

ON COMPLEMENTED VERSIONS OF JAMES'S DISTORTION THEOREMS

WILLIAM B. JOHNSON[†] AND NARCISSE RANDRIANANTOANINA[‡]

ABSTRACT. Examples are given to show that two natural questions asked in [5] about complemented versions of James's distortion theorems have negative answers.

1. INTRODUCTION

The James's distortion theorem for ℓ_1 (respectively, for c_0) states that whenever a Banach space contains a subspace isomorphic to ℓ_1 (respectively, c_0) then the Banach space contains subspaces that are almost isometric to ℓ_1 (respectively, c_0). In [5], complemented versions of James's distortion theorems were considered in the following senses:

Theorem 1. *Let X be a Banach space whose dual unit ball is weak*-sequentially compact and $\varepsilon > 0$. If X contains a subspace isomorphic to c_0 , then there exists a subspace Z of X and a projection P from X onto Z such that Z is $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -isometric to c_0 and $\|P\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$. Moreover, if X contains a subspace isometric to c_0 , then there exists a subspace Z of X and a projection P from X onto Z such that Z is isometric to c_0 and $\|P\| = 1$.*

Theorem 2. *Let X be a Banach space which contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to ℓ_1 and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a subspace Y of X and a projection P from X onto Y such that Y is $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -isometric to ℓ_1 and $\|P\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$.*

While Theorem 2 can be viewed as the exact analogue of the James's distortion theorem for complemented copies of ℓ_1 , Theorem 1 may be interpreted as combination of the James's distortion theorem for c_0 and the classical Sobczyk Theorem. These led to the following natural questions (see [5, Question 1, Question 2]):

Question 1. *If a Banach space X contains a complemented copy of c_0 and if $\varepsilon > 0$, does there exist a subspace Z of X and a projection P from X onto Z such that Z is $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -isometric to c_0 and $\|P\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$?*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* [2000]Primary: 46B20, 46B25. Secondary: 46B03, 46B45.

Key words and phrases. ℓ_1 , c_0 , renorming, James's distortion theorems.

[†]Research partially supported by NSF DMS-0503688.

[‡]Research partially supported by NSF DMS-0456781.

January 31, 2007.

Question 2. *If a Banach space X contains a complemented subspace isometric to ℓ_1 , does there exist a subspace Z of X and a projection P from X onto Z such that Z is isometric to ℓ^1 and $\|P\| = 1$?*

The aim of this note is to provide examples showing that, as expected, the answers to both questions are negative.

2. THE EXAMPLES

2.1. The c_0 -case. In this subsection, we exhibit a Banach space X with the property that any complemented subspace of X that is almost isometric to c_0 has large projection constant, thus answering Question 1 negatively. The space X is a renorming of $\ell_\infty \oplus_\infty c_0$.

We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the usual norm on $\ell_\infty \oplus_\infty c_0$. In order to define the new norm on X , let $J : \ell_1 \rightarrow \ell_\infty$ be an isometric embedding of ℓ_1 into ℓ_∞ and $Q : \ell_1 \rightarrow c_0$ be a quotient map. For $\delta > 0$, a norm $\|\!\|\!\cdot\!\|\!$ on X is defined by fixing its unit ball:

$$B_{(X, \|\!\|\!\cdot\!\|\!)} := \{(Jf, Qf); f \in \ell_1, \|f\|_1 \leq 1\} + \delta B_{(X, \|\cdot\|)}.$$

It is clear that $\|\!\|\!\cdot\!\|\!$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are equivalent norms on X and X contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to c_0 .

Proposition 3. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and Z be a subspace of $(X, \|\!\|\!\cdot\!\|\!)$ that is $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -isometric to c_0 and is complemented in X . If P is a projection from X onto Z then*

$$\|\!\|P\!\|\! \geq \frac{1 + \delta}{4\delta(1 + \varepsilon)^3}.$$

Proof. Throughout, we also denote by $\|\!\|\!\cdot\!\|\!$ the corresponding dual norm on X^* . Let $(V_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a basic sequence $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -equivalent to the unit vector basis of c_0 and whose closed linear span is Z . Let P be a projection from X onto Z . Then P is of the form

$$P = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n^* \otimes V_n$$

where $(V_n^*)_{n \geq 1}$ is a weak*-null sequence in X^* and the sum can be taken with respect to the strong operator topology. Observe that $X^* = (\ell_\infty)^* \oplus_1 \ell_1$ isomorphically and thus for every $n \geq 1$, $V_n^* = (x_n^*, a_n^*)$ where $(x_n^*)_{n \geq 1}$ (respectively, $(a_n^*)_{n \geq 1}$) is a weak*-null sequence in $(\ell_\infty)^*$ (respectively, ℓ_1). Since weak*-null sequences are weakly-null in $(\ell_\infty)^*$ (see for instance [1, Theorem 15, p.103]), we have

