THE "FULL CLARKSON-ERDŐS-SCHWARTZ THEOREM" ON THE CLOSURE OF NON-DENSE MÜNTZ SPACES

Tamás Erdélyi

Abstract. Denote by $\mathrm{span}\{f_1, f_2, \dots\}$ the collection of all finite linear combinations of the functions $f_1, f_2, \dots$ over $\mathbb{R}$. The principal result of the paper is the following.

Theorem (Full Clarkson-Erdős-Schwartz Theorem). *Suppose* $(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ *is a sequence of distinct positive numbers. Then* $\mathrm{span}\{1, x^{\lambda_1}, x^{\lambda_2}, \dots\}$ *is dense in* $C[0,1]$ *if and only if*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j^2 + 1} = \infty\,.$$

Moreover, if

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j^2 + 1} < \infty\,,$$

then every function from the $C[0,1]$ *closure of* $\mathrm{span}\{1, x^{\lambda_1}, x^{\lambda_2}, \dots\}$ *can be represented as an analytic function on* $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] : |z| < 1\}$ *restricted to* $(0,1)$.

This result improves an earlier result by P. Borwein and Erdélyi stating that if

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j^2 + 1} < \infty\,,$$

then every function from the $C[0,1]$ closure of $\mathrm{span}\{1, x^{\lambda_1}, x^{\lambda_2}, \dots\}$ is in $C^{\infty}(0,1)$. Our result may also be viewed as an improvement, extension, or completion of earlier results by Müntz, Szász, Clarkson, Erdős, L. Schwartz, P. Borwein, Erdélyi, W.B. Johnson, and Operstein.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 30B60, 41A17.
Key words and phrases. Müntz Theorem, denseness in $C[0,1]$, Erdos-Clarkson-Schwartz Theorem.
Research of T. Erdélyi is supported, in part, by NSF under Grant No. DMS–0070826.

1. Introduction and Notation

Müntz's beautiful classical theorem characterizes sequences $(\lambda_j)_{j=0}^\infty$ with

$$0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots$$

for which the Müntz space $\operatorname{span}\{x^{\lambda_0}, x^{\lambda_1}, \dots\}$ is dense in $C[0,1]$. Here, and in what follows, $\operatorname{span}\{x^{\lambda_0}, x^{\lambda_1}, \dots\}$ denotes the collection of finite linear combinations of the functions $x^{\lambda_0}, x^{\lambda_1}, \dots$ with real coefficients, and $C[a,b]$ is the space of all real-valued continuous functions on $[a,b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the uniform norm. Müntz's Theorem [Bo-Er3, De-Lo, Go, Mü, Szá] states the following.

Theorem 1.A (Müntz). *Suppose* $(\lambda_j)_{j=0}^\infty$ *is a sequence with* $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots$. *Then* $\operatorname{span}\{x^{\lambda_0}, x^{\lambda_1}, \dots\}$ *is dense in* $C[0,1]$ *if and only if* $\sum_{j=1}^\infty 1/\lambda_j = \infty$.

The original Müntz Theorem proved by Müntz [Mü] in 1914, by Szász [Szá] in 1916, and anticipated by Bernstein [Be] was only for sequences of exponents tending to infinity. The point 0 is special in the study of Müntz spaces. Even replacing $[0,1]$ by an interval $[a,b] \subset [0,\infty)$ in Müntz's Theorem is a non-trivial issue. This is, in large measure, due to Clarkson and Erdős [Cl-Er] and Schwartz [Sch] whose works include the result that if $\sum_{j=1}^\infty 1/\lambda_j < \infty$ then every function belonging to the uniform closure of $\operatorname{span}\{x^{\lambda_0}, x^{\lambda_1}, \dots\}$ on $[a,b]$ can be extended analytically throughout the region $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty,0] : |z| < b\}$.

There are many variations and generalizations of Müntz's Theorem [An, Be, Boa, Bo1, Bo2, Bo-Er1, Bo-Er2, Bo-Er3, Bo-Er4, Bo-Er5, Bo-Er6, Bo-Er7, B-E-Z, Ch, Cl-Er, De-Lo, Er-Jo, Go, Lu-Ko, Ma, Op, Sch, So]. There are also still many open problems. In [Bo-Er6] it is shown that the interval $[0,1]$ in Müntz's Theorem can be replaced by an arbitrary compact set $A \subset [0,\infty)$ of positive Lebesgue measure. That is, if $A \subset [0,\infty)$ is a compact set of positive Lebesgue measure, then $\operatorname{span}\{x^{\lambda_0}, x^{\lambda_1}, \dots\}$ is dense in $C(A)$ if and only if $\sum_{j=1}^\infty 1/\lambda_j = \infty$. Here $C(A)$ denotes the space of all real-valued continous functions on A equipped with the uniform norm. If A contains an interval then this follows from the already mentioned results of Clarkson, Erdős, and Schwartz. However, their results and methods cannot handle the case when, for example, $A \subset [0,1]$ is a a Cantor type set of positive measure.

