

Irreducible Representations of Crossed Products

Susan Montgomery¹

Department of Mathematics, University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90089, U. S. A.

S. J. Witherspoon²

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto
Toronto, Ontario M5S 3G3, Canada

Abstract

We prove that if the dimension of any irreducible module for a finite dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field divides the dimension of the algebra, then the same is true of any crossed product of that algebra with a group algebra or its dual, provided the characteristic of the field does not divide the order of the group. Kaplansky's Conjecture regarding dimensions of irreducible modules for Hopf algebras then follows for those finite dimensional semisimple Hopf algebras constructed by a sequence of crossed products involving group algebras and their duals. We show that any semisimple Hopf algebra of prime power dimension in characteristic 0 is of this type, so that Kaplansky's Conjecture holds for these Hopf algebras.

Introduction

In 1975, Kaplansky conjectured that the dimension of any irreducible H -module divides the dimension of H , where H is a finite dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra over an algebraically closed field [9]. This is well known for semisimple group algebras (a classical result of Frobenius), and for the dual of a group algebra, whose irreducible modules are all of dimension one. Recently, Nichols and Richmond have proven that if H has an irreducible module of dimension 2, then 2 divides the dimension of H [16]. Zhu has proven that the dimension of any irreducible $D(H)$ -submodule of H divides the dimension of H , where $D(H)$ is the quantum double of H and the field has characteristic 0 [22]. Aside from these cases and some examples however, the general case has remained unknown.

We prove this conjecture for those Hopf algebras which can be constructed by a sequence of crossed products involving group algebras and their duals. All finite dimensional semisimple Hopf algebras over algebraically closed fields known at this time are of this form, so Kaplansky's Conjecture holds for all known examples. Further, we show that all semisimple Hopf algebras of prime power dimension over algebraically closed fields of characteristic 0 are of this form, establishing Kaplansky's Conjecture for these Hopf algebras.

¹Partially supported by NSF Grant DMS 95-00649.

²Partially supported by NSERC Grant OGP0170281.

More specifically, let G be a finite group, k an algebraically closed field whose characteristic does not divide the order of G , and A a finite dimensional k -algebra. We first show that if the divisibility property for dimensions of irreducible modules holds for A , then it holds for any crossed product $A\#_{\sigma}kG$. This follows from an application to $A\#_{\sigma}kG$ of Clifford Theory for group-graded rings [7]. We give an explicit construction of $A\#_{\sigma}kG$ -modules from A -modules and modules for twisted group algebras of subgroups of G .

Next we consider a crossed product $B = A\#_{\sigma}(kG)^*$ where $(kG)^*$ is the Hopf algebra dual of kG . By duality [2], the smash product $B\#kG$ is isomorphic to a matrix algebra $M_n(A)$. If the divisibility property for dimensions of irreducible modules holds for A , then it holds for $M_n(A)$, and our construction of $B\#kG$ -modules from B -modules then shows that it holds for B .

We define lower and upper semisolvable Hopf algebras to be those having certain normal series with factors either commutative or cocommutative. Our results involving kG and $(kG)^*$ show that Kaplansky's Conjecture holds for a finite dimensional semisimple lower or upper semisolvable Hopf algebra H when the characteristic of k does not divide the dimension of H . We show that any semisimple Hopf algebra of prime power dimension over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 is upper and lower semisolvable, and thus Kaplansky's Conjecture holds for these Hopf algebras.

All algebras and modules will be finite dimensional over the algebraically closed field k , and tensor products will be over k unless otherwise indicated.

1 Group crossed products

Let A be a finite dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field k , G a finite group, and $A\#_{\sigma}kG$ a crossed product. That is, there is an invertible map $\sigma : G \times G \rightarrow A$ and a k -linear map $kG \otimes A \rightarrow A$ denoted $g \otimes a \mapsto g \cdot a$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} g \cdot (h \cdot a) &= \sigma(g, h)(gh \cdot a)\sigma(g, h)^{-1}, \\ (g \cdot \sigma(h, \ell))\sigma(g, h\ell) &= \sigma(g, h)\sigma(gh, \ell), \\ \sigma(g, 1) &= \sigma(1, g) = 1, \\ g \cdot (ab) &= (g \cdot a)(g \cdot b) \quad , \quad g \cdot 1 = 1 \quad , \quad 1 \cdot a = a, \end{aligned}$$

for all $g, h, \ell \in G$ and $a, b \in A$. In particular, kG measures A and σ is a 2-cocycle. We denote the element $a \otimes g$ of $A \otimes kG$ by $a\#g$, and define a product on this vector space by