$$\text{weak} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^* = 0.$$

There exists a convex block $(y_n^*)_{n \geq 1}$ of $(x_n^*)_{n \geq 1}$ with

$$(2.1.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n^*\| = 0.$$

There exists a strictly increasing sequence of integers $(k_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and positive scalars $\alpha_j^{(n)}$, where $k_{n-1} + 1 \leq j \leq k_n$, $\sum_{j=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} \alpha_j^{(n)} = 1$ for $n \geq 1$, and

$$y_n^* = \sum_{j=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} \alpha_j^{(n)} x_j^*.$$

For $n \geq 1$, consider the corresponding block sequences:

$$(2.1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} W_n &= \sum_{j=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} V_j \\ W_n^* &= \sum_{j=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} \alpha_j^{(n)} V_j^* \end{aligned}$$

Then $(W_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of c_0 . Moreover, for every $n, k \geq 1$, $\langle W_n^*, W_k \rangle = \delta_n^k$, $W_n^* = (y_n^*, b_n^*)$ where $b_n^* = \sum_{j=k_{n-1}+1}^{k_n} \alpha_j^{(n)} a_j^*$, and $\|W_n\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$. The latter implies that for $n \geq 1$, W_n can be decomposed as

$$(2.1.3) \quad W_n = (Jf_n, Qf_n) + (x_n, a_n)$$

with

- (i) $f_n \in \ell_1$ satisfying $\|f_n\|_1 \leq 1 + \varepsilon$;
- (ii) $\|(x_n, a_n)\| \leq \delta(1 + \varepsilon)$.

Since $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a bounded sequence in ℓ_1 , we may assume (by passing to a subsequence if necessary) that for every $n \geq 1$,

$$f_n = f_0 + g_n + h_n$$

where

- (a) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_1$ exists;
- (b) $\text{weak}^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f_0$;
- (c) $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a disjointly supported sequence in ℓ_1 ;
- (d) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|h_n\|_1 = 0$.

We claim that

$$(2.1.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_1 \leq 3\delta(1 + \varepsilon).$$

To see this claim, let $N \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \|f_n\|_1 &\leq \|f_0\|_1 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \|g_n\|_1 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \|h_n\|_1 \\ &= \|f_0\|_1 + \frac{1}{N} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N g_n \right\|_1 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \|h_n\|_1 \\ &\leq 2\|f_0\|_1 + \frac{1}{N} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N f_n \right\|_1 + \frac{2}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \|h_n\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\|f_0\|_1 \leq \underline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N f_n \right\|_1$ and $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N \|h_n\|_1 = 0$. We deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_1 \leq 3 \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N f_n \right\|_1.$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N f_n \right\|_1 &= \frac{1}{N} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N Jf_n \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N (Jf_n, Qf_n) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N W_n \right\| + \frac{1}{N} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n, a_n) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N W_n \right\| + \delta(1 + \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Since (W_n) is equivalent to the unit vector basis of c_0 , we have $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N W_n \right\| =$

0. Combining all the above estimates, we get inequality (2.1.4).

We now show that if Π is the projection from X onto the closed linear span of $(W_n)_{n \geq 1}$ defined by $\Pi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n^* \otimes W_n$, then

$$(2.1.5) \quad \|\Pi\| \geq \frac{1 + \delta}{4\delta(1 + \varepsilon)^2}.$$

To see this, we first observe that for every $y^* \in \ell_1$,

$$(2.1.6) \quad \|(0, y^*)\| = \|y^*\|_1(1 + \delta).$$

For every $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \langle W_n^*, W_n \rangle \\ &= \langle (y_n^*, b_n^*), (Jf_n + x_n, Qf_n + a_n) \rangle \\ &= \langle y_n^*, Jf_n + x_n \rangle + \langle b_n^*, Qf_n + a_n \rangle \\ &\leq (\|y_n^*\| + \|b_n^*\|) (\|f_n\|_1 + \delta(1 + \varepsilon)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limits as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce that

$$(2.1.7) \quad \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|b_n^*\|_1 \geq \frac{1}{4\delta(1 + \varepsilon)}.$$

We can estimate $\|\Pi\|$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Pi\| &\geq \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{\|W_n^*\|}{1 + \varepsilon} \\ &= \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{\|(y_n^*, b_n^*)\|}{1 + \varepsilon} \\ &\geq \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|(y_n^*, b_n^*)\|}{1 + \varepsilon} \\ &= \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|(0, b_n^*)\|}{1 + \varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.1.5) follows by combining (2.1.7) and (2.1.6). We conclude the proof by observing that $\|\Pi\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|P\|$. \square

2.2. The ℓ_1 -case. Now we provide an example showing that Theorem 2 does not extend to the isometric case. In particular, the answer to Question 2 is negative.