In the case that $\sum_{j=1}^\infty 1/\lambda_j < \infty$, analyticity properties of the functions belonging to the uniform closure of $\operatorname{span}\{x^{\lambda_0}, x^{\lambda_1}, \dots\}$ on A are also established in [Bo-Er6].

In [Bo-Er3, Section 4.2] and in [Bo-Er4] the following result is proved.

Theorem 1.B (Full Müntz Theorem in $C[0,1]$). *Suppose* $(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ *is a sequence of distinct positive real numbers. Then* $\operatorname{span}\{1, x^{\lambda_1}, x^{\lambda_2}, \dots\}$ *is dense in* $C[0,1]$ *if and only if*

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j^2 + 1} = \infty\,.$$

Moreover, if

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j^2 + 1} < \infty\,,$$

then every function from the $C[0,1]$ *closure of* $\operatorname{span}\{1, x^{\lambda_1}, x^{\lambda_2}, \dots\}$ *is infinitely many times differentiable on* $(0,1)$.

The new result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1 (Full Clarkson-Erdős-Schwartz Theorem). *Suppose* $(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ *is a sequence of distinct positive numbers. Then* $\operatorname{span}\{1, x^{\lambda_1}, x^{\lambda_2}, \dots\}$ *is dense in* $C[0,1]$ *if and only if*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j^2 + 1} = \infty\,.$$

Moreover, if

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j^2 + 1} < \infty\,,$$

then every function from the $C[0,1]$ *closure of* $\operatorname{span}\{1, x^{\lambda_1}, x^{\lambda_2}, \dots\}$ *can be represented as an analytic function on* $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] : |z| < 1\}$ *restricted to* $(0,1)$.

The notation

$$\|f\|_A := \sup_{x \in A} |f(x)|$$

is used throughout this paper for real-valued measurable functions f defined on a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ The space of all real-valued continuous functions on a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the uniform norm is denoted by $C(A)$. Denote by $\operatorname{span}\{f_1, f_2, \dots\}$ the collection of all finite linear combinations of the functions $f_1, f_2, \dots$ over $\mathbb{R}$.

2. Auxiliary Results

The following result is the "bounded Remez-type inequality for non-dense Müntz spaces" due to P. Borwein and Erdélyi [Bo-Er6].

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose* $(\gamma_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ *is a sequence of distinct positive numbers satisfying*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 1/\gamma_j < \infty\,.$$

Let $s > 0$. *Then there exists a constant* $c(\Gamma, s)$ *depending only on* $\Gamma := (\gamma_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ *and* s *(and not on* ϱ, A, *or the "length" of* Q*) so that*

$$\|Q\|_{[0,\varrho]} \le c(\Gamma, s)\, \|Q\|_A$$

for every $Q \in \operatorname{span}\{1, x^{\gamma_1}, x^{\gamma_2}, \dots\}$ *and for every set* $A \subset [\varrho, 1]$ *of Lebesgue measure at least* s.

Combining a result of Clarkson and Erdős [Cl-Er] and its extension given by Schwartz [Sch] we can state the following

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose* $(\gamma_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ *is a sequence of distinct positive numbers satisfying* $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 1/\gamma_j < \infty$. *Then* $\text{span}\{1, x^{\gamma_1}, x^{\gamma_2}, \ldots\}$ *is not dense in* $C[0,1]$. *In addition, if the gap condition*

$$\inf\{\gamma_{j+1} - \gamma_j : \; j = 1, 2, \ldots\} > 0$$

holds, then every function $f \in C[0,1]$ *belonging to the* $C[0,1]$ *closure of* $\text{span}\{1, x^{\gamma_1}, x^{\gamma_2}, \ldots\}$ *can be represented as*

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j x^{\gamma_j}\,, \qquad x \in [0,1)\,.$$

If the gap condition (2.1) does not hold, then every function $f \in C[0,1]$ *belonging to the* $C[0,1]$ *closure of* $\text{span}\{1, x^{\gamma_1}, x^{\gamma_2}, \ldots\}$ *can still be represented as an analytic function on*

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] : |z| < 1\}$$

restricted to $(0,1)$.

