

Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris  
 Analyse Mathématique / Mathematical Analysis  
 Rubrique secondaire: Analyse Harmonique / Harmonic Analysis  
 Titre français: Preuve de la conjecture de quasi-orthogonalité de Saffari pour les suites ultra-plates de polynômes unimodulaires.

**PROOF OF SAFFARI'S NEAR-ORTHOGONALITY  
 CONJECTURE FOR ULTRAFLAT SEQUENCES  
 OF UNIMODULAR POLYNOMIALS**

Tamás Erdélyi  
 Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University  
 College Station, Texas 77843, USA  
 E-mail: terdelyi@math.tamu.edu

**Abstract.** Let  $P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} z^k \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  be a sequence of unimodular polynomials ( $|a_{k,n}| = 1$  for all  $k, n$ ) which is ultraflat in the sense of Kahane, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{|z|=1} \left| (n+1)^{-1/2} |P_n(z)| - 1 \right| = 0.$$

We prove the following conjecture of Saffari (1991):  $\sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} a_{n-k,n} = o(n)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , that is, the polynomial  $P_n(z)$  and its “conjugate reciprocal”  $P_n^*(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \bar{a}_{n-k,n} z^k$  become “nearly orthogonal” as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . To this end we use results from [Er1] where (as well as in [Er3]) we studied the structure of ultraflat polynomials and proved several conjectures of Saffari.

**PREUVE DE LA CONJECTURE DE QUASI-ORTHOGONALITÉ DE SAFFARI  
 POUR LES SUITES ULTRA-PLATES DE POLYNÔMES UNIMODULAIRES**

**Résumé.** Soit  $P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} z^k \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  une suite de polynômes unimodulaires ( $|a_{k,n}| = 1$  pour tout  $k, n$ ) supposée ultra-plate au sens de Kahane, c.à.d.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{|z|=1} \left| (n+1)^{-1/2} |P_n(z)| - 1 \right| = 0.$$

Nous prouvons la conjecture suivante de Saffari (1991):  $\sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} a_{n-k,n} = o(n)$  pour  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , c.à.d. que le polynôme  $P_n(z)$  et son “reciproque conjugué”  $P_n^*(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \bar{a}_{n-k,n} z^k$  deviennent “quasi-orthogonaux” lorsque  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Pour ce faire nous employons des résultats de [Er1] où (ainsi que dans [Er3]) nous avons étudié la structure des polynômes ultra-plats et avons prouvé plusieurs conjectures de Saffari.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 41A17.

*Key words and phrases.* unimodular polynomials, ultraflat polynomials, angular derivatives.  
 Research supported in part by the NSF of the USA under Grant No. Grant No. DMS-9623156

Typeset by *AMS-TEX*

## VERSION FRANÇAISE ABRÉGÉE

Une suite de polynômes  $P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} z^k \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  à coefficients unimodulaires (appelés, pour abréger, “polynômes unimodulaires”) est dite ultra-plate s’il existe une suite positive  $(\varepsilon_n)$  tendant vers zéro telle que, pour tout  $n$ , on ait

$$(1 - \varepsilon_n)\sqrt{n+1} \leq |P_n(e^{it})| \leq (1 + \varepsilon_n)\sqrt{n+1} \quad (\text{pour tout } t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Le problème de l’existence de telles suites ultra-plates fut soulevé en 1966 par Littlewood [Li1] qui, selon ses collègues et selon des écrits ultérieurs, tantôt conjecturait leur existence et tantôt partageait l’opinion générale (laquelle penchait pour la conjecture d’inexistence). Cependant, en 1980, Kahane [Ka] prouva finalement leur *existence* par une méthode probabiliste (non constructive).