$$(a\#g)(b\#h) = a(g \cdot b)\sigma(g, h)\#gh.$$

This results in an associative algebra, denoted $A\#_{\sigma}kG$ [15, 18]. This algebra is a fully G -graded algebra, with g -component $A\#_{\sigma}kg$ for each $g \in G$ [3, 7]. The algebra A embeds

in $A\#_{\sigma}kG$ as the identity component. Clifford Theory for group-graded rings implies a correspondence between $A\#_{\sigma}kG$ -modules, and those of A and of twisted group algebras for subgroups of G [6, 7]. Alternatively, the correspondence may be generalized directly from Clifford Theory for group algebras [5] to crossed products $A\#_{\sigma}kG$. We will describe this correspondence explicitly for a crossed product, in terms of the cocycle σ . These constructions do not require k to be algebraically closed.

We first introduce an action of G on the set of isomorphism classes of finite dimensional A -modules, the conjugation action of G . If T is an A -module with $\rho : A \rightarrow \text{End}_k(T)$ defining the action of A on T , and $g \in G$, the *conjugate* module $g \cdot T$ is defined to be the A -module with underlying vector space T and action $g \cdot \rho : A \rightarrow \text{End}_k(T)$ defined by

$$g \cdot \rho(a) = \rho(g^{-1} \cdot a)$$

for all $a \in A$. We may also express the module $g \cdot T$ as $(A\#_{\sigma}kg) \otimes_A T \cong (1\#g^{-1})^{-1} \otimes_A T$, where A acts by left multiplication on the first factor, as

$$(1\#g^{-1})(a\#1)(1\#g^{-1})^{-1} = (g^{-1} \cdot a)\#1.$$

Here $(1\#g^{-1})^{-1} = \sigma(g, g^{-1})^{-1}\#g$. We note that $\dim(g \cdot T) = \dim(T)$, whereas in the general situation of a group-graded algebra, conjugate modules do not always have the same dimension [3]. If $h \in G$, then $h \cdot g \cdot \rho$ is equivalent to $hg \cdot \rho$ as a representation, since $g^{-1} \cdot h^{-1} \cdot a = \sigma(g^{-1}, h^{-1})((hg)^{-1} \cdot a)\sigma(g^{-1}, h^{-1})^{-1}$.

Let U be an $A\#_{\sigma}kG$ -module. We denote by $U \downarrow_A$ the module U restricted to A . If U is irreducible, then $U \downarrow_A$ is semisimple and isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of an irreducible A -module T and its distinct G -conjugates, each occurring the same number of times ([6, Exercises 18.9 and 18.10] or [7, Theorem 12.4]). That is, $U \downarrow_A \cong (T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_k)^{\oplus e}$ for some positive integer e , where $T = T_1, \dots, T_k$ are the distinct (mutually nonisomorphic) G -conjugates of T .

Let G_T be the *stabilizer* in G of the irreducible A -module T :

$$G_T = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot T \cong T\}.$$

Then the A -submodule $T^{\oplus e}$ of $U \downarrow_A$ may be considered to be an irreducible $A\#_{\sigma}kG_T$ -submodule of the restriction of U to $A\#_{\sigma}kG_T$, as Proposition 1.1 below implies. The proposition follows from [6, Exercises 18.11 and 18.12] or [7, Corollary 11.16]. If V is an $A\#_{\sigma}kG_T$ -module, we will denote by $V \uparrow^G$ the induced $A\#_{\sigma}kG$ -module $(A\#_{\sigma}kG) \otimes_{A\#_{\sigma}kG_T} V$, where the action is given by left multiplication by the first factor. In this case, note that $V \uparrow^G \cong \sum_{g \in G/G_T} g \cdot V$ as an $A\#_{\sigma}kG_T$ -module, since $A\#_{\sigma}kG$ is free as a right $A\#_{\sigma}kG_T$ -module. Therefore $\dim(V \uparrow^G) = |G : G_T| \dim(V)$.

Proposition 1.1 *Let T be an irreducible A -module, and G_T its stabilizer in G . Induction of modules provides an equivalence between the category of $A\#_{\sigma}kG_T$ -modules whose restriction to A is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of T , and the category of $A\#_{\sigma}kG$ -modules whose restriction to A is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of conjugates of T .*

We next describe a construction of $A\#_{\sigma}kG_T$ -modules from modules for a twisted group algebra $k^{\beta}G_T$ of G_T . Given a 2-cocycle $\beta : G_T \times G_T \rightarrow k^{\times}$, where elements of G_T act trivially on k^{\times} , the *twisted group algebra* $k^{\beta}G_T$ is the crossed product $k\#_{\beta}kG_T$.