Now we offer a sufficient condition for a sequence $(\beta_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of distinct positive numbers converging to 0 to guarantee the non-denseness of $\text{span}\{x^{\beta_1}, x^{\beta_2}, \ldots\}$ in $C[0,1]$.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that* $(\beta_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ *is a sequence of distinct real numbers greater than* 0 *satisfying*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j =: \eta < \infty\,.$$

Then $\text{span}\{x^{\beta_1}, x^{\beta_2}, \ldots\}$ *is not dense in* $C[0,1]$. *In addition, every function in the* $C[0,1]$ *closure of* $\text{span}\{x^{\beta_1}, x^{\beta_2}, \ldots\}$ *can be represented as an analytic function on* $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ *restricted to* $(0,1)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. The theorem is a consequence of D. J. Newman's Markov-type inequality [Bo-Er3, Theorem 6.1.1 on page 276] (see also [Ne]). We state this as Theorem 2.4. Repeated applications of Theorem 2.4 with the substitution $x = e^{-t}$ imply that

$$\|Q(e^{-t}))^{(m)}\|_{[0,\infty)} \leq (9\eta)^m \|Q(e^{-t})\|_{[0,\infty)}\,, \qquad m = 1, 2, \ldots\,,$$

in particular

$$|(Q(e^{-t}))^{(m)}(0)| \leq (9\eta)^m \|Q(e^{-t})\|_{[0,\infty)}\,, \qquad m = 1, 2, \ldots\,,$$

for every $Q \in \text{span}\{x^{\beta_1}, x^{\beta_2}, \ldots\}$. By using the Taylor series expansion of $Q(e^{-t})$ around 0, we obtain that

$$|Q(z)| \leq c_1(K, \eta)\|Q\|_{[0,1]}\,, \qquad z \in K\,, \tag{2.1}$$

for every $Q \in \mathrm{span}\{x^{\beta_1}, x^{\beta_2}, \dots\}$ and for every compact $K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, where

$$c_1(K, \eta) := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(9\eta)^m \Big(\max_{z\in K} |\log z| \Big)^m}{m!} = \exp\Big(9\eta \max_{z\in K} |\log z|\Big)$$

is a constant depending only on K and η. Now (2.1) shows that if

$$Q_n \in \mathrm{span}\{x^{\beta_1}, x^{\beta_2}, \dots\}$$

converges in $C[0,1]$, then it converges uniformly on every compact $K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, and the theorem is proved. $\square$

The following Markov-type inequality for Müntz polynomials is due to Newman [Bo-Er3, Theorem 6.1.1 on page 276] (see also [Ne]).

Theorem 2.4 (Markov-Type Inequality for Müntz Polynomials). *Suppose that $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$ are distinct nonnegative numbers. Then*

$$\|xQ'(x)\|_{[0,1]} \le 9 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_j \right) \|Q\|_{[0,1]}$$

for every $Q \in \mathrm{span}\{x^{\beta_1}, x^{\beta_2}, \dots, x^{\beta_n}\}$.

We will also need the bounded Bernstein-type inequality below (see [Bo-Er3, page 182].

Theorem 2.5 (Bernstein Type Inequality for Non-Dense Müntz spaces). *Suppose $\Gamma := (\gamma_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of distinct positive numbers satisfying $\gamma_1 \ge 1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 1/\gamma_j < \infty$. Then*

$$\|Q'\|_{[0,x]} \le c(\Gamma, x) \|Q\|_{[0,1]}$$

for every $Q \in \mathrm{span}\{1, x^{\gamma_1}, x^{\gamma_2}, \dots\}$ and for every $x \in [0,1)$, where $c(\Gamma, x)$ depends only on Γ and x.

The following simple fact will also be needed.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $U \subset C[0,1]$ be a cosed linear subspace and let $V \subset C[0,1]$ be a finite dimensional (hence closed) linear subspace. Then $U + V$ is closed.*

4. Proof of Theorems 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The first part of the theorem is contained in Theorem 1.B, so we need to prove only the second part. Suppose $(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of distinct positive numbers satisfying

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j^2 + 1} < \infty .$$

Then there are positive numbers η, β_j, γ_j, and δ_j such that

$$\{\lambda_j : j = 1, 2, \dots\} = \{\beta_j : j = 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{\gamma_j : j = 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{\delta_j : j = 1, 2, \dots, k\},$$

where $\gamma_1 \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j \leq \eta \,, \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 1/\gamma_j < \infty \,,$$

and with $\Gamma := (\gamma_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ we have

$$c(\Gamma, 1/2) < \frac{1}{36\eta}$$

($c(\Gamma, 1/2)$ is defined in Theorem 2.1). Let

$$H_\beta := \operatorname{span}\{x^{\beta_1}, x^{\beta_2}, \dots\} \,, \qquad H_\gamma := \operatorname{span}\{1, x^{\gamma_1}, x^{\gamma_2}, \dots\} \,,$$

and

$$H_\delta := \operatorname{span}\{x^{\delta_1}, x^{\delta_2}, \dots, x^{\delta_k}\} \,.$$

