THE NORM OF THE POLYNOMIAL TRUNCATION OPERATOR ON THE UNIT DISK AND ON [-1,1]

Tamás Erdélyi

ABSTRACT. Let D and ∂D denote the open unit disk and the unit circle of the complex plane, respectively. We denote the set of all polynomials of degree at most n with real coefficients by \mathcal{P}_n . We denote the set of all polynomials of degree at most n with complex coefficients by \mathcal{P}_n^c . We define the truncation operator S_n for polynomials $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n^c$ of the form

$$P_n(z) := \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j, \qquad a_j \in \mathbb{C},$$

by

(1.1)
$$S_n(P_n)(z) := \sum_{j=0}^n \widetilde{a}_j z^j, \qquad \widetilde{a}_j := (a_j/|a_j|) \min\{|a_j|, 1\}$$

(here 0/0 is interpreted as 1). We define the norms of the truncation operators by

$$\|S_n\|_{\infty,\partial D}^{real} := \sup_{P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n} \frac{\max_{z \in \partial D} |S_n(P_n)(z)|}{\max_{z \in \partial D} |P_n(z)|}$$

and

$$\|S_n\|_{\infty,\partial D}^{comp} := \sup_{P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n^c} \frac{\max_{z \in \partial D} |S_n(P_n)(z)|}{\max_{z \in \partial D} |P_n(z)|}.$$

Our main theorem in this paper establishes the right order of magnitude of the norms of the operators S_n . This settles a question asked by S. Kwapien.

Theorem. With the notation introduced above there is an absolute constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$c_1\sqrt{2n+1} \le ||S_n||_{\infty,\partial D}^{real} \le ||S_n||_{\infty,\partial D}^{comp} \le \sqrt{2n+1}$$
.

Moreover, an analogous result in $L_p(\partial D)$ for $p \in [2, \infty]$ is also established and the case when the unit circle ∂D is replaced by the interval [-1, 1] is also studied.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 41A17.

Key words and phrases. truncation of polynomials, norm of the polynomial truncation operator, Lovász-Spencer-Vesztergombi theorem, norm of the polynomial.

Research is supported, in part, by NSF under Grant No. DMS-0070826.

1. New Result

Let D and ∂D denote the open unit disk and the unit circle of the complex plane, respectively. We denote the set of all polynomials of degree at most n with real coefficients by \mathcal{P}_n . We denote the set of all polynomials of degree at most n with complex coefficients by \mathcal{P}_n^c . We define the truncation operator S_n for polynomials $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n^c$ of the form

$$P_n(z) := \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j, \qquad a_j \in \mathbb{C},$$

by

(1.1)
$$S_n(P_n)(z) := \sum_{j=0}^n \tilde{a}_j z^j, \qquad \tilde{a}_j := (a_j/|a_j|) \min\{|a_j|, 1\}$$

(here 0/0 is interpreted as 1). In other words, we take the coefficients $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ of a polynomial P_n of degree at most n, and we truncate them. That is, we leave a coefficient a_j unchanged if $|a_j| < 1$, while we replace it by $a_j/|a_j|$ if $|a_j| \ge 1$. We form the new polynomial with the new coefficients \tilde{a}_j defined by (1.1), and we denote this new polynomial by $S_n(P_n)$. We define the norms of the truncation operators by

$$||S_n||_{\infty,\partial D}^{real} := \sup_{P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n} \frac{\max_{z \in \partial D} |S_n(P_n)(z)|}{\max_{z \in \partial D} |P_n(z)|}$$

and

$$||S_n||_{\infty,\partial D}^{comp} := \sup_{P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n^c} \frac{\max_{z \in \partial D} |S_n(P_n)(z)|}{\max_{z \in \partial D} |P_n(z)|}.$$

Our main theorem in this paper establishes the right order of magnitude of the norms of the operators S_n . This settles a question asked by S. Kwapien.

Theorem 1.1. With the notation introduced above there is an absolute constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$c_1\sqrt{2n+1} \le ||S_n||_{\infty,\partial D}^{real} \le ||S_n||_{\infty,\partial D}^{comp} \le \sqrt{2n+1}$$
.