Let $\rho : A \rightarrow \text{End}_k(T)$ express the action of A on the irreducible A -module T , and for each $g \in G_T$, let $\tau(g) \in \text{End}_k(T)$ be an isomorphism between $g \cdot T$ and T . That is,

$$\rho(a)\tau(g) = \tau(g)\rho(g^{-1} \cdot a)$$

for all $a \in A$. Choose $\tau(1)$ to be the identity map. For each $g, h \in G_T$, it may be checked that the invertible map $\tau(g)\tau(h)\rho(\sigma(h^{-1}, g^{-1}))\tau(gh)^{-1}$ commutes with $\rho(a)$ for all $a \in A$; that is, it is an A -homomorphism. As T is irreducible, Schur's Lemma implies that there is a scalar $\alpha(g, h) \in k^{\times}$ such that $\tau(g)\tau(h)\rho(\sigma(h^{-1}, g^{-1}))\tau(gh)^{-1} = \alpha(g, h) \circ \text{id}_T$. So

$$\tau(g)\tau(h) = \alpha(g, h)\tau(gh)\rho(\sigma^{-1}(h^{-1}, g^{-1}))$$

for all $g, h \in G_T$. Using the 2-cocycle relation for σ and the definition of τ , we see that α is itself a 2-cocycle (with corresponding trivial action on k^{\times}). We will be interested in the 2-cocycle α^{-1} .

Let M be a $k^{\alpha^{-1}}G_T$ -module. It may be checked that the vector space $T \otimes M$ has the structure of an $A\#_{\sigma}kG_T$ -module given by

$$(a\#g) \cdot (t \otimes m) = \rho(a)\tau(g)\rho(\sigma(g^{-1}, g))t \otimes g \cdot m$$

for all $a \in A$, $g \in G_T$, $t \in T$, and $m \in M$. Here $g \cdot m$ denotes the action of the image of g in $k^{\alpha^{-1}}G_T$ on m . The restriction $(T \otimes M) \downarrow_A$ is a direct sum of copies of T . If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a $k^{\alpha^{-1}}G_T$ -homomorphism, then $\text{id}_T \otimes f$ is an $A\#_{\sigma}kG_T$ -homomorphism from $T \otimes M$ to $T \otimes N$.

On the other hand, if V is an $A\#_{\sigma}kG_T$ -module whose restriction to A is a direct sum of copies of T , the vector space $\text{Hom}_A(T, V)$ becomes a $k^{\alpha^{-1}}G_T$ -module by defining

$$(g \cdot \phi)(t) = \alpha^{-1}(g, g^{-1})\#g \cdot \phi(\tau(g^{-1})t)$$

for all $g \in G_T$, $\phi \in \text{Hom}_A(T, V)$, $t \in T$. If $f : V \rightarrow W$ is an $A\#_{\sigma}kG_T$ -homomorphism, then f_* is a $k^{\alpha^{-1}}G_T$ -homomorphism from $\text{Hom}_A(T, V)$ to $\text{Hom}_A(T, W)$, where $f_*(\phi) = f \circ \phi$.

We have described functors between the categories of $k^{\alpha^{-1}}G_T$ -modules and of those $A\#_{\sigma}kG_T$ -modules whose restriction to A is a direct sum of copies of T . It may be checked that these functors provide a category equivalence, as stated in the following proposition. Alternatively, the proposition follows from a result of Dade about group-graded rings ([6, pp. 239–240] or [7, Theorem 10.6]).

Proposition 1.2 *Let T be an irreducible A -module, G_T its stabilizer in G , and α the 2-cocycle of G_T determined by T as above. There is an equivalence between the category of $k^{\alpha^{-1}}G_T$ -modules and the category of those $A\#_{\sigma}kG_T$ -modules whose restriction to A is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of T .*

Propositions 1.1 and 1.2, together with the above description of the category equivalences, immediately imply the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3 *Let T be an irreducible A -module, G_T its stabilizer in G , and α the 2-cocycle of G_T determined by T as above. There is an equivalence between the category of $k^{\alpha^{-1}}G_T$ -modules and the category of those $A\#_{\sigma}kG$ -modules whose restriction to A is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of conjugates of T . This equivalence is given by sending a $k^{\alpha^{-1}}G_T$ -module M to the module $(T \otimes M) \uparrow^G$ induced from the $A\#_{\sigma}kG_T$ -module $T \otimes M$ defined by $(a\#g) \cdot (t \otimes m) = \rho(a)\tau(g)\rho(\sigma(g^{-1}, g))t \otimes g \cdot m$ for all $a \in A$, $g \in G_T$, $t \in T$, and $m \in M$.*

As a consequence of the theorem, irreducible $k^{\alpha^{-1}}G_T$ -modules are in one-to-one correspondence with those irreducible $A\#_{\sigma}kG$ -modules having T as a direct summand. We also need the fact that the dimension of an irreducible module for a twisted group algebra over k divides the order of the group, provided the characteristic of k is relatively prime to the order of the group. This follows from the theory of Schur representation groups [8]. We note that Passman now has a simpler proof of Corollary 1.4 below that does not use Theorem 1.3. However, we need Theorem 1.3 in the next section.