Every $Q \in H_\beta + H_\gamma$ can be written as $Q = Q_\beta + Q_\gamma$ with some $Q_\beta \in H_\beta$ and $Q_\gamma \in H_\gamma$. First we show that there are constans C_β and C_γ depending only on H_β and H_γ, respectively, so that

$$\|Q_\beta\|_{[0,1]} \leq C_\beta \|Q\|_{[0,1]} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\|Q_\gamma\|_{[0,1]} \leq C_\gamma \|Q\|_{[0,1]} \tag{3.2}$$

for every $Q \in H_\beta + H_\gamma$. Suppose to the conrary that, say the first inequality fails. Then there are Müntz polynomials $Q_{\beta,n} \in H_\beta$ and $Q_{\gamma,n} \in H_\gamma$ so that

$$\|Q_{\beta,n}\|_{[0,1]} = 1 \,, \qquad \lim_{n\to\infty} \|Q_{\gamma,n}\|_{[0,1]} = 1 \,, \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|Q_{\beta,n} + Q_{\gamma,n}\|_{[0,1]} = 0 \,. \tag{3.4}$$

Then by Theorem 2.4 $\{Q_{\beta,n} : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a family of bounded, equi-continuous functions on $[1/3, 1]$, while $\{Q_{\gamma,n} : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a family of bounded, equi-continuous functions on $[0, 2/3]$. So by the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem there are a subsequence of $(Q_{\beta,n})$ (without loss of generality we may assume that this is $(Q_{\beta,n})$ itself) and a subsequence of $(Q_{\gamma,n})$ (without loss of generality we may assume that this is $(Q_{\gamma,n})$ itself) so that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|Q_{\beta,n} - f\|_{[1/3,1]} = 0 \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|Q_{\gamma,n} - g\|_{[0,2/3]} = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

with some continuous functions f and g on $[1,3,1]$ and $[0,2/3]$, respectively. By (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6) we have $f=-g$ on $[1/3,2/3]$, so the function

$$h(x) := \begin{cases} f(x)\,, & x \in [1/3,1] \\ -g(x)\,, & x \in [0,2/3] \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

is well-defined. By (3.4) – (3.7) we can deduce that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|Q_{\beta,n} - h\|_{[0,1]} = 0 \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|Q_{\gamma,n} - h\|_{[0,1]} = 0\,. \tag{3.9}$$

Using (3.3), (3.8), Theorem 2.4, and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j \le \eta$ we can deduce that

$$h(x) - h(1) \le 18\eta\,, \qquad x \in [1/2,1]\,.$$

Note that (3.3), (3.5), and (3.7) imply that $\|h\|_{[0,1]} = 1$ and $h(0)=0$. Now observe that the function $h - h(1)$ is in the uniform closure of

$$H_\gamma = \text{span}\{1, x^{\gamma_1}, x^{\gamma_2}, \dots\}\,,$$

hence Theorem 2.1 implies

$$\|h - h(1)\|_{[0,1]} \le c(\Gamma,1/2)\, \|h-h(1)\|_{[1/2,1]} \le c(\Gamma,1/2)\, 18\eta < 1/2\,.$$

This contradicts the facts that $h(0)=0$ and $\|h\|_{[0,1]} = 1$. Hence the proof of (3.1) is finished. The proof of (3.2) goes in the same way, so we omit it.

Let $\overline{H}$ denote the uniform closure of a subspace $H \subset C[0,1]$. We want to prove that $\overline{H_\beta + H_\gamma + H_\delta} \subset \mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{A} \subset C[0,1]$ denotes the collection of functions $f \in C[0,1]$, which can be represented as an analytic function on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty,0] : |z| < 1\}$ restricted to $(0,1)$. Since H_δ is finite dimensional, Theorem 2.6 implies that

$$\overline{H_\beta + H_\gamma + H_\delta} \subset \overline{H_\beta + H_\gamma} + H_\delta\,.$$

so it is sufficient to prove that

$$\overline{H_\beta + H_\gamma} \subset \mathcal{A} \tag{3.10}$$

However, (3.1) and (3.2) imply that

$$\overline{H_\beta + H_\gamma} \subset \overline{H_\beta} + \overline{H_\gamma}\,,$$

where $\overline{H_\beta} \subset \mathcal{A}$ by Theorem 2.3 and $\overline{H_\gamma} \subset \mathcal{A}$ by Theorem 2.2. Hence (3.10) holds, indeed, and the proof of the theorem is finished. $\square$

References

[An] J.M. Anderson, *Müntz-Szász type approximation and the angular growth of lacunary integral functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **169** (1972), 237–248.