In fact we are able to establish an $L_p(\partial D)$ analogue of this as follows. For $p \in (0, \infty)$, let

$$||S_n||_{p,\partial D}^{real} := \sup_{P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n} \frac{||S_n(P_n)||_{L_p(\partial D)}}{||P_n||_{L_p(\partial D)}}$$

and

$$||S_n||_{p,\partial D}^{comp} := \sup_{S_n \in \mathcal{P}_n^c} \frac{||S_n(P_n)||_{L_p(\partial D)}}{||P_n||_{L_p(\partial D)}}.$$

Theorem 1.2. With the notation introduced above there is an absolute constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$c_1(2n+1)^{1/2-1/p} \le ||S_n||_{p,\partial D}^{real} \le ||S_n||_{p,\partial D}^{comp} \le (2n+1)^{1/2-1/p}$$

for every $p \in [2, \infty)$.

Note that it remains open what is the right order of magnitude of $||S_n||_{p,\partial D}^{real}$ and $||S_n||_{p,\partial D}^{comp}$, respectively when $0 . In particular it would be interesting to see if <math>||S_n||_{p,\partial D}^{comp} \le c$ is possible for any 0 with an absolute constant <math>c. We record the following observation, due to S. Kwapien, in this direction.

Theorem 1.3. There is an absolute constant c > 0 such that

$$||S_n||_{1.\partial D}^{real} \ge c\sqrt{\log n}$$
.

If the unit circle ∂D is replaced by the interval [-1,1], we get a completely different order of magnitude of the polynomial truncation projector. In this case the norms of the truncation operators S_n are defined in the usual way. That is, let

$$||S_n||_{\infty,[-1,1]}^{real} := \sup_{P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n} \frac{\max_{x \in [-1,1]} |S_n(P_n)(x)|}{\max_{x \in [-1,1]} |P_n(x)|}$$

and

$$||S_n||_{\infty,[-1,1]}^{comp} := \sup_{P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n^c} \frac{\max_{x \in [-1,1]} |S_n(P_n)(x)|}{\max_{x \in [-1,1]} |P_n(x)|}.$$

Theorem 1.4. With the notation introduced above we have

$$2^{n/2-1} \le \|S_n\|_{\infty,[-1,1]}^{real} \le \|S_n\|_{\infty,[-1,1]}^{comp} \le \sqrt{2n+1} \cdot 8^{n/2}.$$

2. Lemmas

To prove the lower bound of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2, we need two lemmas. Our first one is from [LSV].

Lemma 2.1 (Lovász, Spencer, Vesztergombi). Let $a_{j,k}$, $j = 1, 2, ..., n_1, k = 1, 2, ..., n_2$ be such that $|a_{j,k}| \le 1$. Let also $p_1, p_2, ..., p_{n_2} \in [0, 1]$. Then there are choices

$$\varepsilon_k \in \{-p_k, 1 - p_k\}, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, n_2,$$

such that for all j,

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} \varepsilon_k a_{j,k} \right| \le C \sqrt{n_1}$$

with an absolute constant C.

Our second lemma is a direct consequence of the well-known Bernstein inequality (see Theorem 1.1 on page 97 of [DL]) and the Mean Value Theorem.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose Q_n is a polynomial of degree n (with complex coefficients),

$$\theta_n := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{14n}\right)$$
,

$$z_j := \exp(ij\theta_n), \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, 14n,$$

and

$$|Q_n(z_i)| \le M$$
, $j = 1, 2, \dots, 14n$.

Then

$$\max_{z \in \partial D} |Q_n(z)| \le 2M.$$

The inequalities below (see Theorem 2.6 on page 102 of [DL]) will be needed to prove the upper bound of the Theorem.

Lemma 2.3 (Nikolskii Inequality). Let $0 < q \le p \le \infty$. If P_n is a polynomial of degree at most n with complex coefficients then

$$||P_n||_{L_p(\partial D)} \le \left(\frac{2nr+1}{2\pi}\right)^{1/q-1/p} ||P_n||_{L_q(\partial D)},$$

where r = r(q) is the smallest integer not less than q/4.

The next lemma may be found in [Ri].