Corollary 1.4 *Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra such that the dimension of any irreducible A -module divides the dimension of A . Let G be a finite group such that the characteristic of k does not divide $|G|$, and let $B = A\#_{\sigma}kG$ be a crossed product. Then the dimension of any irreducible B -module divides the dimension of B .*

Proof: Let U be an irreducible B -module. By the discussion at the beginning of the section, $U \downarrow_A$ is a direct sum of conjugates of an irreducible A -module T , each occurring the same number of times. Let G_T be the stabilizer of T , and $\alpha : G_T \times G_T \rightarrow k^{\times}$ the 2-cocycle determined by T as above. By Theorem 1.3, there is an irreducible $k^{\alpha^{-1}}G_T$ -module M with $U \cong (T \otimes M) \uparrow^G$, so that $\dim(U) = [G : G_T] \dim(T) \dim(M)$. Now $\dim(M)$ divides $|G_T|$ as noted above, so $\dim(U)$ divides $|G| \dim(T)$. But $\dim(T)$ divides $\dim(A)$ by hypothesis, so $\dim(U)$ divides $|G| \dim(A) = \dim(B)$. \square

2 The dual of a group algebra

In this section we will consider $A\#_{\sigma}(kG)^*$ -modules, where A is a finite dimensional k -algebra, G a finite group, and $(kG)^*$ the Hopf algebra dual of kG . We refer the reader to Section 3 for the definition of the general crossed product $A\#_{\sigma}H$ for a Hopf algebra H . Here we use duality to achieve an analogous result to Corollary 1.4 regarding dimensions of irreducible $A\#_{\sigma}(kG)^*$ -modules. That is, G acts on $B = A\#_{\sigma}(kG)^*$ by

$$g \cdot (a\#f) = a\#(g \cdot f),$$

where $g \cdot f(x) = f(xg^{-1})$. Thus we may form the smash product $B\#kG$, that is a crossed product with trivial cocycle. By duality $B\#kG \cong M_n(A)$, where n is the order of G [2].

If the dimension of any irreducible A -module divides the dimension of A , then the same is true of $M_n(A)$, as an irreducible $M_n(A)$ -module is a direct sum of n copies of an irreducible A -module. In particular, the dimension of an irreducible $M_n(A)$ -module is n times the dimension of the corresponding A -module.

At this point it is natural to ask if a converse to Corollary 1.4 is true. That is, suppose B is a finite dimensional k -algebra and the dimension of any irreducible $B\#_\sigma kG$ -module divides $\dim(B\#_\sigma kG)$. Does it follow that the dimension of any irreducible B -module divides the dimension of B ? The following example, which was pointed out to us by Guralnick, shows that the answer is no. Let B be an algebra for which there exists an irreducible module whose dimension does not divide $\dim(B)$, for example $B = k \oplus M_2(k)$. Then it is possible to choose an abelian group G of large enough order that irreducible $B \otimes kG$ -modules will have dimension dividing $\dim(B \otimes kG)$. However duality provides a positive answer for the smash product of $B = A\#_\sigma(kG)^*$ with kG .

Theorem 2.1 *Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra such that the dimension of any irreducible A -module divides the dimension of A . Let G be a finite group such that the characteristic of k does not divide $|G|$, and let $B = A\#_\sigma(kG)^*$ be a crossed product. Then the dimension of any irreducible B -module divides the dimension of B .*

Proof: Let T be an irreducible B -module. The action of G on B described above yields an action of G on the isomorphism classes of B -modules, as described in Section 1. Let G_T be the subgroup of all $g \in G$ such that $g \cdot T \cong T$, and let $\alpha : G_T \times G_T \rightarrow k^\times$ be the 2-cocycle determined by T as in Section 1. Let M be any irreducible $k^{\alpha^{-1}G_T}$ -module. By Theorem 1.3, there is a corresponding irreducible $B\#kG$ -module $U = (T \otimes M) \uparrow^G$, so that

$$\dim(U) = [G : G_T] \dim(T) \dim(M).$$

By duality, we have $B\#kG \cong M_n(A)$, where $n = |G|$ [2]. Let X be an irreducible A -module corresponding to the $M_n(A)$ -module U , so that $\dim(U) = |G| \dim(X)$. Comparing to the above expression for $\dim(U)$, we have

$$\dim(T) = |G_T| \dim(X) / \dim(M).$$

As M is an irreducible $k^{\alpha^{-1}G_T}$ -module, $\dim(M)$ divides $|G_T|$, and so $|G_T| / \dim(M)$ divides $|G_T|$. By hypothesis, $\dim(X)$ divides $\dim(A)$. Therefore $\dim(T)$ divides $|G_T| \dim(A)$, which divides $|G| \dim(A) = \dim(B)$. \square

An inductive argument using Corollary 1.4 and Theorem 2.1 yields the following consequence.

