

# ERRATA AND COMMENTS ON “QUANTUM COMPUTATION AND QUANTUM INFORMATION” AMS GSM 243

J. M. LANDSBERG

Errata begin with (E)

- (1) p24 Motivated by the DFT, one could replace 3) on the wish list by asking to allow complex numbers and get the same effect.
- (2) (E) p36 display in middle of page is missing  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ .
- (3) (E) p45 L5  $\oplus$  should be  $\bigoplus$
- (4) p48 Prop. 3.3.4: the  $O(\log(a)^3)$  is because there are  $O(\log(a))$  steps, each of cost  $O(\log(a)^2)$ .
- (5) p54 L-6  $b^{2^{j_0 \ell}}$  should be  $b^{2^{j_0} \ell}$
- (6) p57 Def. 3.5.3: to clarify:  $\max_{|\xi|_A=1} |X|\xi\rangle|_B$
- (7) p63: §3.6.3 This section follows Chap. 10 of [1].
- (8) p60 (E) The argument that  $s_1 = s_2$  happens with probability at most  $\frac{1}{2}$  is muddled. Here is a cleaner argument (thanks to Chun-Hung Liu):

By the CRT we associate to  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$   $(a_1, a_2) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p_1\mathbb{Z})^* \times (\mathbb{Z}/p_2\mathbb{Z})^*$  with  $r_j$  order of  $a_j$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p_j\mathbb{Z})^*$ , and  $r_j = 2^{s_j} r'_j$  with  $r'_j$  odd.

We want to show the probability that  $s_1 = s_2$  is at most  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Again by the CRT, each  $a_j$  is chosen uniformly from  $(\mathbb{Z}/p_j\mathbb{Z})^*$  and the choices are independent. So it suffices to show that for any fixed  $b$ , the probability that  $s_2 = b$  is at most  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

The choice of  $a_2$  is equivalent to choosing an integer  $x_2$  between 1 and  $p_2 - 1$  (the order of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p_2\mathbb{Z})^*$ ) uniformly at random because for each  $a_2 \in (\mathbb{Z}/p_2\mathbb{Z})^*$  there exists  $x_2$  such that  $a_2 = g^{x_2}$  where  $g$  is the generator of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p_2\mathbb{Z})^*$ .

The order of  $a_2$  is  $\frac{p_2-1}{\gcd(x_2, p_2-1)}$ . Let  $t_2$  be the largest integer such that  $2^{t_2}$  divides  $x_2$  and let  $s$  be the largest integer such that  $2^s$  divides  $p_2 - 1$ . Then  $s_2 = s - t_2$ . So for any fixed  $b$ , the probability that  $s_2 = b$  equals the probability that  $t_2 = s - b$ .

If  $b = 0$ , then for each  $x_2$  with  $s_2 = 0 = b$ ,  $t_2 = s \geq 1$  so  $x_2$  is even and between 1 and  $p_2 - 1$ , which occurs at most half the time. Similarly if  $b > 0$ , for each  $x_2$  with  $s_2 = b$ ,  $t_2 < s$  so  $2x_2$  will be such that the equality fails, so this can occur at most half the time as well.

- (9) p64 (E) The proof of Prop. 3.6.15 is incorrect. Here is a correct proof:

First a preliminary result:

**Proposition 0.1.** Write  $\frac{p}{q} = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N] = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}, \alpha'_k]$  where  $\alpha'_k = [\alpha_k, \dots, \alpha_N]$  is rational. Then  $\alpha_k = \lfloor \alpha'_k \rfloor$  except if  $k = N - 1$  and  $\alpha_N = 1$ , in which case  $\alpha_{N-1} = \lfloor \alpha'_{N-1} \rfloor - 1$ .

*Proof.* Use induction. When  $k = 1$ , we indeed have  $\alpha_1 = \lfloor \frac{p}{q} \rfloor$ . Now say  $k > 1$ .  $\alpha'_k = \alpha_k + \frac{1}{\alpha'_{k+1}}$  and if  $k + 1 \neq N$  or  $\alpha_N > 1$ , then  $\alpha'_{k+1} > 1$ , so  $\alpha_k < \alpha'_k < \alpha_k + 1$ . Thus  $\alpha_k = \lfloor \alpha'_k \rfloor$ .  $\square$

*Proof of 3.6.15.* Write  $\frac{a}{b} = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] = [\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m]$  with  $\alpha_j, \beta_j \geq 1$  for all  $j > 1$ , and  $\alpha_n, \beta_m > 1$ . To show:  $m = n$  and  $\alpha_k = \beta_k$  for all  $k$ . Proof by induction. Again the case  $k = 1$  is ok. Say it is true for all  $j < k$ . Write  $\frac{p}{q} = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}, \alpha'_k] = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}, \beta'_k]$ . Then

$$\frac{p_k}{q_k} = \frac{\alpha'_k p_{k-1} + p_{k-2}}{\alpha'_k q_{k-1} + q_{k-2}} = \frac{\beta'_k p_{k-1} + p_{k-2}}{\beta'_k q_{k-1} + q_{k-2}}$$

i.e.,  $(\alpha'_k - \beta'_k)(p_{k-1}q_{k-2} - p_{k-2}q_{k-1}) = 0$ , so by Cor. 3.6.12,  $\alpha'_k = \beta'_k$  and if  $k \neq N - 1$  or  $\alpha_N > 1$ , by the proposition above  $\alpha_k = \beta_k$ . The rest of the proof is as before.  $\square$

(10) (E) p190 3.3.3  $\alpha_{n+2}$  should be  $\alpha_{n+3}$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, sixth ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008, Revised by D. R. Heath-Brown and J. H. Silverman, With a foreword by Andrew Wiles. MR 2445243

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843-3368, USA

*Email address*, J.M. Landsberg: [jml@math.tamu.edu](mailto:jml@math.tamu.edu)