Lemma 2.4 (Erdős). Suppose that $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|z_0| \geq 1$. Then

$$|P_n(z_0)| \le |T_{2n}(z_0)|^{1/2} \cdot \max_{x \in [-1,1]} |P_n(x)|, \qquad P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n^c,$$

where $T_{2n} \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}$ defined by

$$T_n(x) := \cos(n \arccos x), \qquad x \in [-1, 1],$$

is the Chebyshev polynomial of degree 2n. As a consequence, writing

$$T_{2n}(z) = 2^{2n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (z^2 - x_j^2), \quad x_j \in (0,1),$$

we have

$$\max_{z \in \partial D} |P_n(z)| \le 8^{n/2} \max_{x \in [-1,1]} |P_n(x)|.$$

3. Proof of the theorem

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We apply Lemma 2.1 with $n_1 = 14n, n_2 = n$,

$$\theta_n := \exp(2\pi/(14n)), \quad a_{i,k} := \exp(ijk\theta_n),$$

and $p_1 = p_2 = \cdots = p_n = 1/3$, and with the choices

$$\varepsilon_k \in \left\{ -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right\}, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

coming from Lemma 2.1, we define

$$Q_n(z) = 3\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_k z^k.$$

Then Q_n is a polynomial of degree n with each coefficient in $\{-1, 2\}$, and with the notation

$$z_j := \exp(ij\theta_n), \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, 14n,$$

we have

$$|Q_n(z_j)| \le 3C\sqrt{14n}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, 14n.$$

Hence Lemma 2.2 yields

(3.1)
$$\max_{z \in \partial D} |Q_n(z)| \le 24C\sqrt{n}$$

In particular, if we denote by m the number of indices k for which $\varepsilon_k = 2/3$, then

$$|3m - n| = |2m - (n - m)| = |Q_n(1)| \le 24C\sqrt{n}$$
,

hence

(3.2)
$$|S_n(Q_n)(1)| = |m - (n - m)| = |2m - n| \ge \frac{n}{3} - 32C\sqrt{n}.$$

Now (3.1) and (3.2) give the lower bound of the theorem.

To see the upper bound of the theorem, observe that Lemma 2.3 implies

$$\max_{z \in \partial D} |S_n(P_n)(z)| \le \frac{\sqrt{2n+1}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} ||S_n(P_n)||_{L_2(\partial D)} \le \frac{\sqrt{2n+1}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} ||P_n||_{L_2(\partial D)}$$

$$\le \sqrt{2n+1} \max_{z \in \partial D} |P_n(z)|$$

for all polynomials P_n of degree at most n with complex coefficients. This proves the upper bound of the theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $p \in [2, \infty)$. Using (3.2) and the Nikolskii-type inequality of Lemma 3.4, we obtain that

(3.3)
$$||S_n(Q_n)||_{L_p(\partial D)} \ge c_1 n^{1-1/p}$$

with an absolute constant $c_1 > 0$. On the other hand (3.1) implies

with an absolute constant $c_2 > 0$, and the lower bound of the theorem follows.

To see the upper bound of the theorem, observe that Lemma 2.3 implies

$$||S_n(P_n)||_{L_p(\partial D)} \le \left(\frac{2n+1}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2-1/p} ||S_n(P_n)||_{L_2(\partial D)}$$

$$\le \left(\frac{2n+1}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2-1/p} ||P_n||_{L_2(\partial D)}$$

$$\le (2n+1)^{1/2-1/p} ||P_n||_{L_p(\partial D)}$$

for all polynomials P_n of degree at most n with complex coefficients. This proves the upper bound of the theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $n = 2^{m+2} - 2$. Consider the polynomial

$$P_n(z) = 4z^{2^{m+1}-1} \prod_{k=0}^m \left(1 + \frac{z^{2^k} + z^{-2^k}}{2}\right).$$

Then

$$|P_n(z)| = 4 \prod_{k=0}^m \left(1 + \frac{z^{2^k} + z^{-2^k}}{2} \right),$$

and hence $||P_n||_{L_1(\partial D)} = 4$. Also

$$P_n(z) - S_n(P_n)(z) = z^{2^{m+1}-1} \left(3 + \sum_{k=0}^m \left(z^{2^k} + z^{-2^k} \right) \right).$$