Corollary 2.2 *Let A be a finite dimensional algebra with a sequence of subalgebras $k = A_{n+1} \subseteq A_n \subseteq \cdots \subseteq A_2 \subseteq A_1 = A$ such that for each i , A_i is isomorphic to $A_{i+1} \#_{\sigma} kG$ or $A_{i+1} \#_{\sigma} (kG)^*$ for some group G and cocycle σ . Suppose further that the characteristic of k does not divide the order of any of the groups G involved. Then the dimension of any irreducible A -module divides the dimension of A .*

3 Semisolvable Hopf algebras

Let H be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra over the algebraically closed field k . (The assumption that k be algebraically closed is not needed until Theorem 3.4.) A *lower normal series* for H is a series of proper subHopf algebras

$$k = H_{n+1} \subseteq H_n \subseteq \cdots \subseteq H_2 \subseteq H_1 = H,$$

where H_{i+1} is normal in H_i for each i . That is, $(ad_{\ell} H_i)(H_{i+1}) \subseteq H_{i+1}$ and $(ad_r H_i)(H_{i+1}) \subseteq H_{i+1}$, where ad_{ℓ} and ad_r are the left and right adjoint actions [15]. As H_{i+1} is normal in H_i , $H_i H_{i+1}^+ = H_{i+1}^+ H_i$ is a Hopf ideal of H_i , where H_{i+1}^+ is the augmentation ideal of H_{i+1} . The *factors* of this normal series are the quotients $\overline{H}_i = H_i / H_i H_{i+1}^+$. An *upper normal series* for H is a series defined inductively as follows. Let $H_{(0)} = H$. Let H_i be a normal subHopf algebra of $H_{(i-1)}$, and define $H_{(i)} = H_{(i-1)} / H_{(i-1)} H_i^+$. We assume $H_n = H_{(n-1)}$ for some positive integer n so that $H_{(n)} = k$. The *factors* are the subHopf algebras H_i of the quotients $H_{(i-1)}$. We use the following lemma to relate normal series of H and H^* .

Lemma 3.1 *Let H be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra and K a normal subHopf algebra of H . Then $J = (H/HK^+)^*$ is isomorphic to a normal subHopf algebra of H^* , and H^*/H^*J^+ is isomorphic to K^* .*

Proof: By the Nichols-Zoeller Theorem [17], H is free over K . As K is normal in H , it follows from [20, Lemma 1.3 (2)] that HK^+ is a normal Hopf ideal of H . Equivalently, $J = (H/HK^+)^*$ is isomorphic to a normal subHopf algebra of H^* as H is finite dimensional [15, p. 36].

Let $\overline{H} = H/HK^+$ and $\pi : H \rightarrow \overline{H}$ the natural projection. By [19, Lemma 1.3] or [15, Proposition 3.4.3] we have $K = H^{co\overline{H}}$, the coinvariants in H of the \overline{H} -comodule given by $(\text{id} \otimes \pi) \circ \Delta$, where Δ is the coproduct on H . That is, K is the set of all $h \in H$ such that $\sum h_1 \otimes \pi(h_2) = h \otimes 1$. Thus the following equalizer diagram is exact:

$$K \xrightarrow{i} H \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} H \otimes \overline{H},$$

where i is inclusion, $f(h) = h \otimes \overline{1}$ and $g(h) = (\text{id} \otimes \pi) \circ \Delta(h) = \sum h_1 \otimes \overline{h_2}$, as in [15, pp. 34–35]. Dualizing this diagram as in [15, p. 143] or [19, Theorem 2.4 (2)] gives the

coequalizer diagram

$$H^* \otimes J \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f^*} \\ \rightrightarrows \\ \xrightarrow{g^*} \end{array} H^* \xrightarrow{i^*} K^*,$$

as $J = \overline{H^*}$. Thus K^* can be defined by this exact sequence. Now $\text{Ker}(i^*) = \text{Im}(f^* - g^*) = H^*J^+$, and so $K^* \cong H^*/H^*J^+$. \square

