

## Math 367 Notes: Mathematical Language and Reasoning 2

Some statements are *compound* statements, that is, they are built from two or more simpler statements. We will look at some specific types of compound statements: conjunctions, disjunctions, implications, and biconditional statements.

### Conjunctions.

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be statements. Their *conjunction* is the statement “ $P$  and  $Q$ ”, true when both  $P$  and  $Q$  are true (and false when either  $P$  or  $Q$  or both are false).

To prove that a conjunction is true, you must prove that both parts are true.

### Disjunctions.

The *disjunction* of statements  $P$  and  $Q$  is the statement “ $P$  or  $Q$ ”, true when either  $P$  or  $Q$  or both are true (and false when both  $P$  and  $Q$  are false).

To prove that a disjunction is true, you must prove that  $P$  is true or  $Q$  is true.

Remark: To be precise, one sometimes uses the term *inclusive disjunction* to refer to a disjunction as defined above. By contrast, sometimes one might intend an *exclusive disjunction* of  $P$  and  $Q$ , which is true if either (1)  $P$  is true and  $Q$  is false or (2)  $P$  is false and  $Q$  is true (and false otherwise). In everyday language, both types of disjunctions are used, and context should make it clear which is intended. In mathematics, a disjunction is generally intended to be inclusive.

### Negations of conjunctions and disjunctions.

Negation interchanges these two types of compound statements.

*Example 1.* Negate each statement:

- Ellen plays tennis and soccer.
- James went to Brenham or to Conroe.

### Implications.

An *implication* is a statement of the form “if  $P$ , then  $Q$ ”. It is true if either (1)  $P$  is true and  $Q$  is true or (2)  $P$  is false. In this way, it is logically equivalent to (i.e. has the same truth value as) the disjunction “not  $P$  or  $Q$ ”. The *hypothesis* is the statement  $P$ , and the *conclusion* is the statement  $Q$ . We say that  $P$  is a *sufficient* condition for  $Q$ , and that  $Q$  is a *necessary* condition for  $P$ .

To prove that an implication is true, you must show that if  $P$  is true, then  $Q$  must also be true. (No need to do anything in circumstances where  $P$  is false.)

*Example 2.* For each statement, determine whether it is true or false. If true, prove it. If false, find a counterexample.

- For every integer  $n$ , if  $n$  is even, then  $n^2$  is divisible by 4.
- For every integer  $n$ , if  $n^2$  is divisible by 4, then  $n$  is divisible by 4.

The *converse* of the implication “if  $P$ , then  $Q$ ” is “if  $Q$ , then  $P$ ”; this statement is *not* logically equivalent to the original implication.

The *contrapositive* of the implication “if  $P$ , then  $Q$ ” is “if not  $Q$ , then not  $P$ ”; this statement *is* logically equivalent to the original implication (i.e. it has the same truth value). To prove that an implication is true, it is equivalent to prove that its contrapositive is true.

*Example 3.* For the following statement, write its converse and its contrapositive: If it is raining, then I take the bus.

*Example 4.* Prove the following statement by proving its contrapositive: For all integers  $m$ , if  $m^2$  is even, then  $m$  is even.

The negation of “if  $P$ , then  $Q$ ” is the conjunction “ $P$  and not  $Q$ ”.

### Biconditional statements.

A *biconditional* statement is a statement of the form “ $P$  if, and only if,  $Q$ ”, and this is equivalent to the conjunction “if  $P$ , then  $Q$ , and if  $Q$ , then  $P$ ”. To prove a biconditional statement, you must prove both implications, “if  $P$ , then  $Q$ ” and “if  $Q$ , then  $P$ ”.

*Example 5.* Prove the following biconditional statement: For all integers  $n$ ,  $n$  is even if, and only if,  $n^2$  is even.