En 1991 B. Saffari [Sa] étudia les polynômes ultra-plats (a priori quelconques, et pas seulement ceux obtenus par la méthode de Kahane [Ka]). Dans deux articles très récents [Er1] et [Er3] nous avons étudié la structure des polynômes ultra-plats (a priori quelconques) et prouvé plusieurs conjectures de Saffari [Sa]. Dans cette Note, nous prouvons le résultat suivant, également conjecturé par Saffari [Sa]:

**Théorème.** *Si la suite  $P_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} z^k \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  est ultra-plate, alors*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} a_{n-k,n} = o(n)$$

*ce qui signifie que  $P_n(z)$  et  $P_n^*(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \bar{a}_{n-k,n} z^k$ , le polynôme “réciproque-conjugué” de  $P_n(z)$ , deviennent “quasi-orthogonaux” pour  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

La démonstration, donnée dans la version anglaise, est basée sur des techniques d’analyse réelle et sur des résultats que nous avons prouvés dans [Er3] par des techniques d’analyse complexe.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND THE NEW RESULT

Let  $D$  be the open unit disk of the complex plane. Its boundary, the unit circle of the complex plane, is denoted by  $\partial D$ . Let

$$\mathcal{K}_n := \left\{ p_n : p_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k, \ a_k \in \mathbb{C}, \ |a_k| = 1 \right\}.$$

The class  $\mathcal{K}_n$  is often called the collection of all (complex) unimodular polynomials of degree  $n$ . Let

$$\mathcal{L}_n := \left\{ p_n : p_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k, \ a_k \in \{-1, 1\} \right\}.$$

The class  $\mathcal{L}_n$  is often called the collection of all (real) unimodular polynomials of degree  $n$ . By Parseval’s formula,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |P_n(e^{it})|^2 dt = 2\pi(n+1)$$

for all  $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ . Therefore

$$\min_{z \in \partial D} |P_n(z)| \leq \sqrt{n+1} \leq \max_{z \in \partial D} |P_n(z)|.$$

An old problem (or rather an old theme) is the following.

**Problem 1.1 (Littlewood's Flatness Problem).** *How close can a unimodular polynomial  $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$  or  $P_n \in \mathcal{L}_n$  come to satisfying*

$$(1.1) \quad |P_n(z)| = \sqrt{n+1}, \quad z \in \partial D?$$

Obviously (1.1) is impossible if  $n \geq 1$ . So one must look for less than (1.1), but then there are various ways of seeking such an “approximate situation”. One way is the following. In his paper [Li1] Littlewood had suggested that, conceivably, there might exist a sequence  $(P_n)$  of polynomials  $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$  (possibly even  $P_n \in \mathcal{L}_n$ ) such that  $(n+1)^{-1/2}|P_n(e^{it})|$  converge to 1 uniformly in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . We shall call such sequences of unimodular polynomials “ultraflat”. More precisely, we give the following definition.

**Definition 1.2.** *Given a positive number  $\varepsilon$ , we say that a polynomial  $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$  is  $\varepsilon$ -flat if*

$$(1 - \varepsilon)\sqrt{n+1} \leq |P_n(z)| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\sqrt{n+1}, \quad z \in \partial D.$$

**Definition 1.3.** *Given a sequence  $(\varepsilon_{n_k})$  of positive numbers tending to 0, we say that a sequence  $(P_{n_k})$  of unimodular polynomials  $P_{n_k} \in \mathcal{K}_{n_k}$  is  $(\varepsilon_{n_k})$ -ultraflat if each  $P_{n_k}$  is  $(\varepsilon_{n_k})$ -flat. We simply say that a sequence  $(P_{n_k})$  of unimodular polynomials  $P_{n_k} \in \mathcal{K}_{n_k}$  is ultraflat if it is  $(\varepsilon_{n_k})$ -ultraflat with a suitable sequence  $(\varepsilon_{n_k})$  of positive numbers tending to 0.*

The existence of an ultraflat sequence of unimodular polynomials seemed very unlikely, in view of a 1957 conjecture of P. Erdős (Problem 22 in [Er]) asserting that, for all  $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$  with  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$(1.2) \quad \max_{z \in \partial D} |P_n(z)| \geq (1 + \varepsilon)\sqrt{n+1},$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is an absolute constant (independent of  $n$ ). Yet, refining a method of Körner [Kö], Kahane [Ka] proved that there exists a sequence  $(P_n)$  with  $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$  which is  $(\varepsilon_n)$ -ultraflat, where  $\varepsilon_n = O(n^{-1/17}\sqrt{\log n})$ . (Kahane's paper contained though a slight error which was corrected in [QS2].) Thus the Erdős conjecture (1.2) was disproved for the classes  $\mathcal{K}_n$ . For the more restricted class  $\mathcal{L}_n$  the analogous Erdős conjecture is unsettled to this date. It is a common belief that the analogous Erdős conjecture for  $\mathcal{L}_n$  is true, and consequently there is no ultraflat sequence of polynomials  $P_n \in \mathcal{L}_n$ . An interesting result related to Kahane's breakthrough is given in [Be]. For an account of some of the work done till the mid 1960's, see Littlewood's book [Li2] and [QS2].