Theorem 3.2 *Let H be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra. If H has a lower normal series with factors \overline{H}_i , then H^* has an upper normal series with factors $(H^*)_i \cong (\overline{H}_i)^*$. If H has an upper normal series with factors H_i , then H^* has a lower normal series with factors $(H^*)_i \cong (H_i)^*$.*

Proof: Let $k = H_{n+1} \subseteq H_n \subseteq \dots \subseteq H_2 \subseteq H_1 = H$ be a lower normal series for H with factors $\overline{H}_i = H_i/H_iH_{i+1}^+$. If $n \leq 1$, there is nothing to prove. If $n > 1$, let $J = (H/HH_2^+)^*$, a normal subHopf algebra of H^* with $H^*/H^*J^+ \cong (H_2)^*$ by Lemma 3.1. By induction $(H_2)^*$ has an upper normal series with factors isomorphic to $(\overline{H}_i)^*$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$. Letting $(H^*)_1 = J$, H^* has an upper normal series with factors $(H^*)_i \cong (\overline{H}_i)^*$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let $H_{(0)} = H$, $H_{(1)} = H/HH_1^+$, \dots , $H_{(n)} = H_{(n-1)}/H_{(n-1)}H_n^+ = k$ be an upper normal series for H . If $n \leq 1$, there is nothing to prove. If $n > 1$, let $J = (H_{(1)})^* = (H/HH_1^+)^*$. By Lemma 3.1, J is a normal subHopf algebra of H^* , and $H^*/H^*J^+ \cong (H_1)^*$. By induction $J = (H_{(1)})^*$ has a lower normal series with factors isomorphic to $(H_i)^*$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$. Letting $(H^*)_2 = J$, H^* has a lower normal series with factors $(H^*)_i \cong (H_i)^*$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. \square

We say that H is *lower solvable* (respectively *lower cosolvable*) if there is a lower normal series for H all factors of which are commutative (respectively cocommutative), and that H is *lower semisolvable* if there is a lower normal series for H each factor of which is either commutative or cocommutative. We say that H is *upper solvable* (respectively *upper cosolvable*, *upper semisolvable*) if H has an upper normal series each factor of which is commutative (respectively cocommutative, either commutative or cocommutative). As the dual of a commutative Hopf algebra is cocommutative and vice versa, the next result follows immediately from Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 3.3 *Let H be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra. Then H is lower (respectively upper) solvable if and only if H^* is upper (respectively lower) cosolvable, and H is lower semisolvable if and only if H^* is upper semisolvable.*

We next define the crossed product of an algebra A with a Hopf algebra H . We use summation notation for the coproduct of H [15, 1.4.2]. Suppose there is a convolution-invertible k -linear map $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow A$ and a k -linear map $H \otimes A \rightarrow A$ denoted $h \otimes a \mapsto h \cdot a$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
h \cdot (\ell \cdot a) &= \sum \sigma(h_1, \ell_1)(h_2 \ell_2 \cdot a) \sigma^{-1}(h_3, \ell_3), \\
\sum [h_1 \cdot \sigma(\ell_1, m_1)] \sigma(h_2, \ell_2 m_2) &= \sum \sigma(h_1, \ell_1) \sigma(h_2 \ell_2, m), \\
\sigma(h, 1) &= \sigma(1, h) = \epsilon(h)1, \\
h \cdot (ab) = \sum (h_1 \cdot a)(h_2 \cdot b) \quad , \quad h \cdot 1 &= \epsilon(h)1 \quad , \quad 1 \cdot a = a,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $h, \ell, m \in H$ and $a, b \in A$. In particular, H measures A and σ is a 2-cocycle. We denote the element $a \otimes h$ of $A \otimes H$ by $a \# h$, and define a product on this vector space by

$$(a \# h)(b \# \ell) = \sum a(h_1 \cdot b) \sigma(h_2, \ell_1) \# h_3 \ell_2.$$

This results in an associative algebra, denoted $A \#_{\sigma} H$ [15].

We will need some more results from [19]. First, if K is a normal subHopf algebra of the finite dimensional Hopf algebra H , then $H \cong K \#_{\sigma}(H/HK^+)$ for some 2-cocycle σ . It follows that if H has a lower normal series $k = H_{n+1} \subseteq H_n \subseteq \dots \subseteq H_1 = H$, then $H \cong \left(\left(\left(H_n \#_{\sigma_{n-1}} \overline{H_{n-1}} \right) \#_{\sigma_{n-2}} \overline{H_{n-2}} \right) \#_{\sigma_{n-3}} \dots \right) \#_{\sigma_1} \overline{H_1}$. The second result we will need is transitivity of crossed products: Let A be a finite dimensional algebra, H a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, $B = A \#_{\sigma} H$ a crossed product, and \overline{H} a quotient of H . Then $B \cong B^{co\overline{H}} \#_{\tau} \overline{H}$ for some 2-cocycle τ . In particular, if $\overline{H} = H/HK^+$ for a normal subHopf algebra K of H , then $B^{co\overline{H}} = A \#_{\sigma} K$, and so $A \#_{\sigma} H \cong (A \#_{\sigma} K) \#_{\tau} \overline{H}$.