First, recall that a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on a Banach space E is said to be *strictly convex* if $\text{Ext}(B_E) = S_E$. This is equivalent to the following property (see for instance [6, p. 246]):

$$(2.2.1) \quad \text{If } x, y \in S_E \text{ satisfy } \|x + y\| = 2, \text{ then } x = y.$$

It is clear from (2.2.1) that if $\|\cdot\|$ is strictly convex then $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ does not contain any ℓ_∞^2 (the two dimensional ℓ_∞) isometrically. Indeed, if $e_1 = (1, 0)$ and $e_2 = (0, 1)$ are the unit vector basis of ℓ_∞^2 then $x = e_1$ and $y = e_1 + e_2$ fail to satisfy (2.2.1).

Define a norm $|\cdot|$ on ℓ_1 that is equivalent to the usual norm and such that its dual norm $|\cdot|^*$ is strictly convex. Such a dual norm on ℓ_∞ can be taken by setting:

$$|(a_i)|^* := (\|a_i\|_\infty^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i}|a_i|^2)^{1/2}.$$

Details on the existence of the norm $|\cdot|$ can be found in [6, pp. 241–254]. We define a Banach space Y by setting:

$$Y := (C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_\infty) \oplus_\infty (\ell_1, |\cdot|).$$

Proposition 4. *The Banach space Y contains a complemented subspace that is isometric to ℓ_1 but ℓ_1 is not isometric to a quotient of Y .*

Let (e_n) be the unit vector basis of ℓ_1 and for $n \geq 1$, set $v_n := e_n/|e_n|$. Fix a sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $C[0, 1]$ that is isometrically equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_1 and for $n \geq 1$, define $U_n := (f_n, v_n) \in Y$. We claim that $(U_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is isometrically equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_1 and its closed linear span is complemented. In fact, for any finite sequence $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of scalars,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1} |a_n| &= \left\| \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n f_n \right\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n U_n \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \sum_{n \geq 1} |a_n|, \end{aligned}$$

therefore, $\sum_{n \geq 1} |a_n| = \left\| \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n U_n \right\|_Y$. Moreover, if we denote by Z the closed linear span of $(U_n)_{n \geq 1}$ then Z is a complemented subspace of Y . Indeed, let $T : (\ell_1, |\cdot|) \rightarrow Y$ be defined by setting $T(v_n) = U_n$ for all $n \geq 1$ and Π be the second projection from Y onto $(\ell_1, |\cdot|)$ then $T \circ \Pi$ is a projection from Y onto Z .

The fact that ℓ_1 is not isometric to a quotient of Y follows from the next lemma, which we assume is well known.

Lemma 5. *Let E and F be Banach spaces and $T : c_0 \rightarrow E \oplus_1 F$ be an isometry. Then there exists $c_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, 2$ with:*

- (a) $c_1 + c_2 = 1$;
- (b) if $T = (T_1, T_2)$ then $\|T_j(e)\| = c_j \|e\|$ for $j = 1, 2$ and all $e \in c_0$.

In particular, if $E \oplus_1 F$ contains an isometric copy of c_0 then either E or F contains an isometric copy of c_0 .

Proof. Denote by c_{00} the space of finitely supported sequences of scalars and let $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be the unit vector basis of c_0 . Write $T = (T_1, T_2)$ with $T_1 : c_0 \rightarrow E$ and $T_2 : c_0 \rightarrow F$. We shall verify that for every $x \in c_{00}$ with $\|x\| = 1$,

$$\|T_j(x)\| = \|T_j(e_1)\| \text{ for } j = 1, 2.$$

To see this, we will show first that if x and y are disjointly supported unit vectors then

$$(2.2.2) \quad \|T_j(x)\| = \|T_j(y)\| \text{ for } j = 1, 2.$$

Write $2x = (x - y) + (x + y)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &= \|T(2x)\| = \|T_1(2x)\| + \|T_2(2x)\| \\ &\leq (\|T_1(x - y)\| + \|T_1(x + y)\|) + (\|T_2(x - y)\| + \|T_2(x + y)\|) \\ &= \|T(x - y)\| + \|T(x + y)\| = 2. \end{aligned}$$

For $j = 1, 2$, set $a_j = \|T_j(x - y)\| + \|T_j(x + y)\| - 2\|T_j(x)\|$. Then (a_1, a_2) is a positive element of ℓ_1^2 whose norm is equal to zero so

$$2\|T_j(x)\| = \|T_j(x - y)\| + \|T_j(x + y)\|, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

By reversing the role of x and y , we get (2.2.2).