Let  $(\varepsilon_n)$  be a sequence of positive numbers tending to 0. Let the sequence  $(P_n)$  of unimodular polynomials  $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$  be  $(\varepsilon_n)$ -ultraflat. We write

$$(1.3) \quad P_n(e^{it}) = R_n(t)e^{i\alpha_n(t)}, \quad R_n(t) = |P_n(e^{it})|, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is a simple exercise to show that  $\alpha_n$  can be chosen so that it is differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}$ . This is going to be our understanding throughout the paper.

The structure of ultraflat sequences of unimodular polynomials is studied in [Er1] and [Er3] where several conjectures of Saffari are proved. Here, based on the results in [Er1], we prove yet another Saffari conjecture formulated in [Sa].

**Theorem 1.4 (Saffari's Near-Orthogonality Conjecture).** *Assume that  $(P_n)$  is an ultraflat sequence of unimodular polynomials  $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ . Let*

$$P_n(z) := \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} z^k.$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} a_{n-k,n} = o(n).$$

Here, as usual,  $o(n)$  denotes a quantity for which  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} o(n)/n = 0$ . The statement remains true if the ultraflat sequence  $(P_n)$  of unimodular polynomials  $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$  is replaced by an ultraflat sequence  $(P_{n_k})$  of unimodular polynomials  $P_{n_k} \in \mathcal{K}_{n_k}$ ,  $0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ .

If  $Q_n$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  of the form  $Q_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$ ,  $a_k \in \mathbb{C}$ , then its conjugate reciprocal polynomial is defined by  $Q_n^*(z) := z^n \overline{Q_n(1/z)} := \sum_{k=0}^n \overline{a}_{n-k} z^k$ . In terms of the above definition Theorem 1.4 may be rewritten as

**Corollary 1.5.** *Assume that  $(P_n)$  is an ultraflat sequence of unimodular polynomials  $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ . Then*

$$\int_{\partial D} |P_n(z) - P_n^*(z)|^2 |dz| = 2n + o(n).$$

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

To prove the theorem we need a few lemmas. The first two are from [Er1].

**Lemma 2.1 (Uniform Distribution Theorem for the Angular Speed).**

*Suppose  $(P_n)$  is an ultraflat sequence of unimodular polynomials  $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ . Then, with the notation (1.3), in the interval  $[0, 2\pi]$ , the distribution of the normalized angular speed  $\alpha'_n(t)/n$  converges to the uniform distribution as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . More precisely, we have*

$$\text{meas}(\{t \in [0, 2\pi] : 0 \leq \alpha'_n(t) \leq nx\}) = 2\pi x + \gamma_n(x)$$

for every  $x \in [0, 1]$ , where  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{x \in [0, 1]} |\gamma_n(x)| = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.2 (Negligibility Theorem for Higher Derivatives).** *Suppose  $(P_n)$  is an ultraflat sequence of unimodular polynomials  $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ . Then, with the notation (1.3), for every integer  $r \geq 2$ , we have*

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq 2\pi} |\alpha_n^{(r)}(t)| \leq \gamma_{n,r} n^r$$

with suitable constants  $\gamma_{n,r} > 0$  converging to 0 for every fixed  $r = 2, 3, \dots$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose  $(P_n)$  is an ultraflat sequence of unimodular polynomials  $P_n \in \mathcal{K}_n$ . Let*

$$P_n(z) := \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} z^k.$$

Then, with the notation (1.3),

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} a_{n-k,n} - \frac{n}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(i(2\alpha_n(t) - nt)) = o(n).$$

*Proof of Lemma 2.3.* This follows easily by using the formula

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} a_{n-k,n} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P_n(e^{it})^2 e^{-int} dt$$

and the ultraflatness inequalities

$$(1 - \varepsilon_n) \sqrt{n+1} \leq |P_n(e^{it})| \leq (1 + \varepsilon_n) \sqrt{n+1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

(cf. Definitions 1.2 and 1.3), where  $(\varepsilon_n)$  is a sequence of positive numbers tending to 0.

