightarrow{AD} is between \overrightarrow{AC} and \overrightarrow{AB} , then \overrightarrow{AD} intersects segment BC.

C (This is the “warning” on p. 115.)

2. (16 pts.) Prove **ONE** of the triangle congruence theorems, either ASA or SSS. (At most

8 points extra credit for doing both.)



For ASA, see solution to Exercise 3.26, pp. 151–152. SSS was extensively discussed in class.

3. (20 pts.) The *tetrahedron* is an incidence geometry consisting of 4 points and 6 lines. (The lines are the two-point subsets.)

- (a) State the 3 incidence axioms that this system (like every incidence geometry) satisfies.

See Chapter 2.

- (b) State the parallelism property that this particular system satisfies.

Euclidean (see pp. 74–75).

4. (11 pts.) Simplify $\neg\forall x \exists y [(x < y) \wedge \exists z (x + z > y)] \Rightarrow (x + y \leq 3)$.

(Push the “ \neg ” in as far as you can! Truth or falsity of the statement is irrelevant. In Greenberg’s notation, \neg is \sim , and \wedge is $\&$.)

Work in steps:

$$\exists x \forall y \neg [(x < y) \wedge \exists z (x + z > y)] \Rightarrow (x + y \leq 3);$$

$$\exists x \forall y [(x < y) \wedge \exists z (x + z > y)] \wedge \neg(x + y \leq 3);$$

$$\exists x \forall y [(x < y) \wedge \exists z (x + z > y) \wedge (x + y > 3)].$$

5. (18 pts.) *Pasch’s theorem* says, loosely, that any line that goes into a triangle comes back out.

(a) State the theorem precisely.

(b) Prove it.

See p. 114.

6. (Essay – 25 pts.) Let \mathcal{S} be a set. Recall that an *equivalence relation* on \mathcal{S} is a binary relation \sim with the properties (for all A, B, C in \mathcal{S})

(1) reflexivity: $A \sim A$,

(2) symmetry: $A \sim B \Rightarrow B \sim A$,

(3) transitivity: $A \sim B \wedge B \sim C \Rightarrow A \sim C$.

Recall also that a *partition* of \mathcal{S} is a collection $\{S_i\}$ of subsets of \mathcal{S} with the properties

(4) disjointness: $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$,

(5) exhaustiveness: $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_i S_i$.

Show how every equivalence relation on \mathcal{S} determines a partition of \mathcal{S} , and how every partition of \mathcal{S} determines an equivalence relation on \mathcal{S} . (In each of the two cases, explain how the new entity is defined from the old one and prove that it satisfies all the clauses in the definition.)