Now, let $x \in c_{00}$ with $\|x\| = 1$. Choose, $n > 1$ so that e_n and x are disjointly supported. From (2.2.2),

$$\|T_j(x)\| = \|T_j(e_n)\| = \|T_j(e_1)\|, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Setting $c_j = \|T_j(e_1)\|$ for $j = 1, 2$ proves the lemma. \square

End of the proof of Proposition 4. If ℓ_1 is isometric to a quotient of Y then the dual space $Y^* = C[0, 1]^* \oplus_1 (\ell_\infty, |\cdot|^*)$ contains an isometric copy of $\ell_\infty = \ell_1^*$ and hence of c_0 . But since $|\cdot|^*$ is strictly convex and $C[0, 1]^*$ is a L_1 -space, this is in contradiction with Lemma 5 and thus completes the proof. \square

3. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The notion of asymptotically isometric copies of ℓ_1 (respectively, c_0) is closely related to James's distortion theorems. We recall that a Banach space E is said to contain an asymptotically isometric copy of ℓ_1 (respectively, c_0) if there exist a null sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $(0, 1)$ and a sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in E such that for all finite sequence $(t_n)_n$ of scalars:

$$\sum_n (1 - \varepsilon_n) |t_n| \leq \left\| \sum_n t_n x_n \right\| \leq \sum_n |t_n|,$$

respectively,

$$\sup_n (1 - \varepsilon_n) |t_n| \leq \left\| \sum_n t_n x_n \right\| \leq \sup_n |t_n|,$$

The norm introduced in the definition of the Banach space Y can be used to provide examples confirming the optimality of the James's distortion theorems. We refer to [4] for earlier examples.

Proposition 6. (a) $(\ell_1, |\cdot|)$ does not contain any subspace asymptotically isometric to ℓ_1 .

(b) $(\ell_\infty, |\cdot|^*)$ does not contain any subspace asymptotically isometric to c_0 .

Proof. These statements follow from the norm $|\cdot|^*$ being strictly convex and some known results. First, containing an asymptotically isometric copy of c_0 and containing an isometric copy of c_0 is equivalent in a dual space ([3]). Second, according to [2], a Banach space contains an asymptotically isometric copy of ℓ_1 if and only if its dual contains an isometric copy of $L_1[0, 1]$. But since $(\ell_\infty, |\cdot|^*)$ is strictly convex, it does not contain any isometric copy of ℓ_1 and therefore it can not contain any isometric copy of $L_1[0, 1]$. Part (a) was already observed in [2] where an explicit formula for $|\cdot|$ was given (see [2, Corollary 12]). \square

The proof of Proposition 4 yields that the last part of the conclusion of Proposition 4 can be strengthened to “ c_0 is not isometric to a subspace of Y^* ”. However, in [3] Dowling proved that ℓ_1 is a quotient of X if and only if c_0 embeds isometrically into X^* , so the more natural statement involving ℓ_1 is only formally weaker.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Diestel, *Sequences and series in Banach spaces*, Graduate Text in Mathematics, **92**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984. MR **85i**:46020
- [2] S. Dilworth, M. Girardi, and J. Hagler, *Dual Banach spaces which contain an isometric copy of L_1* , Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **48** (2000), 1–12. MR **2001e**:46016
- [3] P. N. Dowling, *Isometric copies of c_0 and l^∞ in duals of Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **244** (2000), no. 1, 223–227. MR **2000m**:46036
- [4] P. N. Dowling, W. B. Johnson, C. J. Lennard, and B. Turett, *The optimality of James’s distortion theorems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 1, 167–174. MR **97d**:46010
- [5] P. N. Dowling, N. Randrianantoanina, and B. Turett, *Remarks on James’s distortion theorems. II*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **59** (1999), no. 3, 515–522. MR **2000c**:46022
- [6] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos Santalucía, J. Pelant, and V. Zizler, *Functional analysis and infinite-dimensional geometry*, CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC, 8, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. MR **2002f**:46001

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843
E-mail address: johnson@math.tamu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MIAMI UNIVERSITY, OXFORD, OH 45056
E-mail address: randrin@muohio.edu