We next generalize Corollary 1.4 and Theorem 2.1 to crossed products involving upper or lower semisolvable Hopf algebras. The following theorem in particular implies that the dimension of any irreducible H -module divides the dimension of H when H is a finite dimensional semisimple upper or lower semisolvable Hopf algebra such that the characteristic of k does not divide the dimension of H .

Theorem 3.4 *Let A be a finite dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field k such that the dimension of any irreducible A -module divides the dimension of A . Let H be a finite dimensional semisimple lower or upper semisolvable Hopf algebra over k such that the characteristic of k does not divide the dimension of H , and let $B = A \#_{\sigma} H$ be a crossed product. Then the dimension of any irreducible B -module divides the dimension of B .*

Proof: First assume H is lower semisolvable, with lower normal series

$$k = H_{n+1} \subseteq H_n \subseteq \dots \subseteq H_2 \subseteq H_1 = H,$$

each factor of which is either commutative or cocommutative. If $n \leq 1$, then H is either commutative or cocommutative. If H is commutative, then $H \cong (kG)^*$ for some finite

group G ([4, 10] or [15, Theorem 2.3.1]). Therefore by Theorem 2.1, the dimension of any irreducible B -module divides $\dim(B)$. If H is cocommutative, we consider the cases of prime characteristic and characteristic 0 separately. If k is of characteristic 0, then consider H^* , a finite dimensional commutative semisimple Hopf algebra by [11]. By the above argument, $H^* \cong (kG)^*$ and so $H \cong kG$ for some finite group G . If k is of prime characteristic p , then $H \cong (kL)^* \# kG$ for a finite group G and a finite abelian p -group L by [15, Corollary 5.6.4] and [15, Theorem 5.7.1], due independently to Sweedler and Demazure-Gabriel. As p does not divide the dimension of H , L is in fact trivial, so $H \cong kG$ in this case as well. By Corollary 1.4, the dimension of any irreducible B -module divides $\dim(B)$.

We next assume $n > 1$. Then $H \cong H_2 \#_\tau \overline{H_1}$ for some cocycle τ , and by transitivity of crossed products,

$$B \cong (A \#_\sigma H_2) \#_\mu \overline{H_1}$$

for some cocycle μ [19]. As $H = H_1$ is semisimple, both $\overline{H_1}$ and H_2 are semisimple; this second fact follows from the Nichols-Zoeller Theorem [17] and [15, Corollary 2.2.2]. Clearly H_2 is lower semisolvable while $\overline{H_1}$ is either commutative or cocommutative. The characteristic of k cannot divide $\dim(H_2)$ or $\dim(\overline{H_1})$ by the Nichols-Zoeller Theorem [17]. By induction, the dimension of any irreducible $A \#_\sigma H_2$ -module divides $\dim(A \#_\sigma H_2)$. As $\overline{H_1}$ is either commutative or cocommutative, the arguments above now show that the dimension of any irreducible B -module divides $\dim(B)$.

Next assume H is upper semisolvable, and let

$$H_{(0)} = H, H_{(1)} = H/HH_1^+, \dots, H_{(n)} = H_{(n-1)}/H_{(n-1)}H_n^+ = k$$

be an upper normal series for H with each H_i commutative or cocommutative. If $n \leq 1$, then H is commutative or cocommutative and the first paragraph above applies. Assume $n > 1$. Then $H \cong H_1 \#_\tau H_{(1)}$ for some cocycle τ , and by transitivity of crossed products

$$B \cong (A \#_\sigma H_1) \#_\mu H_{(1)}$$

for some cocycle μ [19]. As H is semisimple, both H_1 and $H_{(1)}$ are semisimple. Clearly $H_{(1)}$ is upper semisolvable while H_1 is either commutative or cocommutative. By the arguments in the first paragraph above, the dimension of any irreducible $A \#_\sigma H_1$ -module divides $\dim(A \#_\sigma H_1)$. By induction, the dimension of any irreducible B -module divides $\dim(B)$. \square

Now we assume that k has characteristic 0. The next theorem in particular implies that all semisimple Hopf algebras of prime power dimension over k are both upper and lower solvable and cosolvable.