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* By Lemma 2.3 it is sufficient to prove that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \exp(i\beta_n(t)) dt = \eta_n, \quad \text{with} \quad \beta_n(t) := 2\alpha_n(t) - nt,$$

where  $(\eta_n)$  is a sequence tending to 0. To see this let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be fixed. Let  $K_n := \gamma_{n,2}^{-1/4}$ , where  $\gamma_{n,2}$  is defined in Lemma 2.2. We divide the interval  $[0, 2\pi]$  into subintervals

$$I_m := [a_{m-1}, a_m] := \left[ \frac{(m-1)K_n}{n}, \frac{mK_n}{n} \right], \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, N-1 := \left\lfloor \frac{2\pi n}{K_n} \right\rfloor,$$

and

$$I_N := [a_{N-1}, a_N] := \left[ \frac{(N-1)K_n}{n}, 2\pi \right].$$

For the sake of brevity let

$$A_{m-1} := \beta_n(a_{m-1}), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

and

$$B_{m-1} := \beta'_n(a_{m-1}), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Then by Taylor's Theorem

$$|\beta_n(t) - (A_{m-1} + B_{m-1}(t - a_{m-1}))| \leq \gamma_{n,2} n^2 (K_n/n)^2 \leq \gamma_{n,2} \gamma_{n,2}^{-1/2} \leq \gamma_{n,2}^{1/2}$$

for every  $t \in I_m$ , where  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{n,2}^{1/2} = 0$  by Lemma 2.2. Hence

$$\int_{I_m} \exp(i\beta_n(t)) dt = \int_{I_m} \exp(i(A_{m-1} + B_{m-1}(t - a_{m-1}))) dt + \int_{I_m} \delta_n(t) dt,$$

with functions  $\delta_n(t)$  satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 2\pi} |\delta_n(t)| = 0.$$

Hence for  $|B_{m-1}| \geq n\varepsilon$  we have

$$\left| \int_{I_m} \exp(i\beta_n(t)) dt \right| \leq \frac{2}{|B_{m-1}|} + \Delta_n \text{meas}(I_m) \leq \frac{2}{n\varepsilon} + \Delta_n \text{meas}(I_m),$$

where

$$\Delta_n := \max_{0 \leq t \leq 2\pi} |\delta_n(t)| > 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_n = 0.$$

Therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n = \infty$  implies

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_m \left| \int_{I_m} \exp(i\beta_n(t)) dt \right| \leq \frac{2}{n\varepsilon} N + 2\pi \Delta_n \leq \frac{2}{n\varepsilon} \left( \frac{2\pi n}{K_n} + 1 \right) + 2\pi \Delta_n \leq \eta_n^*(\varepsilon),$$

where the summation is taken over all  $m = 1, 2, \dots, N$  for which  $|B_{m-1}| \geq n\varepsilon$ , and where  $(\eta_n^*(\varepsilon))$  is a sequence tending to 0. Now let

$$E_{n,\varepsilon} := \bigcup_{m: |B_{m-1}| \leq n\varepsilon} I_m.$$

For  $|B_{m-1}| \leq n\varepsilon$  we deduce by Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta'_n(t)| &\leq |B_{m-1}| + \frac{K_n}{n} \max_{t \in I_m} |\beta''_n(t)| = \\ &= |B_{m-1}| + \frac{K_n}{n} \max_{t \in I_m} |2\alpha''_n(t)| = |B_{m-1}| + \frac{\gamma_{n,2}^{-1/4}}{n} 2\gamma_{n,2} n^2 \leq 2n\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for every  $t \in I_m$  and for every sufficiently large  $n$  (independent of  $m$ ). So