Let

$$R_n(z) := 3 + \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left(z^{2^k} + z^{-2^k} \right).$$

Then

$$||S_n(P_n)||_{L_1(\partial D)} \ge ||S_n(P_n) - P_n||_{L_1(\partial D)} - ||P_n||_{L_1(\partial D)} = ||R_n||_{L_1(\partial D)} - 4.$$

We will prove that $||R_n||_{L_1(\partial D)} \ge c\sqrt{m}$ for some absolute constant c > 0. It is easy to see that if b, a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_m are complex numbers and

$$F(z) = b + \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_k \left(z^{2^k} + z^{-2^k} \right),$$

then we have

$$||F||_{L_4(\partial D)} \le \sqrt[4]{3} \left(|b|^2 + \sum_{k=0}^m |2a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Therefore

$$||R_n||_{L_4(\partial D)} \le \sqrt[4]{3}\sqrt{9+4(m+1)}$$
.

Moreover

$$||R_n||_{L_2(\partial D)} = \sqrt{9 + 2(m+1)}.$$

By Hölder's Inquality

$$||R_n||_{L_4(\partial D)}^{2/3} ||R_n||_{L_1(\partial D)}^{1/3} \ge ||R_n||_{L_2(\partial D)}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\left(\sqrt[4]{3}\sqrt{9+4(m+1)}\right)^{2/3} \left(\|R_n\|_{L_1(\partial D)}\right)^{1/3} \ge \sqrt{9+2(m+1)}\,,$$

and thus $||R_n||_{L_1(\partial D)} \ge c\sqrt{m}$. This gives

$$\frac{\|S_n(P_n)\|_{L_1(\partial D)}}{\|P_n\|_{L_1(\partial D)}} \ge c' \sqrt{m} \ge c'' \sqrt{\log n}$$

with absolute constants c' > 0 and c'' > 0. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let

$$P_n(z) := \sum_{j=0}^n a_j z^j, \qquad a_j \in \mathbb{C},$$

and

$$S_n(P_n)(z) := \sum_{j=0}^n \widetilde{a}_j z^j$$
, $\widetilde{a}_j := (a_j/|a_j|) \min\{|a_j|, 1\}$.

First we prove the upper bound. Using Lemma 1.4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x \in [-1,1]} |S_n(P_n)(x)| &\leq \max_{z \in \partial D} |S_n(P_n)(z)| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2n+1}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \|S_n(P_n)\|_{L_2(\partial D)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2n+1}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \|P_n\|_{L_2(\partial D)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2n+1}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \cdot 8^{n/2} \sqrt{2\pi} \max_{x \in [-1,1]} |P_n(x)| \,, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the upper bound of the theorem.

Now we turn to the lower bound. We define $Q_n \in \mathcal{P}_{4n}$ by

$$Q_n(z) := z^{2n} (1 - z^2)^n = z^{2n} \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} z^{2j}$$
.

Then

(3.5)
$$\max_{x \in [-1,1]} |Q_n(x)| = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n.$$

Also

$$S_n(Q_n)(z) = z^{2n} \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j z^{2j}$$
,

hence for every positive even n

$$(3.6) |S_n(Q_n)(1)| = 1.$$

Now we conclude the the lower bound of the theorem by combining (3.5) and (3.6). \square

4. Acknowledgment.

I thank Stanislaw Kwapien for raising some of the questions we settled here, and for several discussions about the topic. His method based on the Salem-Zygmund Theorem gave $c(n/\log n)^{1/2}$ lower bound rather than the right $cn^{1/2}$ one in Theorem 1.1. In addition, Theorem 1.3 is due to Kwapien.

References

- [DL] R.A. DeVore and G.G. Lorentz, *Constructive Approximation*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993
- [LSV] L. Lovász, J. Spencer, and K. Vesztergombi, Discrepancy of set systems and matrices, European J. of Combin. 7 (1986), 151–160.
- [Ri] T.J. Rivlin, Chebyshev polynomials, 2nd edition, Wiley, New York, NY,, 1990.

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843

 $E\text{-}mail\ address{:}\ \texttt{terdelyi@math.tamu.edu}$