Theorem 3.5 *Let H be a semisimple Hopf algebra of dimension p^n for a prime p over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. Then H has both an upper and a lower normal series each factor of which is isomorphic to $k[\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}]$.*

Proof: First we will prove by induction that H has an upper normal series each factor of which is isomorphic to $k[\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}]$. If $\dim(H) = p$, then $H \cong k[\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}]$ by [21], so assume $\dim(H) = p^n$ with $n > 1$. By [14], H has a central group-like element $g \neq 1$. We may assume g has order p , for if not, g generates a group which contains an element of order p . Let $H_1 \cong k[\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}]$ be the subHopf algebra of H generated by g , and let $H_{(1)} = H/HH_1^+$. Now $H_{(1)}$ has dimension p^{n-1} and is semisimple, so by induction, $H_{(1)}$ has an upper normal series each factor of which is isomorphic to $k[\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}]$. Therefore H does as well.

As H is semisimple and k has characteristic 0, H^* is also semisimple [11]. The above procedure applied to H^* , also of dimension p^n , shows that H^* has an upper normal series each factor of which is isomorphic to $k[\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}]$. By Theorem 3.2, H has a lower normal series each factor of which is isomorphic to $(k[\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}])^* \cong k[\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}]$. \square

Corollary 3.6 *Let H be a semisimple Hopf algebra of dimension p^n for a prime p over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. Then the dimension of any irreducible H -module divides the dimension of H .*

Proof: By Theorem 3.5, H is both upper and lower semisolvable. By Theorem 3.4 with $A = k$ and σ trivial, the dimension of any irreducible H -module divides $\dim(H)$. \square

Masuoka has classified semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension p^3 over k [12, 13]. In particular, for odd p there are $p+1$ such Hopf algebras not isomorphic to group algebras or their duals, and for $p=2$ there is one such. Masuoka has also shown that a Hopf algebra of dimension p^2 is isomorphic to a group algebra [14].

References

- [1] D. J. Benson, Representations and Cohomology I (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991).
- [2] R. J. Blattner and S. Montgomery, Crossed products and Galois extensions of Hopf algebras, Pacific J. Math. 137 (1989) 37–54.
- [3] P. R. Boisen, The representation theory of fully group-graded algebras, J. Algebra 151 (1992) 160–179.
- [4] P. Cartier, Groupes algébriques et groupes formels, in Coll. sur la théorie des groupes algébriques (CBRM, Bruxelles, 1962).
- [5] A. H. Clifford, Representations Induced in an Invariant Subgroup, Ann. of Math. (2) 38 (1937) 533–550.
- [6] E. C. Dade, Compounding Clifford's Theory, Ann. of Math. (2) 91 (1970) 236–290.

- [7] ———, Clifford theory for group-graded rings, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 369 (1986) 40–86.
- [8] I. M. Isaacs, *Character Theory of Finite Groups* (Academic Press, New York, 1976).
- [9] I. Kaplansky, *Bialgebras*, University of Chicago Lecture Notes, 1975.
- [10] R. G. Larson, Cocommutative Hopf algebras, *Canadian J. Math.* 19 (1967) 350–360.
- [11] R. G. Larson and D. E. Radford, Finite-dimensional cosemisimple Hopf algebras in characteristic 0 are semisimple, *J. Algebra* 117 (1988) 267–289.
- [12] A. Masuoka, Self-dual Hopf algebras of dimension p^3 obtained by extension, *J. Algebra* 178 (1995) 791–806.
- [13] ———, Semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension 6, 8, *Israel J. Math.* (1995), no. 1–3, 361–373.
- [14] ———, The p^n theorem for semisimple Hopf algebras, *Proc. AMS* 124 (1996), no. 3, 735–737.
- [15] S. Montgomery, *Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Number 82 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1993).
- [16] W. Nichols and M. B. Richmond, The Grothendieck group of a Hopf algebra, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* 106 (1996), 297–306.
- [17] W. Nichols and M. B. Zoeller, A Hopf algebra freeness theorem, *Amer. J. Math.* 111 (1989) 381–385.
- [18] D. S. Passman, *Infinite Crossed Products* (Academic Press, New York, 1989).
- [19] H.-J. Schneider, Normal basis and transitivity of crossed products for Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* 152 (1992) 289–312.
- [20] ———, Some remarks on exact sequences of quantum groups, *Comm. Alg.* 21 (9) (1993) 3337–3357.
- [21] Y. Zhu, Hopf algebras of prime dimension, *International Mathematics Research Notices* 1 (1994) 53–59.
- [22] ———, A commuting pair in Hopf algebras. Preprint, 1996.