[Ba] J. Bastero, *ℓ_q-subspaces of stable p-Banach spaces*, Archiv. Math. **40** (1983), 538–544..

[Be] S.N. Bernstein, *Collected Works: Vol 1. Constructive Theory of Functions (1905-1930), English Translation*, Atomic Energy Commission, Springfield, Va, 1958.

[Boa] R.P. Boas, *Entire Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1954.

[Bo1] P.B. Borwein, *Zeros of Chebyshev polynomials in Markov Systems*, J. Approx. Theory **63** (1990), 56–64.

[Bo2] P.B. Borwein, *Variations on Müntz's theme*, Can. Math. Bull. **34** (1991), 305–310.

[Bo-Er1] P.B. Borwein & T. Erdélyi, *Notes on lacunary Müntz polynomials* **76** (1991), Israel J. Math., 183–192.

[Bo-Er2] P.B. Borwein & T. Erdélyi, *Lacunary Müntz systems*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **36** (1993), 361–374.

[Bo-Er3] P.B. Borwein & T. Erdélyi, *Polynomials and Polynomial Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 486 p., 1995.

[Bo-Er4] P.B. Borwein & T. Erdélyi, *The full Müntz theorem in $C[0,1]$ and $L_1[0,1]$*, J. London Math. Soc. **54** (1996), 102–110.

[Bo-Er5] P.B. Borwein & T. Erdélyi, *The L_p version of Newman's inequality for lacunary polynomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 101–109.

[Bo-Er6] P.B. Borwein & T. Erdélyi, *Generalizations of Müntz's theorem via a Remez-type inequality for Müntz spaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1997), 327–329.

[Bo-Er7] P.B. Borwein & T. Erdélyi, *Müntz's Theorem on compact subsets of positive measure*, in Approximation Theory, eds. N.K. Govil et al., Marcel Dekker, Inc. (1998), 115–131.

[B-E-Z] P.B. Borwein, T. Erdélyi, & J. Zhang, *Müntz systems and orthogonal Müntz-Legendre polynomials* **342** (1994), Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 523–542.

[Ch] E.W. Cheney, *Introduction to Approximation Theory*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.

[Cl-Er] J.A. Clarkson & P. Erdős, *Approximation by polynomials*, Duke Math. J. **10** (1943), 5–11.

[De-Lo] R.A. DeVore & G.G. Lorentz, *Constructive Approximation*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.

[Er-Jo] T. Erdélyi & W.B. Johnson, *The "Full Müntz Theorem" in $L_p[0,1]$ for $0 < p < \infty$*, Journal D'Analyse Mathématique **84** (2001), 145–172.

[Go] M. von Golitschek, *A short proof of Müntz Theorem*, J. Approx. Theory **39** (1983), 394–395.

[Lu-Ko] W.A.J. Luxemburg & J. Korevaar, *Entire functions and Müntz-Szász type approximation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **157** (1971), 23–37.

[Ma] S. Mazur, *On the convergence of lacunary polynomials*, Studia Math. **89** (1988), 75–78.

[Mü] C. Müntz, *Über den Approximationsatz von Weierstrass*, H.A. Schwartz Festschrift, Berlin (1914).

[Ne] D.J. Newman, *Derivative bounds for Müntz polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory **18** (1976), 360–362.

[Op] V. Operstein, *Full Müntz theorem in $L_p[0,1]$*, J. Approx. Theory **85** (1996), 233–235.

[Ro] H.L. Royden, *Real Analysis, third ed.*, Macmillan, New York, NY, 1988.

[Sch] L. Schwartz, *Etude des Sommes d'Exponentielles*, Hermann, Paris, 1959.

[So] G. Somorjai, *A Müntz-type problem for rational approximation*, Acta. Math. Hungar. **27** (1976), 197–199.

[Szá] O. Szász, *Über die Approximation steliger Funktionen durch lineare Aggregate von Potenzen*, Math. Ann. **77** (1916), 482–496.

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843
E-mail address: `terdelyi@math.tamu.edu` (Tamás Erdélyi)