$$E_{n,\varepsilon} \subset \{t \in [0, 2\pi] : |\beta'_n(t)| \leq 2n\varepsilon\} \subset \{t \in [0, 2\pi] : |\alpha'_n(t) - n/2| \leq n\varepsilon\}$$

for every  $t \in I_m$  and every sufficiently large  $n$ . Hence we obtain by Lemma 2.1 that

$$\text{meas}(E_{n,\varepsilon}) \leq 4\pi\varepsilon + \eta_n^{**}(\varepsilon),$$

where  $(\eta_n^{**}(\varepsilon))$  is a sequence tending to 0. Therefore

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_m \left| \int_{I_m} \exp(i\beta_n(t)) dt \right| \leq \text{meas}(E_{n,\varepsilon}) \leq 4\pi\varepsilon + \eta_n^{**}(\varepsilon),$$

where the summation is taken over all  $m = 1, 2, \dots, N$  for which  $|B_{m-1}| \leq n\varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, the result follows from (2.1) and (2.2).  $\square$

## 3. REMARKS

In [Sa] another “near orthogonality” relation has been conjectured. Namely it was suspected that if  $(P_{n_m})$  is an ultraflat sequence of unimodular polynomials  $P_{n_m} \in \mathcal{K}_{n_m}$  and

$$P_n(z) := \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} z^k, \quad n = n_m, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

then

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} \bar{a}_{n-k,n} = o(n), \quad n = n_m, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where, as usual,  $o(n_m)$  denotes a quantity for which  $\lim_{n_m \rightarrow \infty} o(n_m)/n_m = 0$ . However, it was Saffari himself, together with Queffelec [QS2], who showed that this could not be any farther away from being true. Namely they constructed an ultraflat sequence  $(P_{n_m})$  of plain-reciprocal unimodular polynomials  $P_{n_m} \in \mathcal{K}_{n_m}$  such that

$$P_n(z) := \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} z^k, \quad a_{k,n} = a_{n-k,n}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and hence

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n} \bar{a}_{n-k,n} = n + 1$$

for the values  $n = n_m, m = 1, 2, \dots$ .

## REFERENCES

- [Be] J. Beck, “Flat” polynomials on the unit circle – note on a problem of Littlewood, Bull. London Math. Soc. (1991), 269–277.
- [BE] P. Borwein and T. Erdélyi, *Polynomials and Polynomial Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [Er1] T. Erdélyi, The phase problem of ultraflat unimodular polynomials: the resolution of the conjecture of Saffari, Math. Annalen (to appear).
- [Er2] T. Erdélyi, On the zeros of polynomials with Littlewood-type coefficient constraints, Michigan Math. J. **49** (2001), 97–111.
- [Er3] T. Erdélyi, How far is a sequence of ultraflat unimodular polynomials from being conjugate reciprocal, Michigan Math. J. (to appear).
- [Er] P. Erdős, Some unsolved problems, Michigan Math. J. **4** (1957), 291–300.
- [Ka] J.P. Kahane, Sur les polynomes à coefficient unimodulaires, Bull. London Math. Soc. **12** (1980), 321–342.
- [Kö] T. Körner, On a polynomial of J.S. Byrnes, Bull. London Math. Soc. **12** (1980), 219–224.

- [Li1] J.E. Littlewood, *On polynomials  $\sum \pm z^m, \sum \exp(\alpha_m i)z^m, z = e^{i\theta}$* , J. London Math. Soc. **41**, 367–376, yr 1966.
- [Li2] J.E. Littlewood, *Some Problems in Real and Complex Analysis*, Heath Mathematical Monographs, Lexington, Massachusetts, 1968.
- [QS1] H. Queffelec and B. Saffari, *Unimodular polynomials and Bernstein's inequalities*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **321** (1995, 3), 313–318.
- [QS2] H. Queffelec and B. Saffari, *On Bernstein's inequality and Kahane's ultraflat polynomials*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **2** (1996, 6), 519–582.
- [Sa] B. Saffari, *The phase behavior of ultraflat unimodular polynomials*, in Probabilistic and Stochastic Methods in Analysis, with Applications (1992), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Printed in the Netherlands, 555–572.